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# School City of Hobart's 

District Strategic Plan

For

## Continuous School Improvement

## Introduction

This section provides a narrative overview of the Vision and Profile steps of the School Improvement Process completed by the School City of Hobart's District Continuous School Improvement Team and Stakeholders. The key actions in the vision stage of the school improvement process requested stakeholders to participate in examining research-based factors related to student performance, determine beliefs, examine the expectations that stakeholders have for student learning, develop a shared vision to focus school improvement, and fulfill the mission of the Board of School Trustees and the School City of Hobart. The key actions in the profile stage of the school improvement process led stakeholders to describe students and their performance, school effectiveness, the school and community contexts, and to determine the target areas for improvement.

## Research-Based Practices and Organizational Conditions of Improving Schools

## Ensure desired results

- Our district has high expectations for student achievement that are communicated with students and parents.
- Our district implements a vision for student learning through goals and strategies.


## Improve teaching and learning

- Our district uses multiple assessments to provide feedback for improvement in instructional practices and student performance.
- Our district uses data to inform decision-making about teaching and learning.
- Our district delivers on these expectations for student learning through a curriculum that is coherent and rigorous.
- Our district uses instructional strategies that provide students with focus, feedback, and sufficient opportunities to master skills.
- Our district creates classroom environments that are conducive to learning.
- Our district optimizes technology and multimedia as learning tools.


## Foster a culture for improvement

- Our district uses technology to assist families with student learning.
- Our district improves performance by coming together regularly for learning, decisionmaking, problem-solving, and celebration as a professional learning community.
- Our district engages in research and best practices that support the ongoing improvement of teaching and learning.


## Quality teachers

- All teachers are certified and trained in their teaching areas.
- Our district designs and delivers quality staff development to address the individual needs of teachers with skills that can be directly applied to the classroom.


## Effective leadership

- The sharing of leadership for the improvement of teaching and learning occurs throughout the district.
- The leadership articulates a compelling need for improvement and provides meaningful ways for the professional learning community to focus on its performance.


## Quality information

- Our district collects data from state and local assessments and other vital areas that contribute to the quality of excellent schools. Our district strives for data that is free from errors.
- Our district warehouses data that delivers student data to target strengths and weaknesses.


## Policies and procedures

- Our Board of School Trustees regularly meets to create and update bylaws and policies.
- Our Superintendent develops administrative guidelines to implement Board policy.
- Our district communicates policy in annual notices and student handbooks.
- Our district has emergency response and crisis teams for school safety.
- The district maintains and practices continuous school improvement planning with a relentless focus on student learning.


## Resources and support systems

- Our district ensures that leadership supports the vision for student learning.
- Our district allocates technological resources to target areas for improvement.
- Our district allocates time for improvement efforts.


## Our School District's Beliefs

- Learning is the shared responsibility of the school, student, parent and community.
- Learning occurs best in a safe, secure environment.
- Our schools value and respect diversity, creating an equal opportunity for all children to learn.
- Successful learners, whether students or adults, set goals and monitor progress in achieving them.
- Motivation to learn is influenced by a student's emotional state, beliefs, interests, and goals.
- Students learn best when they are actively engaged in meaningful, challenging work.
- Students learn best when the staff maintains high expectations for learning.
- Successful students create and use a variety of thinking and reasoning strategies and can communicate ideas.
- Interactions with adults, who serve as role models and mentors, contribute to student learning and achievement.
- Effective educators engage in learning communities that use research and best practices that support the ongoing improvement of teaching and student performance.


## Vision for Student Learning:

The School City of Hobart Community will foster intellectual curiosity, natural abilities, critical thinking, and literacy in students while developing respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

## Mission:

The primary mission of the School City of Hobart and the Board of School Trustees is to continually provide effective community schools.
A. Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood

Effective Community Schools adequately equip young people for adulthood in the 21 st century. Our students must be prepared both for employment and for day-to-day living in a complicated and rapidly changing world. This necessitates that our schools provide students with the intellectual tools necessary for life-long learning. Among these tools must be: skills to facilitate participation in a democracy, communication skills, critical thinking, and other flexible learning skills as well as the ability to work both individually and in a team situation. It is expected that these skills be developed through all curricular areas.

## B. Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students

Effective Community Schools address the needs of individual students and focus on individual development. Students bring to the schools a great diversity of interest, aptitudes, motivations and learning styles. Our schools must be able to address this diversity by identifying individual needs, responding with appropriate teaching strategies, flexibly meeting these needs and recognizing each individual student's ability to contribute to the school community.

## C. Our Schools Are Community Schools

Effective Community Schools are necessary to a healthy community. Our schools must always recognize their affirmative duty to the entire community, including families, government, business and industry, other institutions, civic groups and individual citizens. Our schools must draw from the strengths of this community to identify and accomplish their goals.
D. Our Schools Are Committed to Success

Effective Community Schools fully utilize the resources of the entire school community (administration, teachers, support personnel, families and students) in all aspects of school life. Our schools cannot succeed without the committed involvement of all.

# Learning Areas and High-Priority Expectations for Student Learning 

Learning Area - Language Arts
Expectations for Student Learning
All students will read and comprehend a variety of genres and informational text, as well as communicate ideas through writing.

## Learning Area - Problem Solving

 Expectations for Student LearningAll students will use problem solving skills to think critically and apply knowledge and reason to solve problems.

## Learning Area - Careers <br> Expectations for Student Learning

All students will demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary for managing the dual role of productive and successful community member and wage earner by gaining employment in a career or by continuing education at the post-secondary level.

## Learning Area - Citizenship Expectations for Student Learning

All students will develop an understanding and commitment to the democratic ideals of human dignity, justice and equality by focusing on productive citizenship in a democratic society.

## Rationale for Learning Areas

Our district's vision for student learning envisions our students as respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

Language Arts, Problem Solving, Careers, and Citizenship are the focus of accountability requirements for our district.

Our mission:
Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood
Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students
Our Schools Are Community Schools
Our Schools Are Committed to Success

## School City of Hobart

## Data <br> Profile 2015-2016

# School City of Hobart 

> Students 2015-2016


School City of Hobart, 4730


|  | American <br> Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $0.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | $0.6 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |


|  | American <br> Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2yr avg growth | -2.0 | 15.5 | .5 | 78.0 | White |  |
| 3yr avg growth | -2.3 | 11.0 | -2.3 | 56.0 | -33.5 | -22.3 |
| $4 y$ avg growth | -2.0 | 9.0 | -1.5 | 47.5 | -19.8 |  |

## District Elementary Schools



|  | American <br> Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $0.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | $0.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | $0.6 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |


|  | American <br> Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2yr avg growth | 1.5 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 21.0 | White |  |
| 3yr avg growth | -- | 6.7 | 1.3 | -15.0 |  |  |
| $4 y r$ avg growth | .3 | 6.8 | 2.3 | -2.0 | -9.3 |  |

## District Secondary Schools



|  | American <br> Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $0.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | $0.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | $0.4 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ |


|  | American Indian/Alaskan | Black | Asian/Pacific | Hispanic | Multi-Racial | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 yr avg growth | -3.5 | 8.0 | -. 5 | 57.0 | -18.5 | -36.5 |
| $3 y r$ avg growth | -2.3 | 4.3 | -3.7 | 49.0 | -13.0 | -22.7 |
| 4yr avg growth | -2.3 | 2.3 | -3.8 | 43.0 | -9.0 | -17.5 |

## School City of Hobart, 4730

Free and Reduced Lunch



## School City of Hobart

Student
Performance
2015-2016

## Student

## Performance:

English/Language Arts













Location to District Difference
Language Arts 6th Grade




Location to District Difference
Language Arts 7th Grade




Location to District Difference
Language Arts 8th Grade




Ridge View Language Arts Cohort





Ridge View Language Arts Cohort





Ridge View Language Arts Cohort


Middle School Language Arts Cohort





ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS EDIT 2015-16

Trends and Patterns

1. Lower scores exist at all schools.
2. Liberty's scores were lower at grades $3 / 4 / 5$.
3. RV scores were lower at grades $4 / 5$, and onlu slightly higher (.6\%) at grade 3.
4. Joan Martin's scores were lower at grades $3 / 4$, but up by nearly $10 \%$ at grade 5 .
5. HMS scores fell at all levels in amounts from . $3 \%$ to nearly $11 \%$

## Strengths

1. District elementary scores appear to be at or above the state average at all grade levels and at all schools for the past 5 year cycle.
2. HMS scores appear to be above the state average at all grade levels for the past 5 years.
3. The $10^{\text {th }}$ grade pass rate is $71 \%$

## Challenges

1. Where there is data to compare, the majority of scores are lower for 2015-16
2. There is no information to compare our 2015-16 scores at the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade level.

# Student <br> <br> Performance: 

 <br> <br> Performance:}

Mathematics

## ISTEP Mathematics Comparison

School City of Hobart, 4730


## ISTEP Mathematics Comparison

Liberty Elementary School, 4317



## ISTEP Mathematics Comparison

Ridge View Elementary School, 4325


## ISTEP Mathematics Comparison

Hobart Middle School, 4309










Location to District Difference
Mathematics 6th Grade



Location to District Difference
Mathematics 7th Grade




Location to District Difference
Mathematics 8th Grade




Location to District Difference













## TRENDS AND PATTERNS

1. At Liberty Elementary mathematics scores show a significant drop (close to $18 \%$ ) while at the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade levels they increased.
2. At Joan Martin score decreased by around $5 \%$ at each grade level.
3. At RV Elementary, scores decreased from $8-25 \%$ depending on grade level.
4. At HMS both $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade scores showed improvement, while the decline at $7^{\text {th }}$ grade was less than $2 \%$,
5. The $10^{\text {th }}$ grade pass rate remains low.

## STRENGTHS

1. 8 TH GRADE SCORES INCREASED OVER THE $14-45$ SCHOOL YEAR BY OVER $23 \%$
2. Our pattern of declining scores over the past two cycles seems to parallel what is happening with scores state wide.
3. HMS scores at or above the state average scores seems to continue.
4. $6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores showed slight improvement over the last cycle.

## CHALLENGES

1. No information on state or district scores was made available for comparison at the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade level.
2. Our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade pass rate is shown at $22.9 \%$
3. $8^{\text {th }}$ grade scores have not rebounded to the level of $13-14$ and previous years.
4. $7^{\text {th }}$ grade showed a slight decline over the last cycle.

# Student Performance: 

## Passing Both

## English/Language Arts

 and MathematicsState Tests
(ISTEP/ECA)

ISTEP Joan Martin Elementary School, 4327 - 3rd Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Liberty Elementary School, 4317 - 3rd Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Ridge View Elementary School, 4325-3rd Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Joan Martin Elementary School, 4327-4th Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Liberty Elementary School, 4317-4th Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Ridge View Elementary School, 4325-4th Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Joan Martin Elementary School, 4327-5th Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Liberty Elementary School, 4317 - 5th Grade - Pass Both


ISTEP Ridge View Elementary School, 4325-5th Grade - Pass Both


# Student <br> Performance: 

Science

## ISTEP Science Comparison

School City of Hobart, 4730




Trends

1. For three of four of the last years the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade science pass rate has trended up with the rate at one school falling off considerably.
2. After a large decrease, $6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores have increased over the last three years.
3. District scores are at or exceed state scores in $13 / 14$ and $15 / 16$ at the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade level.
4. After 1 year of declining scores, $6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores are trending up.

Strengths

1. At the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade level scores have been above the state average each of the last 2 years.
2. After a slight drop in $13-14,6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores are up slightly in 15-16.

Challenges

1. Science scores at the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade level, although above the state average for the past two years, have shown a decline at one school.
2. $6^{\text {th }}$ grade district scores have been below the state average 5 out of 5 times.

3 . $10^{\text {th }}$ grade rate of passing for $10^{\text {th }}$ grade is $48.0 \%$.

# Student <br> Performance: 

Social Studies




Social Studies Edit 2015-16

Trends

1. Scores at the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade level showed a slight dip in 2015-15 at Liberty and Joan Martin, while rising at Ridge View.
2. Scores at the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade level have risen and fallen with a nearly $10 \%$ drop in 2015-16.
3. After a drop in 11-12 overall District scores appear to be trending up with one exception.

## Strengths

1. District $5^{\text {th }}$ grade scores are above the state average over the past three years, and appear to be trending near the state average.

## Challenges

1. District $5^{\text {th }}$ grade scores fell off in 15-16 except for one school.

## School City of Hobart

## Academic

## Interventions

2015-2016

# Gains Analysis <br> School City of Hobart 

## READ 180 and System 44 End of Year Summary Report

## Results Based On Program Data <br> 08/20/2015 to 05/27/2016

## Executive Summary

In partnership with the district, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt has analyzed data from six sites that implemented the READ 180 \& System 44 reading intervention programs this school year.

## Preliminary Analysis Observations

- The analysis includes data from 397 READ 180 and 124 System 44 students.
- 392 students enrolled in READ 180 completed 20+ sessions of software usage and had 2+ Reading Inventory (8+ weeks apart).
- 77 students enrolled in System 44 completed 20+ sessions of software usage and had 2+ Reading Inventory (8+ weeks apart).
- End of Year 2015-2016 data export indicates evidence of strong growth with good software use in READ 180 and evidence of strong growth with good software use in System 44.


## Analysis Sample Selection Overview <br> How Many Student Records Had Sufficient Data for Analysis?



Gains analysis inclusion criteria was set to include students in READ 180 and System 44 who had a minimum of 20 software sessions and a minimum of two test administrations at least eight weeks apart (Reading Inventory for READ 180 students and Phonics Inventory for System 44 students).

## Placement Reading Inventory Overview <br> Were Students Appropriately Placed in READ 180 \& System 44?

| KEY |
| :---: |
| $\square B R$ to 199 L |
| $\square 200 \mathrm{~L}$ to 399 L |
| $\square 400 \mathrm{~L}$ to 599 L |
| $\square$ 600L to 799 L |
| $\square 800 \mathrm{~L}$ to 999 L |
| $\square 1000 \mathrm{~L}$ to 1199 L |
| $\square \mathbf{1 2 0 0 L}$ or More |



READ 180 Students at Placement


System 44 Students at Placement

Students with low Lexiles (BR to 400L in grades three to five \& BR to 600L in grades six \& up) should be screened with HMH Phonics Inventory. Pre-Decoder, Beginning or Developing Decoder status students should be placed in System 44 but Advancing Decoders belong in READ 180.

Students with limited phonemic awareness may not sustain higher Lexiles without Tier 3 intervention.

## Summary Program Usage



## Software Content Units



## Summary End of Year Reading Inventory Growth Metrics

■READ 180 Record ■ System 44 Record


Total Students in
Reading Inventory Sample

392 Students
w/ Reading Inventory

MEXTGENERATIon
95 Students w/ Reading Inventory

These results are from students with 20+ software sessions and Reading Inventory tests that were at least eight weeks apart. Numbers for System 44 on subsequent slides may vary because they are based on Phonics Inventory rather than Reading Inventory results.

Implementation Reports

## READ 180 Super Stars

## A Selection of Students with Notable Growth and Program Use

| Student | Grade Level | School | READ 180 <br> Segments <br> Completed | READ 180 <br> Software <br> Sessions | First Reading Invt. Test Date | First <br> Lexile <br> Score | Current <br> Reading Invt. <br> Test Date | Current <br> Lexile <br> Score | Low End Annual Goal | High End Annual Goal | $2 x$ Annual Goal | Change in Lexile | Normal Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student \#1 | 8 | Hobart Middle School | 14 | 108 | 9/2/2015 | 813 | 5/10/2016 | 1043 | 45 | 70 | 90 | 230 | 4.0 |
| Student \#2 | 7 | Hobart Middle School | 7 | 61 | 9/8/2015 | 910 | 5/10/2016 | 1089 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 179 | 4.0 |
| Student \#3 | 8 | Hobart Middle School | 10 | 112 | 9/9/2015 | 780 | 5/12/2016 | 1048 | 55 | 80 | 110 | 268 | 4.0 |
| Student \#4 | 6 | Hobart Middle School | 8 | 48 | 9/4/2015 | 801 | 5/12/2016 | 998 | 35 | 65 | 70 | 197 | 3.9 |
| Student \#5 | 8 | Hobart Middle School | 20 | 107 | 9/10/2015 | 814 | 5/11/2016 | 1036 | 45 | 70 | 90 | 222 | 3.9 |
| Student \#6 | 9 | Hobart High School | 13 | 50 | 9/17/2015 | 1018 | 3/15/2016 | 1156 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 138 | 3.7 |
| Student \#7 | 9 | Hobart High School | 8 | 50 | 9/17/2015 | 789 | 3/15/2016 | 988 | 40 | 70 | 80 | 199 | 3.6 |
| Student \#8 | 9 | Hobart High School | 8 | 42 | 9/17/2015 | 785 | 3/15/2016 | 975 | 40 | 70 | 80 | 190 | 3.5 |
| Student \#9 | 6 | Hobart Middle School | 6 | 52 | 9/4/2015 | 637 | 5/12/2016 | 886 | 55 | 90 | 110 | 249 | 3.4 |
| Student \#10 | 8 | Hobart Middle School | 21 | 107 | 9/10/2015 | 1046 | 5/11/2016 | 1191 | 30 | 55 | 60 | 145 | 3.4 |

There were 207 READ 180 Super Stars, with evidence of both strong participation and Lexile gains.
The students with the most growth relative to expectations are displayed above.
To reach "Reading Super Star" status, students must have:

- At least 20 sessions (days) of software usage
- At least one Segment completed in the READ 180 software
- A growth rate between 1.0 and 4.0*
- Pre-Test Reading Inventory Lexile score of over 100L.
*Students with greater than four times the normal growth rate may have had inappropriately low initial Lexile scores and are excluded from the Super Stars list.


## Mean Lexile Gain and Goal by Site

## Mean Change in Lexile and Low End Growth Goal by School



This analysis is based on students who completed at least two Reading Inventory tests a minimum of eight weeks apart. Review the Reading Inventory Growth Summary Report for more information.
(Analysis Note: Sites with fewer than 10 students or a negative change in average Lexile are not shown above)

## READ 180 Usage and Reading Inventory Metrics

|  |  |  | Reading Inventory Lexile Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  | READ 180 Metrics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | Grade <br> Range | Mean Starting Lexile | Mean Current Lexile | Change in Lexile | Low End Annual Growth Goal | High End Annual Growth Goal | Average Annual Growth Rate | \% of Students Exceeding Average Growth | Mean READ 180 Sessions \& [Max] | Sessions Per Week | Minutes Per Session | Mean Segments Completed |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 38 | 4 to 5 | 559 | 722 | 163 | 108 | 153 | 1.3 | 68\% | 96 [119] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 56 | 4 to 5 | 539 | 705 | 166 | 111 | 158 | 1.2 | 73\% | 107 [122] | 3.4 | 18 | 15 |
| Hobart High School | 61 | 9 to 10 | 864 | 933 | 69 | 44 | 76 | 1.2 | 62\% | 48 [99] | 1.9 | 15 | 7 |
| Liberty Elementary School | 34 | 4 to 5 | 627 | 758 | 131 | 92 | 135 | 1.2 | 68\% | 61 [112] | 2.6 | 16 | 8 |
| Hobart Middle School | 203 | 6 to 8 | 757 | 837 | 80 | 55 | 90 | 1.1 | 57\% | 81 [142] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| READ 180 Totals | 392 | 4 to 10 | 712 | 815 | 103 | 70 | 108 | 1.2 | 62\% | 79 [142] | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |

HMH recommends that READ 180 students complete the Reading Inventory three to five times a year for screening, monitoring progress, and making instructional decisions. Strongest results are typically achieved when students follow the READ 180 Instructional Model daily, and when care is taken to ensure a positive testing environment.

## Potential Impact of Large Lexile Declines

Highlighted Change in Lexile That Could Reflect Test Motivation


Assuming a student was targeted, Reading Inventory can provide an accurate measure of reading comprehension ability. A drop in Lexile of 100L or more might indicate that the student was not focused and attentive and did not do as well as possible. Even when best practices are in place, expect nearly $2 \%$ to $5 \%$ of students to drop 100L or more. When challenges are present, the percentage of decliners can climb to $30 \%$.

## Revised READ 180 Results

## School Level Results without 100L Decliners

|  |  |  | Reading Inventory Lexile Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  | READ 180 Metrics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Number of Students | Grade <br> Range | Mean <br> Starting <br> Lexile |  | Change in Lexile | Low End <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Goal | High End <br> Annual Growth Goal | Average <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate | \% of Students Exceeding Average Growth | Mean READ 180 <br>  <br> [Max] | Sessions <br> Per Week | Minutes <br> Per <br> Session | Mean <br> Segments Completed |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 38 | 4 to 5 | 559 | 722 | 163 | 108 | 153 | 1.3 | 68\% | 96 [119] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| Hobart Middle School | 194 | 6 to 8 | 750 | 842 | 92 | 56 | 91 | 1.2 | 60\% | 81 [142] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 56 | 4 to 5 | 539 | 705 | 166 | 111 | 158 | 1.2 | 73\% | 107 [122] | 3.4 | 18 | 15 |
| Hobart High School | 60 | 9 to 10 | 868 | 941 | 73 | 44 | 76 | 1.2 | 63\% | 47 [99] | 1.9 | 15 | 7 |
| Liberty Elementary School | 33 | 4 to 5 | 620 | 759 | 139 | 93 | 137 | 1.2 | 70\% | 61 [112] | 2.6 | 16 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| READ 180 Totals | 381 | 4 to 10 | 707 | 818 | 111 | 70 | 109 | 1.2 | 64\% | 80 [142] | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |

When students see scores drop by 100 Lexiles or more, it often means they are being impacted by factors outside the program. Their scores, meanwhile, affect the overall averages negatively. The table above shows what the READ 180 results would have been apart from students who dropped by 100L or more.

## READ 180 Student Progress along Reading Inventory College \& Career Ready Lexile Performance Levels



The above results show students' Reading Inventory scores aligned to the Lexile Performance Levels set by MetaMetrics. As students move through the program, lower, non-proficient reader populations should decrease and higher, proficient reader populations should increase.

Lexile performance bands used in this analysis can be found in the Appendix.

Analysis Note: Charts above reflect Lexile data aligned to the Reading Inventory College \& Career performance levels, regardless of whether students were administered Reading Inventory EE or Reading Inventory CC.

## Students who Maintained Performance Level

Lexile Results for Students Who Did Not Move Up/Down a Level



Students who maintained their performance levels demonstrated Lexile growth on average; these charts show the average advances they made towards growth goals. Below Basic students may need phonics instruction in order to demonstrate significant Lexile growth.

## Below Basic READ 180 Student Results <br> Summary Movement Between 200L Ranges of Below Basic Readers



Unlike other levels, the Below Basic College and Career Ready Range is 600L+ wide.
These reports show the progress of students who stayed in the Below Basic range by tracking their pre-test and post-test Reading Inventory results along 200-Lexile bands.

## SYSTEM

## MEXTGENERATIon

## Implementation Reports

## System 44 Student Progress and Use

## Overview of Students' Current Location in Program



The chart above shows how much content System 44 students have completed. Students should strive to complete at least 10 Series in a year, and to complete all 25 during their time in System 44.

## System 44 Summary Implementation Metrics Student Content Completion as a Measure of Growth

| Students Placed in <br> Series 1 | 93 | 84 | 0.0 | 10 | 57 | 12 | 34 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Placed in <br> Series 4 | 31 | 45 | 0.0 | 17 | 52 | 29 | 34 | 11 |


| Students Using System 44 | 124 | 74 | 0.0 | 12 | 56 | 16 | 34 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

On a standard daily implementation of System 44, schools can achieve 100 sessions of usage in a school year. In the standard implementation model, students should use the software for $15-$ 20 minutes each day (or session). Regular use of software helps students complete the Topics more quickly; when all 160 Topics have been completed, the student is ready to exit System 44. Review the results here to identify successes as well as schools that may need additional support. Use the System 44 Response to Intervention Summary Report for more information.

Analysis Note: Because Phonics Inventory and Reading Inventory use varies greatly, this chart shows software use for ALL System 44-enrolled students to avoid skewing usage results based on test administration. If students were manually placed in a specific Series other than \#1 or \#4 then they are reported in the total and school-level results but cannot be reported by initial placement Series.

## System 44 Student Phonics Inventory Results

## Total Students by Initial and Current Decoding Level




| 38 | $(49 \%)$ | Students Moved UP |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 33 | $(43 \%)$ | Students Stayed in Level |
| 6 | $(8 \%)$ | Students Moved Down |

HMH recommends that System 44 students complete the Phonics Inventory three times a year for screening and monitoring progress. Students should be moving into higher levels of decoding as they progress through the program. Strongest results are typically achieved when students follow the System 44 Instructional Model daily and when care is taken to ensure a positive testing environment. Compare pre-test and post-test results to spotlight successes and identify areas that need additional focus. Review the Phonics Inventory Summary Progress Report for more information.

Analysis Note: Above data reflects ONLY those students with sufficient software usage and Phonics Inventory test administrations to meet the "Gains Analysis Sample" criteria.

## Summary Phonics Inventory Results

## Accuracy and Fluency Metrics by School

| School | Number of Students | Initial <br> Phonics Invt. Accuracy of 60 | Current <br> Phonics Invt. <br> Accuracy of 60 | Change in Accuracy | \% of <br> Students with Improved Accuracy | Initial <br> Phonics Invt. <br> Fluency of 60 | Current <br> Phonics Invt. <br> Fluency of 60 | Change in Fluency | \% of Students with 4+ Points Gain in Fluency | \% of Students with Advancing Decoder Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobart Middle School | 20 | 40 | 44 | 4 | 60\% | 11 | 16 | 5 | 60\% | 20\% |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 15 | 36 | 38 | 2 | 67\% | 10 | 14 | 4 | 47\% | 27\% |
| Liberty Elementary School | 19 | 36 | 39 | 3 | 74\% | 7 | 11 | 4 | 47\% | 5\% |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 23 | 33 | 38 | 6 | 78\% | 7 | 14 | 7 | 65\% | 22\% |
| System 44 Phonics Invt. Gains Sample | 77 | 36 | 40 | 4 | 70\% | 9 | 14 | 5 | 56\% | 18\% |

Results for System 44 students with two or more Phonics Inventory administrations are displayed above with the initial and most recent tests providing metrics. Students with limited Series completion between Phonics Inventory administrations tend not to demonstrate changes in Accuracy and Fluency.

- Accuracy growth indicates students have improved their ability to recognize and decode words-a prerequisite skill for fluent reading.
- Fluency growth indicates students have improved their ability to recognize and decode words with automaticity—a prerequisite skill for reading comprehension.
- Fluency growth of four points is one year of growth.
- Students with Advancing Phonics Inventory decoding levels tend to demonstrate the greatest Lexile growth by end of year Reading Inventory administration.

Analysis Note: Above data includes ONLY those students with sufficient software usage and Phonics Inventory test administrations to meet the "Gains Analysis Sample" criteria.

## Phonics and Reading Inventory Results

## Overview of Test Results for System 44 Students



This chart shows that foundational reading skills are improving; reading comprehension results often depend upon foundational reading skills.

Meeting annual goals for Lexile growth goal is more likely when students reach Series 20 to 25 or when students demonstrate Advancing Decoder status on Phonics Inventory.

Analysis Note: Above data reflects ONLY those students with sufficient software usage to meet the "Gains Analysis Sample" criteria and Phonics Inventory/Reading Inventory tests at least 8 weeks apart.

Implementation Reports

## Reading Inventory Test Administration

## Test Administration as a Measure of Screening Completion

| Grade Level | Number of Students | Total Students w/ 1+ RI Score | Total Students w/ 2+ RI Scores | Total Students w/ 3+ RI Scores | Total Students w/ 4+ RI Scores | Total Students w/ 5+ RI Scores | Total Students w/ 6+ RI Scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 1 | 192 | 192 | 89 | 37 |  |  |  |
| Grade 2 | 291 | 291 | 249 | 170 | 5 |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 296 | 296 | 290 | 270 | 47 | 1 |  |
| Grade 4 | 220 | 220 | 216 | 186 | 3 |  |  |
| Grade 5 | 217 | 217 | 216 | 203 | 4 |  |  |
| Grade 6 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| Grade 7 | 249 | 249 | 247 | 225 |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 264 | 264 | 261 | 248 |  |  |  |
| Grade 9 | 229 | 229 | 206 |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 10 | 321 | 321 | 297 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 | 274 | 274 | 204 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Grade 12 | 300 | 300 | 232 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading Invt. Admin Totals | 2884 | 2884 | 2518 | 1356 | 62 | 1 |  |

HMH recommends that districts administer the Reading Inventory to students three to five times per year. By End of Year, students should have completed two to three Reading Inventory tests.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Reading Inventory Cohorts

## Intervention Need Estimate and Growth Report Sample Sizes

Cohorts for Reading Inventory Analyses


Reading Inventory summary reports serve two functions:

1. Summarize whether students need intervention. These measurements can be based on single Reading Inventory score. 2884 students have a recent Lexile for this.
2. Measure Lexile growth. This requires two Reading Inventory test administrations at least eight weeks apart. 2514 students met this standard.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Reading Inventory Screening Results

## Total Students by College and Career Ready Performance Level

| Grade Level | Number of <br> Students | Minimum <br> Proficient <br> Lexile for <br> Grade Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 19 | 0 |
| Grade 1 | 192 | 190 |
| Grade 2 | 291 | 420 |
| Grade 3 | 296 | 520 |
| Grade 4 | 220 | 740 |
| Grade 5 | 217 | 830 |
| Grade 6 | 12 | 925 |
| Grade 7 | 249 | 970 |
| Grade 8 | 264 | 1010 |
| Grade 9 | 229 | 1050 |
| Grade 10 | 321 | 1080 |
| Grade 11 | 274 | 1185 |
| Grade 12 | 300 | 1185 |


| Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 16 | 3 |
|  | 94 | 89 | 9 |
| 51 | 73 | 102 | 65 |
| 19 | 58 | 146 | 73 |
| 10 | 26 | 116 | 68 |
| 10 | 25 | 81 | 101 |
| 11 |  |  | 1 |
| 9 | 51 | 80 | 109 |
| 6 | 33 | 116 | 109 |
| 7 | 47 | 106 | 69 |
| 24 | 59 | 189 | 49 |
| 34 | 72 | 129 | 39 |
| 53 | 73 | 127 | 47 |

RI Only Student Totals 2884

The above results represent the most recent Lexile score for all non-intervention students with at least one Reading Inventory. 611 students in the Basic Reading Inventory CC range could need Tier 2 intervention and that 234 students in the Below Basic range could need either Tier 2 or Tier 3 support.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Reading Inventory Summary Metrics

## Summary Lexile Metrics by Grade Level

|  |  |  | Reading Invt. Lexile Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Number of Students | Current Lexile Range | Mean Starting Lexile | Mean Current Lexile | Change in Lexile | Low End <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Goal | High End Annual Growth Goal | Average <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate | \% of Students Exceeding Average Growth |
| Grade 1 | 89 | OL to 797L | 91 | 264 | 172 | 271 | 287 | 0.6 | 33\% |
| Grade 2 | 249 | 0L to 994L | 269 | 486 | 218 | 226 | 242 | 0.9 | 49\% |
| Grade 3 | 288 | OL to 1205L | 532 | 662 | 130 | 111 | 155 | 1.0 | 57\% |
| Grade 4 | 215 | OL to 1329L | 784 | 877 | 93 | 64 | 95 | 1.2 | 65\% |
| Grade 5 | 216 | OL to 1353L | 910 | 979 | 69 | 42 | 84 | 1.1 | 60\% |
| Grade 6 | 11 | OL to 1085L | 279 | 274 | -4 | 149 | 224 | 0.0 | 9\% |
| Grade 7 | 247 | OL to 1536L | 1056 | 1088 | 32 | 22 | 51 | 0.9 | 56\% |
| Grade 8 | 260 | OL to 1470L | 1109 | 1146 | 37 | 24 | 53 | 1.0 | 57\% |
| Grade 9 | 206 | 734L to 1816L | 1145 | 1174 | 30 | 16 | 41 | 1.0 | 56\% |
| Grade 10 | 297 | 156L to 1728L | 1157 | 1174 | 17 | 23 | 57 | 0.4 | 45\% |
| Grade 11 | 204 | 299L to 1606L | 1201 | 1223 | 22 | 19 | 53 | 0.6 | 55\% |
| Grade 12 | 232 | 169L to 1792L | 1225 | 1185 | -41 | 17 | 50 | 0.0 | 42\% |



HMH recommends that students complete the Reading Inventory three to five times a year for screening, monitoring progress and making instructional decisions. Strongest results are typically achieved when care is taken to ensure a positive testing environment and when student targeting is used for the first Reading Inventory administration.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Achieving Personal Lexile Growth Goals

## Percent of Universal Screening Students Who Exceeded Low End Goal



Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Reading Inventory Screening Lexile Results

## Reading Inventory Screened Students per 200L Range

| Grade Level | Grand <br> Total | CCR Proficient <br> Reader Lexile <br> Spring Cut- <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 19 | 0 |
| Grade 1 | 192 | 190 |
| Grade 2 | 291 | 420 |
| Grade 3 | 296 | 520 |
| Grade 4 | 220 | 740 |
| Grade 5 | 217 | 830 |
| Grade 6 | 12 | 925 |
| Grade 7 | 249 | 970 |
| Grade 8 | 264 | 1010 |
| Grade 9 | 229 | 1050 |
| Grade 10 | 321 | 1080 |
| Grade 11 | 274 | 1185 |
| Grade 12 | 300 | 1185 |


| Total Students in Each 200L Range | 2884 |
| :--- | :--- |


| BR to <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 L}$ | 200L to <br> $\mathbf{3 9 9 L}$ | 400L to <br> 599L | $\mathbf{6 0 0 L}$ to <br> $\mathbf{7 9 9 L}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0 L}$ to <br> $\mathbf{9 9 9 L}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 L}$ <br> to <br> $\mathbf{1 1 9 9 L}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 L}$ <br> or <br> More |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | 60 | 31 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 48 | 66 | 93 | 59 | 25 |  |  |
| 10 | 25 | 83 | 90 | 76 | 11 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 8 | 49 | 106 | 43 | 9 |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 88 | 80 | 26 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 67 | 102 | 70 |
| 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 27 | 129 | 102 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 30 | 113 | 164 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 80 | 159 |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 28 | 74 | 168 |


| 186 | 169 | 245 | 255 | 504 | 716 | 809 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- The above results reflect the most recent Lexile score for all non-intervention students with at least one Reading Inventory.
- Students with low Lexile scores may have gaps in phonemic awareness (0L to 400L in grades three to five \& OL to 600L in grades six \& up). Left unaddressed, these gaps will limit potential Lexile growth each year.
- Use the HMH Phonics Inventory to determine whether such gaps exist.
- Red cells above indicate students scoring in the range where phonemic awareness could be limited.
- Green cells indicate students near the low-end cut-score for a proficient Lexile under Reading Inventory CCR bands.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

## Student Progress along Reading Inventory College Career Ready Lexile Performance Levels




Results above indicate how students' Reading Inventory scores aligned to Lexile Performance Levels as determined by Meta Metrics. Results are positive when lower, non-proficient reader populations decline and higher, proficient reader populations increase. Lexile performance bands used in this report can be found in the Appendix.

Reading Inventory report above does not include READ 180 or System 44 student records.

Appendix

## READ 180 License Utilization



Enrolled student counts come directly from the export and are the most accurate reflection of current license utilization. Available license counts reflect total purchased licenses. For more detailed license availability counts, including number of activated licenses, please work with your Account Executive and Customer Service reps.

## READ 180 Data Inclusion Process by School

| School | Students Who <br> Used READ 180 <br> Software | Students with <br> Pre-Test <br> Reading <br> Inventory <br> Data | Students with <br> 20 or More <br> READ 180 <br> Software Sessions | Students with <br> Post-Test <br> Reading <br> Inventory <br> Data | Students with <br> 8 or More <br> Weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Tests |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Yellow highlights show where large numbers of students were lost from a site sample. Orange highlights show smaller losses and progressive losses stretching over multiple criteria at the same site.

To be in the Gains Analysis, students need to have at least two Reading Inventory tests a minimum of eight weeks apart and must have at least 10 software sessions per semester (20 per year). This table shows how many students from each site met each of the criteria for analysis. As you go from left to right, the number shows how many students met that criterion and all others to the left.

## Implementation Model Affects READ 180 Usage Metrics

| Metric | Mid-Year @ <br> 90 <br> Minutes per <br> Day (Daily) | End of Year @ <br> 90 <br> Minutes per <br> Day (Daily) | Mid-Year @ <br> 45-50 <br> Minutes per <br> Day (Daily) | End of Year @ <br> $45-50$ <br> Minutes per <br> Day (Daily) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days (Sessions) | $\sim 50$ | $100+$ | $\sim 30$ | $60+$ |
| Sessions per Week | 3 to 5 | 3 to 5 | 2 to 3 | 2 to 3 |
| Minutes per Session | 16 to 20 | 16 to 20 | 16 to 20 | 16 to 20 |
| Segments | 5 | 10 | 3 | 6 |



## READ 180, rSkills and Reading Counts Users <br> Compare Total Students Using Programs Associated with Each Rotation

READ 180 software usage indicates that part of the READ 180 Intervention Solution is happening...

- rSkills tests indicate Small Group Instruction occurs
- Reading Counts tests passed indicate students read books during Independent Reading.

397 Students Enrolled in READ 180

> Three slices of the pie are equal when ALL rotations occur.


$\square$ Using RCl<br>$\square$ Using rSkills<br>UUsing READ 180

257 students in READ 180 have completed work in all three rotations.

## READ 180, rSkills and Reading Counts Total Participants by School

| School | Number of <br> Students <br> Participated <br> in READ 180 | Students <br> Using <br> READ 180 <br> (1+ Session) | Students <br> Using RC! <br> (1+ Test <br> Taken) | Students <br> Using rSkills <br> (1+ Test <br> Taken) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobart High School | 62 | 62 | 0 | 4 |
| Hobart Middle School | 206 | 206 | 197 | 191 |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 56 | 56 | 13 | 56 |
| Liberty Elementary School | 35 | 35 | 28 | 31 |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 38 | 38 | 38 | 35 |
| Total READ 180 Students | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ |



## READ 180 Sub Group Results

## Student Sub-Group Data Cohort Results

| SAM Demographic Group | Number of Students | Grade <br> Range | Mean Starting Lexile | Mean Current Lexile | Change in Lexile | Low End <br> Annual Growth Goal | High End <br> Annual Growth Goal | Average <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate | \% of <br> Students <br> Exceeding <br> Average <br> Growth | Mean <br> READ 180 <br> Sessions | Sessions <br> Per Week | Minutes Per Session | Mean Segments Completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 179 | 4 to 9 | 726 | 822 | 96 | 66 | 102 | 1.1 | 60\% | 80 | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |
| Male | 203 | 4 to 10 | 708 | 816 | 108 | 71 | 111 | 1.2 | 65\% | 80 | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |


| African American | 14 | 5 to 9 | 746 | 832 | 86 | 65 | 103 | 1.0 | 50\% | 94 | 3.0 | 17 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska-Native American | 2 | Gr. 5 | 602 | 703 | 101 | 103 | 153 | 0.8 | 50\% | 77 | 2.7 | 17 | 15 |
| Asian | 2 | 6 to 7 | 848 | 967 | 119 | 38 | 65 | 2.3 | 100\% | 77 | 3.2 | 17 | 15 |
| Caucasian | 144 | 4 to 9 | 784 | 863 | 79 | 54 | 89 | 1.1 | 59\% | 79 | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |
| Hispanic | 28 | 4 to 9 | 723 | 812 | 89 | 65 | 105 | 1.0 | 57\% | 75 | 2.8 | 15 | 9 |
| Not Available | 202 | 4 to 10 | 657 | 780 | 123 | 81 | 122 | 1.2 | 66\% | 80 | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |


| Grand Total | 392 | 4 to 10 | 712 | 815 | 103 | 70 | 108 | 1.2 |  | 79 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Sub-group data can either be imported into the SAM database or manually entered at the record level. Above results indicate student alignments as is - anomalous student counts indicate sub-group data is incomplete.

## READ 180 Classroom Summary

## Export Data Aligned Software and Test Results ${ }_{1}$

|  |  |  | Reading Inventory Lexile Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  | READ 180 Metrics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Name in Export | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | Grade <br> Range | Mean Starting Lexile | Mean Current Lexile | Change in Lexile | Low End Annual Growth Goal | High End Annual Growth Goal | Average Annual Growth Rate | \% of <br> Students <br> Exceeding <br> Average <br> Growth | Mean READ 180 Sessions \& [MAX] | Sessions Per Week | Minutes Per Session | Mean <br> Segments Completed |
| Hobart High School | 61 | 9 to 10 | 864 | 933 | 69 | 44 | 76 | 1.2 | 62\% | 48 [99] | 1.9 | 15 | 7 |
| R180_HHS_James_Eng 9_P1 | 20 | Gr. 9 | 876 | 944 | 69 | 43 | 73 | 1.2 | 60\% | 47 [56] | 1.8 | 16 | 7 |
| R180_HHS_James_Eng 9_P2 | 12 | Gr. 9 | 965 | 1027 | 62 | 27 | 55 | 1.5 | 58\% | 47 [52] | 1.8 | 16 | 8 |
| R180_HHS_James_Eng 9_P4 | 21 | Gr. 9 | 951 | 1009 | 58 | 30 | 58 | 1.3 | 71\% | 41 [58] | 1.7 | 15 | 7 |
| R180_HHS_Lute_9th_P1 | 8 | 9 to 10 | 455 | 564 | 109 | 106 | 161 | 0.8 | 50\% | 71 [99] | 2.6 | 14 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hobart Middle School | 203 | 6 to 8 | 757 | 837 | 80 | 55 | 90 | 1.1 | 57\% | 81 [142] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| R180_HMS_kanich_P3 | 1 | Gr. 8 | 604 | 1095 | 491 | 65 | 100 | 6.0 | 100\% | 46 [46] | 2.0 | 14 | 3 |
| R180_HMS_Kanich_8_P1 | 3 | Gr. 8 | 574 | 841 | 266 | 97 | 142 | 2.2 | 100\% | 123 [134] | 3.5 | 17 | 15 |
| R180_HMS_kanich_P2 | 3 | Gr. 7 | 541 | 855 | 314 | 108 | 180 | 2.2 | 67\% | 112 [142] | 3.6 | 20 | 20 |
| R180_HMS_Hill_6th_3 | 24 | Gr. 6 | 792 | 886 | 93 | 42 | 73 | 1.6 | 67\% | 66 [86] | 2.3 | 17 | 10 |
| R180_HMS_Clemmons_8th_6 | 16 | Gr. 8 | 747 | 874 | 127 | 62 | 95 | 1.6 | 81\% | 103 [112] | 3.0 | 16 | 13 |
| R180_HMS_Winland_7_P4 | 17 | Gr. 7 | 760 | 857 | 97 | 49 | 82 | 1.5 | 71\% | 104 [114] | 3.1 | 15 | 10 |
| R180_HMS_RINAS_6th_4 | 15 | Gr. 6 | 684 | 782 | 98 | 53 | 86 | 1.4 | 60\% | 88 [107] | 2.7 | 15 | 12 |
| R180_HMS_Gray_8th_5 | 17 | Gr. 8 | 822 | 887 | 65 | 48 | 75 | 1.1 | 47\% | 111 [120] | 3.2 | 18 | 17 |
| R180_HMS_Henderson_6th_P3 | 10 | Gr. 6 | 620 | 705 | 85 | 64 | 102 | 1.0 | 60\% | 57 [72] | 2.5 | 14 | 9 |
| R180/S44_HMS_Doege_resource_2 | 11 | 7 to 8 | 467 | 595 | 129 | 112 | 170 | 0.9 | 45\% | 93 [102] | 2.8 | 15 | 13 |
| R180_HMS_Gawthrop_8th_P4 | 4 | Gr. 8 | 483 | 600 | 117 | 109 | 159 | 0.9 | 50\% | 125 [132] | 3.6 | 16 | 14 |
| Orphaned Records | 53 | 6 to 8 | 919 | 957 | 38 | 34 | 63 | 0.8 | 55\% | 52 [72] | 3.6 | 16 | 8 |
| S44_HMS_morin_all_resource2 | 7 | Gr. 7 | 340 | 462 | 122 | 140 | 216 | 0.7 | 57\% | 72 [112] | 3.1 | 18 | 17 |
| R180_HMS_Albertin_7th_5th | 14 | Gr. 7 | 802 | 811 | 9 | 40 | 71 | 0.2 | 36\% | 98 [103] | 3.1 | 16 | 12 |
| R180/S44_HMS_morin_all_resource6 | 3 | Gr. 6 | 722 | 636 | -86 | 50 | 83 | 0.0 | 33\% | 110 [114] | 3.2 | 19 | 16 |
| R180_HMS_MCKEE_7th_4 | 5 | Gr. 7 | 774 | 764 | -10 | 48 | 83 | 0.0 | 0\% | 103 [113] | 3.1 | 16 | 13 |

1 The READ 180 class alignment for each student is drawn from the SAM export; if classes are not properly aligned to the program, then unexpected values will appear in the export and results above.

## READ 180 Classroom Summary

## Export Data Aligned Software and Test Results ${ }_{1}$

|  |  |  | Reading Inventory Lexile Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  | READ 180 Metrics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Name in Export | Number of Students | Grade <br> Range | Mean Starting Lexile | Mean Current Lexile | Change in Lexile | Low End <br> Annual Growth Goal | High End Annual Growth Goal | Average <br> Annual Growth Rate | \% of Students Exceeding Average Growth | Mean READ 180 Sessions \& [MAX] | Sessions Per Week | Minutes Per Session | Mean <br> Segments Completed |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 56 | 4 to 5 | 539 | 705 | 166 | 111 | 158 | 1.2 | 73\% | 107 [122] | 3.4 | 18 | 15 |
| Orphaned Records | 4 | 4 to 5 | 669 | 862 | 192 | 74 | 116 | 2.0 | 100\% | 78 [93] | 3.6 | 17 | 10 |
| R180_JM_Crouch_5th_1:30 | 6 | Gr. 5 | 580 | 788 | 208 | 99 | 147 | 1.7 | 100\% | 110 [115] | 3.3 | 18 | 17 |
| R180_JM_York_4th_9:10 | 13 | Gr. 4 | 553 | 736 | 182 | 101 | 141 | 1.5 | 77\% | 109 [118] | 3.4 | 18 | 15 |
| R180_JM_Doyle_5th_1:30 | 5 | Gr. 5 | 463 | 659 | 196 | 129 | 189 | 1.2 | 80\% | 117 [122] | 3.4 | 18 | 14 |
| R180_JM_York_5th_1:30 | 11 | Gr. 5 | 710 | 813 | 103 | 72 | 118 | 1.1 | 64\% | 114 [121] | 3.4 | 18 | 19 |
| R180_JM_Crouch_4th_9:10 | 7 | Gr. 4 | 471 | 631 | 159 | 130 | 175 | 1.0 | 71\% | 110 [115] | 3.3 | 18 | 20 |
| R180_JM_Doyle_4th_9:05 | 10 | Gr. 4 | 342 | 512 | 169 | 166 | 222 | 0.9 | 50\% | 102 [119] | 3.3 | 19 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberty Elementary School | 34 | 4 to 5 | 627 | 758 | 131 | 92 | 135 | 1.2 | 68\% | 61 [112] | 2.6 | 16 | 8 |
| Orphaned Records | 3 | Gr. 4 | 560 | 792 | 232 | 100 | 140 | 1.9 | 67\% | 35 [37] | 3.2 | 17 | 4 |
| R180_LE_Polomchak_4th | 13 | Gr. 4 | 573 | 712 | 139 | 103 | 142 | 1.1 | 77\% | 72 [83] | 2.8 | 19 | 9 |
| R180_LE_Casko_5th | 15 | Gr. 5 | 689 | 801 | 113 | 80 | 125 | 1.1 | 67\% | 46 [65] | 2.2 | 12 | 4 |
| R180_LE_LaHart_5th_LRE | 3 | Gr. 5 | 619 | 703 | 84 | 100 | 147 | 0.7 | 33\% | 111 [112] | 3.2 | 18 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 38 | 4 to 5 | 559 | 722 | 163 | 108 | 153 | 1.3 | 68\% | 96 [119] | 3.1 | 16 | 11 |
| Orphaned Records | 1 | Gr. 5 | 688 | 859 | 171 | 85 | 130 | 1.6 | 100\% | 76 [76] | 3.3 | 16 | 15 |
| R180_RV_ALLAN_5TH_P1 | 12 | Gr. 5 | 709 | 852 | 143 | 74 | 119 | 1.5 | 67\% | 92 [104] | 3.0 | 14 | 9 |
| R180_RV_CARDEN_4TH_P1 | 16 | Gr. 4 | 511 | 709 | 198 | 117 | 159 | 1.4 | 88\% | 103 [119] | 3.1 | 13 | 7 |
| R180_RV_Bourne_4th | 9 | 4 to 5 | 430 | 558 | 128 | 139 | 189 | 0.8 | 33\% | 92 [97] | 3.1 | 22 | 20 |
| READ 180 Totals | 392 | 4 to 10 | 712 | 815 | 103 | 70 | 108 | 1.2 | 62\% | 79 [142] | 2.9 | 16 | 11 |

1 The READ 180 class alignment for each student is drawn from the SAM export; if classes are not properly aligned to the program, then unexpected values will appear in the export and results above.

## System 44 Usage Metrics Expectations:

Blended or Stand-Alone Model Implementations

| Metric | Mid-Year | End of Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Days (Sessions) | 50 | $100+$ |
| Sessions per Week | $3+$ | $3+$ |
| Minutes per Session | 16 to 20 | 16 to 20 |
| Topics Completed | $40+$ | $80+$ |
| Series Completed | 5 to 10 | 10 to 25 |
| Current Series | 10 to 12 | 10 to 25 |

Stand-Alone Model


## System 44 Data Inclusion Process by School

| School | Students Who <br> Used System 44 <br> Software | Students with <br> Pre-Test <br> Phonics <br> Inventory <br> Data | Students with <br> 20 or More <br> System 44 <br> Software Sessions | Students with <br> Post-Test <br> Phonics <br> Inventory <br> Data | Students with <br> 8 or More <br> Weeks <br> Between Tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobart Middle School | 24 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 20 |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 30 | 29 | 27 | 15 | 15 |
| Liberty Elementary School | 44 | 44 | 23 | 20 | 19 |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 23 |
| System 44 Student Totals | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

Yellow highlights show where large numbers of students were lost from a site sample. Orange highlights show smaller losses and progressive losses stretching over multiple criteria at the same site.

To be in the Gains Analysis, students need to have at least two Phonics Inventory tests a minimum of eight weeks apart and must have at least 10 software sessions per semester (20 per year). This table shows how many students from each site met each of the criteria for analysis. As you go from left to right, the number shows how many students met that criterion and all others to the left.

Also, 95 students in System 44 had necessary software sessions and 8+ weeks between Reading Inventory test administrations.

## System 44 License Utilization



Enrolled student counts come directly from the export and are the most accurate reflection of current license utilization. Available license counts reflect total purchased licenses. For more detailed license availability counts, including number of activated licenses, please work with your Account Executive and Customer Service reps.

## Low and High End Lexile Growth Goals <br> Using Student's Fall Lexile \& Grade Level to Set Goals for Students

- HMH Reading Inventory can be used to set reading goals and to compare students' response to instruction to growth expectations from fall to spring.
- Monitoring growth helps educators to determine if students are on track to meet achievement standards.
- Expected growth is determined by fall Reading Inventory Lexile and grade level. For more on using fall Lexiles to set growth goals consult the professional paper Growth Expectations Setting Achievable Goals
- Tier III reading intervention students are unlikely to reach personal growth goals without a firm grasp of phonemic principles.
- HMH recommends that System 44 students receive three Phonics Inventory Tests to monitor emerging skills.
- Without knowledge of a student beyond data in the export, Gains Analysts assign student records with personal Lexile growth goals derived from tables similar to the one at the right.

| Grade 7 Lexile-Based Normal Growth Bands |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fall Lexile Range | Low End of <br> Normal <br> Growth <br> Range | High End of <br> Normal <br> Growth <br> Range |
| BR to 199L | 220 | 350 |
| 200L to 299L | 165 | 240 |
| 300L to 399L | 125 | 185 |
| 400L to 499L | 90 | 145 |
| 500L to 599L | 70 | 115 |
| 600L to 699L | 55 | 90 |
| 700L to 799L | 45 | 75 |
| 800L to 899L | 35 | 65 |
| 900L to 999L | 30 | 60 |
| 1000L to 1099L | 25 | 55 |
| 1100L to 1199L | 15 | 45 |
| 1200L to 1299L | 0 | 35 |

## College and Career Ready Proficiency Levels Spring Proficiency Targets for Students to Meet Rigorous Demands

| Grade | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | N/A | BR | 0 to 279L | 280 \& Above |
| 1 | BR | OL to 189L | 190L to 534L | 535L \& Above |
| 2 | BR to 219L | 220L to 419L | 420L to 654L | 655L\& Above |
| 3 | BR to 329L | 330 L to 519L | 520L to 824L | 825L\& Above |
| 4 | BR to 539L | 540L to 739L | 740L to 944L | 945L\& Above |
| 5 | BR to 619L | 620L to 829L | 830 L to 1014L | 1015L \& Above |
| 6 | BR to 729L | 730 L to 924L | 925L to 1074L | 1075L \& Above |
| 7 | BR to 769L | 770L to 969L | 970L to 1124L | 1125L \& Above |
| 8 | BR to 789L | 790L to 1009L | 1010L to 1189L | 1190L \& Above |
| 9 | BR to 849L | 850 L to 1049L | 1050L to 1264L | 1265L \& Above |
| 10 | BR to 889L | 890 L to 1079L | 1080L to 1339L | 1340L \& Above |
| 11/12 | BR to 984L | 985L to 1184L | 1185L to 1389L | 1390L \& Above |

With the release of Reading Inventory College \& Career, HMH and MetaMetrics (creator of the Lexile Framework) updated the Lexile ranges that comprise Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced performance levels for each grade. To establish the new performance levels, MetaMetrics conducted an extensive study of college and career texts. The new score ranges more accurately reflect the increased expectations for college and career readiness and indicate whether students are on track to comprehend college and career level texts by the end of high school.

# Gains Analysis 

School City of Hobart

## MATH 180 Course I MATH 180 Course II

Results Based On Program Data 08/20/2015-05/27/2016

## Executive Summary

In partnership with School City of Hobart, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt has analyzed data from three sites that have implemented the MATH 180 Course I and MATH 180 Course II intervention programs.

## Preliminary Analysis Observations

- For MATH 180 Course I
- May data export indicates evidence of good software usage and excellent growth demonstrated through content assessment.
- 196 of 200 enrolled students ( $98 \%$ ) had $20+$ sessions of software use and have completed two Math Inventory test administrations 8+ weeks apart.
- For MATH 180 Course II
- May data export indicates evidence of excellent software usage and growth demonstrated through content assessment.
- 72 of 73 enrolled students (99\%) had 20+ sessions of software use and have completed two Math Inventory test administrations 8+ weeks apart.


## Progression to Algebra

## Are Students Prepared to Go Deeper and Understand Math Concepts?

| Know number names and the count sequence. | Represent and solve problems involving addition and subtraction. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Understand and apply properties of operations and the relationship between | Represent and solve problems involving addition and subtraction. |
| Count to tell the number of objects. | addition and subtraction. | Add and subtract within 20. |
| Compare numbers. | Add and subtract within 20. | Understand place value. |
| Understand addition as putting together and adding to, and understand subtraction as taking apart and taking from. | Work with addition and subtraction equations. <br> Understand place value. | Use place value understanding and properties of operations to add and subtract. |
| Work with numbers 11-19 to gain foundations for place value. | Use place value understanding and properties of operations to add and | Measure and estimate lengths in standard units. |
|  | subtract. | Relate addition and subtraction to length. |
|  | Measure lengths |  |
|  | indirectly and by iterating length units. |  |

Grades K-2:
Foundations


Grades 3-5:
Increasing Complexity
Page 133

Grades 6-8:
Application and Reasoning

Houghton Mifflin Harcourt

## Quantile ${ }^{\circledR}$ Measures and Student Placement

## How Do Students' Quantile Measures Reflect MATH 180 Need?

| Quantile Measure | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 \& Up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100Q to 1145Q |  |  |  |  |  | G9=1140Q |
| 1050Q to 1095Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000Q to 1045Q |  |  |  |  | G8=1030Q |  |
| 950Q to 995Q |  |  |  | G7=950Q |  |  |
| 900Q to 945Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 850Q to 895Q |  |  | G6=870Q |  |  |  |
| 800Q to 845Q |  | G5=820Q |  |  |  |  |
| 750Q to 795Q |  |  |  |  | andidate | for |
| 700Q to 745Q | G4=715Q |  |  | MA | H-180 6 |  |
| 650Q to 695Q |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 600Q to 645Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 550Q to 595Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500Q to 545Q |  | Optio | al Bloc | 4 Prom | otion |  |
| 450Q to 495Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400Q to 445Q |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |
| 350Q to 395Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300Q to 345Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250Q to 295Q |  |  | IATH 18 | Course | I |  |
| 200Q to 245Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150Q to 195Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100Q to 145Q |  | FA | TT Math | or Do 7 | he Math |  |
| 50Q to 95Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EM to 45Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Students below 200Q need Tier 3 math intervention (RED ZONE).
- Students within 100Q of prior grade proficiency may be able to find success in on-grade level curriculum (GOLD ZONE).
- Students in 200Q to 600Q+ range in the fall are ready to handle MATH 180 Course I content (BLUE \& PURPLE ZONES).
- Students above 450Q may benefit from promotion out of Blocks 1, 2 or 3 up to Block 4 (PURPLE ZONE).
- Students above 600 Q may need math intervention in PreAlgebra content, within the scope of MATH 180 Course II (GREEN ZONE).
- Always use multiple measures when making student placement decisions.


## Quantile Measures and Student Placement

How Many Students Fall into Each 50Q Band?

| Quantile Measure | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 | Grade 10 \& Up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100Q to 1145Q | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 1050Q to 1095Q | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 1000Q to 1045Q | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 950Q to 995Q | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 900Q to 945Q | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 850Q to 895Q | 20 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 800Q to 845Q | 11 | 8 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
| 750Q to 795Q | 22 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| 700Q to 745Q | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 |  |  |
| 650Q to 695Q | 7 | 4 | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| 600Q to 645Q | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 550Q to 595Q | 6 | 4 | 5 | 10 |  |  |
| 500Q to 545Q | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 450 to 495Q | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 400Q to 445Q | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 350Q to 395Q | 1 | 4 |  | 3 |  |  |
| 300Q to 345Q | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 250Q to 295Q | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 200Q to 245Q | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 150Q to 195Q | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100Q to 145Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50Q to 95Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EM to 45Q |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |

## KEY

Core Math Instruction
MATH 180 Course II
MATH 180 Course I (Block 4) MATH 180 Course I (Block 1)
Tier 3 Intervention

- Above reflects this year's most current Math Inventory test for each student enrolled in MATH 180.
- Always use multiple measures to determine appropriate student placement.


## MATH 180 Course I \& Course II Summary Usage

Software Sessions


Minutes per Session


## Topic Completion



1. Assumes that MATH 180 Course I and MATH 180 Course II are implemented five days per week with full-rotational model in place each day and that implementation began no later than October.
2. Extra session time after hours is beneficial, but long sessions in class could mean that instructional time is limited.

## Summary Recommendations

After the analysis of School City of Hobart 2015-2016 end-of-year data, the following recommendations are presented to maximize success in the future.

- Recommended Implementation Model
- MATH 180 implemented daily for 55-70 minutes
- Professional development
- Coaching/follow-up days
- License utilization/expansion based on gains data
- Other recommendations...


## MATH 180 Course I

## MATH 180 Course I Gains Criteria

## How many students had sufficient data for analysis?



Math 180 gains inclusion criteria result in two subsets of students.

- Software gains analyses are based on students with $20+$ software sessions ( $n=197$ ).
- Quantile gains analyses are based on students with 20+ software sessions and two Math Inventory tests administered 8+ weeks apart ( $n=196$ ).


## Total Students by MATH 180 Course I Block

MATH 180 Course I Success Zone and mSkills Test Progress as a Measure of Learning


- Reflects 197 students in MATH 180 Course I with 20+ software sessions in the 2015-2016 school year.
- NOTE: Since students may need to participate in MATH 180 for two years, both metrics indicate progress on a cumulative basis and may not reflect content completed in the current school year. Above shows students' locations in the scope and sequence of MATH 180 Course I. Completed Blocks indicate total Blocks students completed by time of export, including any from prior years. An mSkills test is administered by the MATH 180 educator at the end of direct instruction of a Block's material.


## Total Students by MATH 180 Course I Block MATH 180 Course I Overall Performance



- Above shows students' Overall Performance by Block for MATH 180 Course I.
- Reflects 197 students in MATH 180 Course I with 20+ software sessions in the 2015-2016 school year.
- 26 students were promoted to Block 4 after completing some Topics within Blocks 1-3; 38 students started in Block 4.


## MATH 180 Course I Usage Metrics

|  |  |  |  | MATH 180 Course I Software Usage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School / Class Name | MATH 180 <br> Course I Students | Grade <br> Range | Mean mSkills Tests | Mean Sessions \& [MAX] | Mean Sessions per Week | Mean Minutes per Session | Mean Completed Topics | Mean Current Topic |
| Hobart Middle School | 87 | 6 to 8 | 4 | 98 [133] | 3.4 | 19 | 11 | 21 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_6th_P2 | 18 | Gr. 6 | 5 | 110 [131] | 3.3 | 19 | 12 | 22 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_6th_P5 | 17 | Gr. 6 | 5 | 121 [133] | 3.6 | 21 | 15 | 25 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_8th_P3a | 5 | Gr. 8 | 4 | 122 [133] | 3.7 | 19 | 12 | 13 |
| M180_HMS_Wells_7th_P6 | 20 | 7 to 8 | 5 | 105 [123] | 3.2 | 20 | 12 | 24 |
| M180_HMS_Wells_8th_P2 | 21 | Gr. 6 | 2 | 65 [77] | 3.7 | 18 | 6 | 16 |
| No MATH 180 Class Name | 6 | 6 to 8 | 3 | 75 [93] | 3.3 | 21 | 12 | 23 |
| Joan Martin Elementary School | 51 | Gr. 5 | 2 | 53 [79] | 2.5 | 17 | 8 | 9 |
| M180_JM_Coady_5th_2:30 | 17 | Gr. 5 | 2 | 57 [67] | 2.5 | 18 | 7 | 8 |
| M180_JM_mechaclass | 17 | Gr. 5 | 1 | 36 [43] | 2.4 | 15 | 5 | 6 |
| M180_JM_York_5th_2:30 | 17 | Gr. 5 | 2 | 67 [79] | 2.6 | 18 | 10 | 11 |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 59 | 5 to 6 | 1 | 46 [63] | 2.5 | 24 | 9 | 10 |
| M180_RV_goodfriendclass | 33 | 5 to 6 | 1 | 45 [63] | 2.4 | 24 | 7 | 8 |
| M180_RV_mckeeclass | 26 | Gr. 5 | 1 | 47 [57] | 2.5 | 25 | 12 | 13 |
| MATH 180 Course I Sotware Gains Totals | 197 | 5 to 8 | 2 | 71 [133] | 2.9 | 20 | 10 | 15 |

- Strongest results are typically achieved when students follow the MATH 180 Instructional Model daily, and when care is taken to ensure a positive testing environment.
- Recommended daily Brain Arcade time is 10 to 15 minutes; Brain Arcade time under five minutes may indicate that usage only occurs in class.
- Completed Topics indicates students' work completed on software.
- mSkills tests should occur at the end of each Block taught by the MATH 180 teacher and reflect inclass instruction.


## Math Inventory Results for MATH 180 Course I



- Students with a growth mindset and broad knowledge base paired with a deep understanding of mathematics are more likely to perform well on universal screeners such as The Math Inventory.


## Math Inventory Results for MATH 180 Course I

| School / Class Name | MATH 180 <br> Course I <br> Students | Mean Initial <br> Quantile <br> Measure | Mean Current <br> Quantile <br> Measure | Mean Change <br> in Quantile <br> Measure | Percent of <br> Students w/ a <br> Gain of 100Q+ | Percent of <br> Students w/ a <br> Drop of 100Q+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobart Middle School | 86 | 543 | 654 | 111 | $51 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_6th_P2 | 18 | 518 | 621 | 103 | $44 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_6th_P5 | 17 | 513 | 653 | 140 | $59 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_8th_P3a | 5 | 320 | 496 | 176 | $60 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| M180_HMS_Wells_7th_P6 | 20 | 569 | 676 | 107 | $50 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| M180_HMS_Wells_8th_P2 | 20 | 591 | 666 | 75 | $50 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| No MATH180 Class_Name | 6 | 642 | 770 | 128 | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Joan Martin ElementarySchool | 51 | 497 | 732 | 235 | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| M180_JM_Coady_5th_2:30 | 17 | 481 | 707 | 226 | $71 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| M180_JM_mechaclass | 17 | 501 | 756 | 255 | $76 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| M180_JM_York_5th_2:30 | 17 | 509 | 733 | 224 | $76 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Ridge View Elementary School | 59 | 593 | 767 | 174 | $66 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| M180_RV_goodfriendclass | 33 | 469 | 649 | 179 | $67 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| M180_RV_mckeeclass | 26 | 750 | 918 | 168 | $65 \%$ | $4 \%$ | 

- Students with a growth mindset and broad knowledge base paired with a deep understanding of mathematics are more likely to perform well on universal screeners such as The Math Inventory.


## MATH 180 Course II

## MATH 180 Course II Gains Criteria

How many students had sufficient data for analysis?


Math 180 gains inclusion criteria result in two subsets of students.

- Software gains analyses are based on students with $20+$ software sessions ( $n=72$ ).
- Quantile gains analyses are based on students with $20+$ software sessions and two Math Inventory tests administered 8+ weeks apart $(\mathrm{n}=72)$.


## Total Students by MATH 180 Course II Block

MATH 180 Course II Success Zone and mSkills Test Progress as a Measure of Learning


- Reflects 72 students in MATH 180 Course II with 20+ software sessions in the 2015-2016 school year.
- NOTE: Above indicates students' locations in the scope and sequence of MATH 180 Course II. Completed Blocks indicate total Blocks students completed by time of export. mSkills tests are administered at the end of direct instruction of a Block's material by the MATH 180 educator.


## Total Students by MATH 180 Course II Block MATH 180 Course II Overall Performance



- Above indicates students' Overall Performance by Block for MATH 180 Course II.
- Reflects 72 students in MATH 180 Course II with 20+ software sessions in the 2015-2016 school year.


## MATH 180 Course II Usage Metrics

|  |  |  |  | MATH 180 Course II Sofitware Usage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School / Class Name | MATH 180 <br> Course II <br> Students | Grade Range | Mean mSkills Tests | Mean Sessions \& [MAX] | Mean Sessions per Week | Mean Minutes per Session | Mean Completed Topics |
| Hobart Middle School | 72 | 7 to 8 | 4 | 114 [153] | 3.4 | 18 | 13 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_7th_P1 | 10 | Gr. 7 | 4 | 111 [122] | 3.1 | 15 | 11 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_7th_P6 | 9 | Gr. 7 | 4 | 117 [133] | 3.3 | 15 | 11 |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_8th_P3b | 13 | Gr. 8 | 5 | 109 [120] | 3.1 | 17 | 13 |
| M180_HMS_Wells_7th_P3 | 20 | Gr. 8 | 5 | 123 [153] | 3.7 | 19 | 14 |
| M180_HMS_Wells_8TH_P7 | 14 | Gr. 8 | 5 | 122 [144] | 3.6 | 18 | 14 |
| No MATH 180 Class Name | 6 | 7 to 8 | 3 | 78 [95] | 3.4 | 22 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH 180 Course II Sotware Gains Totals | 72 | 7 to 8 | 4 | 114 [153] | 3.4 | 18 | 13 |

- Strongest results are typically achieved when students follow the MATH 180 Instructional Model daily, and when care is taken to ensure a positive testing environment.
- Recommended Brain Arcade daily time is 10 to 15 minutes; Brain Arcade time under five minutes may indicate that usage only occurs in class.
- Completed Topics indicates students' work completed on software.
- mSkills tests should occur at the end of each Block taught by the MATH 180 teacher and reflect inclass instruction.


## Math Inventory Results for MATH 180 Course II



- Students with a growth mindset and broad knowledge base paired with a deep understanding of mathematics are more likely to perform well on universal screeners such as The Math Inventory.


## Math Inventory Results for MATH 180 Course II

| School / Class Name | MATH 180 Course II Students | Mean Initial Quantile Measure | Mean Current Quantile Measure | Mean Change in Quantile Measure | Percent of Students w/ a Gain of $100 \mathrm{Q}+$ | Percent of Students w/ a Drop of 100Q+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobart Middle School | 72 | 650 | 737 | 87 | 54\% | 18\% |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_7th_P1 | 10 | 663 | 698 | 35 | 50\% | 50\% |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_7th_P6 | 9 | 617 | 807 | 191 | 89\% | 0\% |
| M180_HMS_Tobin_8th_P3b | 13 | 613 | 783 | 170 | 69\% | 8\% |
| M180_HMS_Wells_7th_P3 | 20 | 686 | 754 | 69 | 45\% | 10\% |
| M180_HMS_Wells_8TH_P7 | 14 | 701 | 730 | 29 | 36\% | 21\% |
| No MATH 180 Class Name | 6 | 523 | 556 | 33 | 50\% | 33\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH 180 Course II Quantile Gains Totals | 72 | 650 | 737 | 87 | 54\% | 18\% |

- Students with a growth mindset and broad knowledge base paired with a deep understanding of mathematics are more likely to perform well on universal screeners such as The Math Inventory.


# Appendix <br> MATH 180 Background and Supplemental Reports 

## MATH 180 Course I Overall Performance

Total Students by Mean Performance on All Completed Content


- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course I program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course I Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 1 to Block 3



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course I program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course I Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 4 to Block 6



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course I program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course I Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 7 to Block 9



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course I program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course II Overall Performance <br> Total Students by Mean Performance on All Completed Content



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course II program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course II Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 1 to Block 3



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course II program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course II Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 4 to Block 6



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course II program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 Course II Overall Performance

Total Students by Performance on Completed Topics and mSkills Tests

## Block 7 to Block 9



- Above data shows that students typically score Proficient or Master level in each completed Topic of the MATH 180 Course II program.
- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## MATH 180 License Utilization



- School City of Hobart have 19 MATH 180 Course I licenses and 48 MATH 180 Course II licenses that are currently not in use.


## MATH 180 Model and Usage Expectations

Set Realistic and Attainable Goals for Implementation


Set a goal of 15-20 software sessions per month of implementation.

## Understand MATH 180 Course I Content

## 9 Blocks - 3 Topics Each Block - 5 Lessons Each Topic



## MATH 180 Course I Content Completion

Overall Performance by Block ( $\mathrm{n}=196$ Gains Students)


- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## Understand MATH 180 Course II Content

## 9 Blocks - 3 Topics Each Block - 5 Lessons Each Topic

| Block 1 <br> Rates in Time | Block 2 <br> Rate and Ratio | Block 3 <br> Ratio Relationships |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block 4 <br>  <br> Proportional Reasoning | Block 5 <br> Proportional Relationships | Block 6 <br> Linear Relationships |
| Block 7 <br> Graphs in the Plane | Block 8 <br> Functions | Block 9 <br> Systems of Equations |

## MATH 180 Course II Content Completion

Overall Performance by Block ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ Gains Students)


- Overall Performance metrics are a comprehensive assessment of student ability which incorporate all mSkills tests administrations, Learn Zone daily performance, Success Zone summative scores, and Brain Arcade activity.


## College and Career Ready Quantile Measure Proficiency Spring Targets for Performance Bands - What is Proficiency on July 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ?

| Grade | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | EM400Q - EM190Q | EM185Q - 5Q | 10Q-175Q | 180Q \& Above |
| 1 | EM400Q - 60Q | 65Q - 255Q | 260Q-450Q | 455Q \& Above |
| 2 | EM400Q - 205Q | 210Q-400Q | 405Q - 600Q | 605Q \& Above |
| 3 | EM400Q-425Q | 430Q-620Q | 625Q - 850Q | 855Q \& Above |
| 4 | EM400Q - 540Q | 545Q - 710Q | 715Q - 950Q | 955Q \& Above |
| 5 | EM400Q-640Q | 645Q - 815Q | 820Q-1020Q | 1025Q \& Above |
| 6 | EM400Q - 700Q | 705Q - 865Q | 870Q-1125Q | 1130Q \& Above |
| 7 | EM400Q - 770Q | 775Q - 945Q | 950Q-1175Q | 1180Q \& Above |
| 8 | EM400Q - 850Q | 855Q - 1025Q | 1030Q - 1255Q | 1260Q \& Above |
| 9 | EM400Q - 940Q | 945Q - 1135Q | 1140Q - 1325Q | 1330Q \& Above |
| 10 | EM400Q - 1020Q | 1025Q - 1215Q | 1220Q - 1375Q | 1380Q \& Above |
| 11 | EM400Q - 1150Q | 1155Q - 1345Q | 1350Q - 1425Q | 1430Q \& Above |
| 12 | EM400Q - 1190Q | 1195Q - 1385Q | 1390Q - 1505Q | 1510Q \& Above |

As many states adopt more rigorous standards for content and assessment, HMH has partnered with MetaMetrics to determine what Quantile Measure performance would best prepare students to be college ready in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century. The Math Inventory Quantile Measure performance bands above have been implemented as part of SAM and gains reports since Fall 2014.




TRENDS AND PATTERNS

1. End of year data indicates strong growth with good use of Read 180, System 44, and Math 180
2. $99 \%$ of Read 180 enrolees and $98 \%$ of Math 180 enrollees had sufficient data for analysis.
3. Recommendations are made that license utilization of available tests be increased. $9 \%$ of Math 180 Course 1, 40\% of Math 180 Course 2, 27\% of System 44, and $15 \%$ of Read 180 of licenses purchased have gone unutilized.
4. Male and female growth numbers appear to be close.

## STRENGTHS

1. $83 \%$ of students participating in Read 180 and $82 \%$ of students participating in System 44show lexile gains.
2. 207 Read 180 students showed strong participation and lexile gains.
3. An average of $70 \%$ of system wide students showed gains in accuracy on System 44.
4. 251 students showed Reading Inventory scores taken at least 2 weeks apart.
5. 1297 students show proficiency and 742 advanced on Reading Inventory results.
6. $62 \%$ of Math 180 students showed gains of 100 quartiles or more for course 1. $54 \%$ showed similar gains for course 2

## CHALLENGES

1. Only 77 of 124 students enrolled in System 44 showed sufficient data for analysis (62\%)
2. 234 show below basic and 611 basic on Reading Inventory results.
3. License non-utilization varies from $9 \%$ to $40 \%$ on analysis tools.
4. Although the numbers tend to be small usually under $20 \%$ analysis tools show some students showing drops in scores,
5. $65 \%$ or fewer universally screened students met or exceed their spring low end growth goal.

## Student Performance: <br> $\frac{\text { College and Career }}{\text { Readiness }}$



AP Five-Year School Score Summary (2016)

 score and mean score.

- Data Updated Jun 26, 2016, Report Run Jul 13, 2016

Hobart High School (151545)
\%of Total AP Students with Scores 3+


|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hobart High School (151545) |  |  |  |  |
| Total AP Students | 121 | 153 | 185 | 237 | 189 |
| Number of Exams | 188 | 235 | 339 | 393 | 295 |
| AP Students with Scores 3+ | 26 | 32 | 48 | 49 | 50 |
| - \%of Total AP Students with Scores 3+ | 21.5 | 20.9 | 25.9 | 20.7 | 26.5 |
|  |  |  | Indiana |  |  |
| Total AP Students | 41,512 | 42,354 | 44,491 | 46,625 | 48,841 |
| Number of Exams | 66,385 | 68,030 | 73,202 | 77,516 | 82,074 |
| AP Students with Scores 3+ | 19,775 | 20,977 | 22,716 | 23,964 | 24,873 |
| - \%of Total AP Students with Scores 3+ | 47.6 | 49.5 | 51.1 | 51.4 | 50.9 |
|  |  |  | Global |  |  |
| Total AP Students | 2,106,843 | 2,225,625 | 2,352,026 | 2,497,164 | 2,613,264 |
| Number of Exams | 3,714,079 | 3,955,410 | 4,199,454 | 4,516,044 | 4,711,915 |
| AP Students with Scores 3+ | 1,295,051 | 1,354,800 | 1,442,136 | 1,515,264 | 1,573,240 |
| \%of Total AP Students with Scores 3+ | 61.5 | 60.9 | 61.3 | 60.7 | 60.2 |

[^0] earn a bachelor's degree.

The data in this report differs from other College Board reports, such as The AP Report to the Nation, which tracks exams taken by seniors throughout their high school career (cohort-based) and includes public school data only.
© 2016 The College Board. College Board, AP, Advanced Placement, Advanced Placement Program, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board.

## AP Five-Year School Score Summary (2016)

- Data Updated Jun 26, 2016, Report Run Jul 13, 2016

Hobart High School (151545)

| Hobart High School (151545) |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  |  |  | Global |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biology | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 479 | 145 | 185 | 221 | 224 | 37,875 | 11,188 | 14,169 | 14,351 | 15,712 |
| 4 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 515 | 590 | 823 | 837 | 798 | 32,512 | 44,035 | 47,989 | 49,708 | 50,073 |
| 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 469 | 1,428 | 1,514 | 1,495 | 1,633 | 27,513 | 73,865 | 75,312 | 80,744 | 80,088 |
| 2 |  | 21 |  | 7 | 6 | 669 | 1,689 | 1,471 | 1,536 | 1,578 | 27,896 | 59,665 | 58,024 | 61,741 | 68,579 |
| 1 |  | 6 |  | 3 | 4 | 2,118 | 448 | 550 | 467 | 712 | 66,153 | 15,149 | 18,770 | 18,384 | 24,075 |
| Total Exams |  | 34 |  | 18 | 18 | 4,250 | 4,300 | 4,543 | 4,556 | 4,945 | 191,949 | 203,902 | 214,264 | 224,928 | 238,527 |
| Mean Score |  | 2.12 |  | 2.44 | 2.33 | 2.19 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 2.74 | 2.64 | 2.73 | 2.88 | 2.91 | 2.91 | 2.85 |
| Calculus AB | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1,152 | 1,150 | 1,245 | 1,135 | 1,281 | 67,630 | 67,783 | 72,511 | 66,411 | 76,658 |
| 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 913 | 1,071 | 982 | 1,073 | 1,110 | 45,705 | 51,440 | 48,984 | 51,769 | 53,535 |
| 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 1,202 | 1,117 | 1,239 | 1,367 | 1,228 | 46,711 | 49,101 | 52,076 | 56,482 | 53,603 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 875 | 947 | 868 | 874 | 821 | 27,309 | 31,833 | 31,360 | 31,371 | 30,053 |
| 1 | 29 | 27 | 33 | 24 | 26 | 3,294 | 3,213 | 3,270 | 3,078 | 3,038 | 80,731 | 83,261 | 89,775 | 98,285 | 94,831 |
| Total Exams | 39 | 33 | 41 | 24 | 27 | 7,436 | 7,498 | 7,604 | 7,527 | 7,478 | 268,086 | 283,418 | 294,706 | 304,318 | 308,680 |
| Mean Score | 1.51 | 1.33 | 1.32 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 2.43 | 2.47 | 2.48 | 2.51 | 2.57 | 2.97 | 2.96 | 2.94 | 2.86 | 2.96 |
| Chemistry | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 267 | 346 | 169 | 208 | 210 | 21,735 | 26,535 | 15,047 | 14,178 | 16,184 |
| 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 391 | 551 | 412 | 383 | 406 | 25,674 | 30,081 | 25,155 | 24,703 | 23,960 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 634 | 626 | 729 | 930 | 883 | 26,714 | 26,318 | 38,533 | 43,084 | 42,285 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 626 | 642 | 1,068 | 1,062 | 1,028 | 19,874 | 20,841 | 38,359 | 38,033 | 38,057 |
| 1 | 26 | 29 | 45 | 43 | 32 | 1,714 | 1,369 | 1,054 | 1,143 | 1,005 | 38,786 | 36,403 | 31,946 | 33,277 | 33,279 |
| Total Exams | 33 | 33 | 57 | 50 | 43 | 3,632 | 3,534 | 3,432 | 3,726 | 3,532 | 132,783 | 140,178 | 149,040 | 153,275 | 153,765 |
| Mean Score | 1.33 | 1.21 | 1.26 | 1.14 | 1.26 | 2.14 | 2.40 | 2.29 | 2.32 | 2.37 | 2.79 | 2.93 | 2.68 | 2.66 | 2.69 |

© CollegeBoard

## AP Five-Year School Score Summary (2016)

- Data Updated Jun 26, 2016, Report Run Jul 13, 2016

Hobart High School (151545)

| Hobart High School (151545) |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  |  |  | Global |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language and Composition | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 670 | 720 | 725 | 815 | 859 | 48,795 | 48,927 | 48,497 | 52,434 | 58,410 |
| 4 |  | 4 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1,358 | 1,173 | 1,495 | 1,593 | 1,540 | 90,100 | 77,548 | 90,548 | 97,172 | 96,198 |
| 3 |  | 4 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 2,220 | 2,215 | 2,541 | 2,566 | 2,653 | 128,834 | 136,438 | 143,859 | 144,613 | 148,622 |
| 2 |  | 27 | 19 | 31 | 13 | 2,454 | 2,553 | 2,999 | 3,073 | 3,891 | 124,286 | 142,270 | 152,507 | 157,552 | 175,669 |
| 1 |  | 5 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 1,006 | 1,177 | 1,291 | 1,414 | 1,646 | 53,157 | 72,552 | 71,713 | 78,604 | 68,897 |
| Total Exams |  | 41 | 46 | 51 | 41 | 7,708 | 7,838 | 9,051 | 9,461 | 10,589 | 445,172 | 477,735 | 507,124 | 530,375 | 547,796 |
| Mean Score |  | 2.24 | 2.17 | 2.14 | 2.46 | 2.77 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 2.72 | 2.63 | 2.90 | 2.77 | 2.79 | 2.79 | 2.82 |


| English Literature and Composition | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 422 | 444 | 434 | 397 | 410 | 31,628 | 29,387 | 30,531 | 30,460 | 30,160 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1,046 | 1,064 | 1,167 | 1,208 | 1,211 | 68,478 | 72,663 | 70,802 | 73,125 | 72,244 |
| 3 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 15 | 21 | 2,191 | 2,285 | 2,323 | 2,442 | 2,545 | 115,711 | 121,601 | 118,081 | 122,631 | 119,302 |
| 2 | 13 | 10 | 26 | 48 | 36 | 2,951 | 2,910 | 3,074 | 3,045 | 3,656 | 122,977 | 122,374 | 131,572 | 131,534 | 135,408 |
| 1 | 1 |  | 12 | 14 | 13 | 888 | 783 | 882 | 746 | 987 | 42,279 | 40,506 | 47,745 | 45,004 | 48,604 |
| Total Exams | 33 | 16 | 58 | 83 | 73 | 7,498 | 7,486 | 7,880 | 7,838 | 8,809 | 381,073 | 386,531 | 398,731 | 402,754 | 405,718 |
| Mean Score | 2.70 | 2.44 | 2.19 | 2.16 | 2.21 | 2.62 | 2.66 | 2.64 | 2.68 | 2.59 | 2.80 | 2.81 | 2.76 | 2.78 | 2.75 |


| European History | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 153 | 168 | 140 | 145 | 151 | 11,488 | 11,439 | 9,557 | 11,177 | 8,027 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 275 | 267 | 240 | 244 | 225 | 20,936 | 20,678 | 18,661 | 18,770 | 17,466 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 440 | 442 | 429 | 487 | 436 | 39,289 | 38,307 | 37,602 | 38,484 | 31,834 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 106 | 169 | 156 | 162 | 512 | 11,717 | 12,116 | 13,011 | 11,535 | 38,338 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 34 | 2 | 257 | 325 | 313 | 372 | 192 | 25,553 | 27,564 | 31,877 | 28,363 | 13,402 |
| Total Exams |  |  |  | 48 | 8 | 1,231 | 1,371 | 1,278 | 1,410 | 1,516 | 108,983 | 110,104 | 110,708 | 108,329 | 109,067 |
| Mean Score |  |  |  | 1.48 | 2.13 | 2.97 | 2.84 | 2.79 | 2.74 | 2.76 | 2.83 | 2.78 | 2.65 | 2.75 | 2.71 |

© CollegeBoard

## AP' Five-Year School Score Summary (2016)

- Data Updated Jun 26, 2016, Report Run Jul 13, 2016

Hobart High School (151545)

| Hobart High School (151545) |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  | Global |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Psychology | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 423 | 528 | 554 | 654 | 745 | 45,811 | 50,833 | 48,766 | 56,123 | 56,032 |
| 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 794 | 881 | 1,042 | 1,068 | 1,121 | 57,351 | 63,606 | 69,937 | 73,009 | 76,504 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 648 | 770 | 937 | 1,025 | 979 | 42,944 | 46,778 | 51,953 | 55,148 | 55,999 |
| 2 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 516 | 561 | 733 | 708 | 820 | 29,832 | 31,026 | 35,206 | 36,423 | 41,605 |
| 1 | 17 | 28 | 57 | 43 | 31 | 834 | 842 | 1,195 | 1,194 | 1,168 | 44,942 | 47,277 | 54,608 | 57,657 | 63,533 |
| Total Exams | 39 | 48 | 83 | 60 | 48 | 3,215 | 3,582 | 4,461 | 4,649 | 4,833 | 220,880 | 239,520 | 260,470 | 278,360 | 293,673 |
| Mean Score | 2.23 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 1.47 | 1.60 | 2.83 | 2.91 | 2.78 | 2.85 | 2.89 | 3.13 | 3.17 | 3.09 | 3.12 | 3.07 |


| Studio Art: 2-D Design Portfolio | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 40 | 52 | 79 | 70 | 3,391 | 3,411 | 3,795 | 4,892 | 4,453 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 99 | 118 | 129 | 118 | 128 | 6,776 | 7,931 | 8,055 | 8,177 | 10,202 |
| 3 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 132 | 140 | 177 | 149 | 151 | 7,681 | 8,757 | 9,646 | 9,200 | 10,831 |
| 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 110 | 116 | 97 | 98 | 110 | 5,134 | 4,553 | 5,039 | 5,077 | 4,779 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 27 | 16 | 14 | 28 | 7 | 1,062 | 893 | 969 | 1,385 | 672 |
| Total Exams |  | 5 |  | 9 |  | 401 | 430 | 469 | 472 | 466 | 24,044 | 25,545 | 27,504 | 28,731 | 30,937 |
| Mean Score |  | 2.60 |  | 2.44 |  | 3.00 | 3.12 | 3.23 | 3.26 | 3.31 | 3.26 | 3.33 | 3.32 | 3.35 | 3.42 |
| United States Government and Politics | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 316 | 326 | 384 | 261 | 386 | 30,048 | 28,845 | 32,336 | 27,546 | 36,481 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 393 | 475 | 468 | 483 | 463 | 35,792 | 36,550 | 33,898 | 38,345 | 40,111 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 744 | 933 | 1,069 | 908 | 894 | 59,352 | 66,864 | 71,829 | 70,019 | 73,873 |
| 2 |  |  | 5 |  | 2 | 930 | 1,038 | 1,087 | 1,004 | 937 | 58,820 | 63,612 | 67,126 | 70,847 | 71,147 |
| 1 |  |  | 14 | 5 | 6 | 1,188 | 1,203 | 1,161 | 1,065 | 1,028 | 55,892 | 60,346 | 66,996 | 76,566 | 74,750 |
| Total Exams |  |  | 21 | 6 | 8 | 3,571 | 3,975 | 4,169 | 3,721 | 3,708 | 239,904 | 256,217 | 272,185 | 283,323 | 296,362 |
| Mean Score |  |  | 1.52 | 1.33 | 1.25 | 2.36 | 2.42 | 2.48 | 2.43 | 2.53 | 2.69 | 2.65 | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.64 |

$\emptyset$ CollegeBoard

## AP' Five-Year School Score Summary (2016)

, Data Updated Jun 26, 2016, Report Run Jul 13, 2016
Hobart High School (151545)

| Hobart High School (151545) |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  | Global |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States History | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 513 | 528 | 523 | 438 | 720 | 50,106 | 47,306 | 50,976 | 44,897 | 58,717 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1,182 | 1,227 | 1,326 | 1,049 | 1,234 | 91,098 | 95,758 | 98,927 | 85,806 | 88,262 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1,586 | 1,635 | 1,543 | 1,651 | 1,839 | 92,766 | 96,020 | 93,548 | 112,701 | 110,928 |
| 2 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2,821 | 2,653 | 2,656 | 2,277 | 2,303 | 114,111 | 120,095 | 130,143 | 118,045 | 114,475 |
| 1 | 32 | 12 | 18 | 37 | 26 | 2,897 | 2,538 | 2,138 | 2,526 | 2,545 | 80,636 | 85,449 | 91,384 | 115,077 | 119,726 |
| Total Exams | 44 | 25 | 33 | 44 | 29 | 8,999 | 8,581 | 8,186 | 7,941 | 8,641 | 428,717 | 444,628 | 464,978 | 476,526 | 492,108 |
| Mean Score | 1.32 | 1.60 | 1.64 | 1.25 | 1.14 | 2.29 | 2.37 | 2.44 | 2.32 | 2.45 | 2.80 | 2.77 | 2.76 | 2.64 | 2.70 |

## Indiana College Readiness Reports

## 2014 High School Graduates School City of Hobart

## College Going (within a year of high school graduation)

## High School Graduates Enrolling in College



## Indiana College Readiness Reports

## 2014 High School Graduates School City of Hobart



並

## High School Graduate Enrollment by College Type

| College Type | \# of HS Graduates | \% of Total HS <br> Graduates |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana Public College | 136 | $49.6 \%$ |
| Indiana Private College (non-profit) | 11 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Indiana Private College (for-profit) | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-State Public College | 10 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Out-of-State Private College (non-profit) | 6 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Out-of-State Private College (for-profit) | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Non-degree Granting School | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Did Not Enroll in College | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1 \%}$ |

## Indiana Public College Enrollment

Indiana Public College Enrollment by College

| College | \# Enrolled in IN <br> Public College | \% of Total Enrolled <br> in IN Public College |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ball State University | 9 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Indiana State University | 2 | $1.5 \%$ |
| University of Southern Indiana | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Bloomington | 10 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Indiana University-East | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Kokomo | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Northwest | 39 | $28.7 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Purdue University-Indianapolis | 9 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Indiana University-South Bend | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Southeast | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Indiana University-Purdue University-Fort Wayne | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Purdue University-Calumet Campus | 14 | $10.3 \%$ |
| Purdue University-North Central Campus | 8 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Purdue University-Statewide Technology | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Purdue University-West Lafayette | 7 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Ivy Tech Community College | 36 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Vincennes University | 2 | $1.5 \%$ |

## Indiana College Readiness Reports

## 2014 High School Graduates School City of Hobart

## Indiana Public College Enrollment by Degree Type

| Degree Type | \# Enrolled in IN <br> Public College | \% of Total Enrolled <br> in IN Public College |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor's Degree (four-year) | 97 | $71.3 \%$ |
| Associate Degree (two-year) | 39 | $28.7 \%$ |
| Award of at least 1 but less than 2 academic years | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Award of less than 1 academic year | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unclassified undergraduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Indiana Public College Enrollment by Program Type

| Program Type | \# Enrolled in IN <br> Public College | \% of Total Enrolled <br> in IN Public College |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Humanities | 13 | $10 \%$ |
| Business and Communication | 20 | $15 \%$ |
| Education | 8 | $6 \%$ |
| Health | 42 | $31 \%$ |
| Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) | 30 | $22 \%$ |
| Social and Behavioral Sciences and Human Services | 9 | $7 \%$ |
| Trades | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Undecided | 11 | $8 \%$ |

Indiana Public College Enrollment by Status

| Status | \# Enrolled in IN <br> Public College | \% of Total Enrolled <br> in IN Public College |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Full-Time Students | 107 | $79 \%$ |
| Part-Time Students | 29 | $21 \%$ |

## Academic Preparation



## Indiana College Readiness Reports

## 2014 High School Graduates School City of Hobart

Indiana Public College Students Needing Remediation
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## Student Performance

## Indiana Public College Student Performance

| Breakdown | \# Enrolled in IN Public College | Average Freshman Year GPA | Average Freshman Credit Hours Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School Diploma Type |  |  |  |
| Honors | 60 | 3.1 | 27.11 |
| Core 40 | 65 | 2.0 | 16.35 |
| General | 11 | 1.4 | 4.45 |
| High School Graduation Waiver Status |  |  |  |
| Graduated with Waiver | *** | *** | *** |
| Graduated without Waiver | *** | *** | *** |
| Advanced Placement Status |  |  |  |
| Took and Passed an AP Test | 14 | 3.2 | 29.50 |
| Took but Did Not Pass an AP Test | 54 | 2.9 | 25.23 |
| Did Not Take an AP Test | 68 | 2.0 | 14.16 |
| Dual Credit Status |  |  |  |
| Earned Dual Credit from an Indiana Public College | 100 | 2.6 | 22.97 |
| Did Not Earn Dual Credit from an Indiana Public College | 36 | 1.9 | 12.28 |
| ACT/SAT College Readiness Benchmark |  |  |  |
| Met ACT/SAT College Readiness Benchmark | 55 | 3.0 | 25.66 |
| Did Not Meet ACT/SAT College Readiness Benchmark | 29 | 2.4 | 20.79 |
| Did Not Take ACT/SAT | 52 | 1.9 | 13.92 |
| 21st Century Scholar Status |  |  |  |
| 21st Century Scholar | 31 | 2.6 | 23.53 |
| Non 21st Century Scholar | 105 | 2.4 | 19.13 |
| Socioeconomic Status |  |  |  |
| Free or Reduced Lunch | 52 | 2.5 | 20.64 |
| Non Free or Reduced Lunch | 84 | 2.5 | 19.82 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 94 | 2.5 | 20.15 |
| Black | 8 | *** | *** |
| Hispanic | 23 | 2.5 | 21.93 |
| Asian | *** | *** | *** |
| Other | *** | *** | *** |
| Enrollment Status |  |  |  |
| Full-Time Students | 107 | 2.6 | 23.02 |
| Part-Time Students | 29 | 1.8 | 9.48 |
| All Students | 136 | 2.5 | 20.14 |

# Indiana College Readiness Reports 
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Data Sources: Indiana Commission for Higher Education (CHE); Indiana Department of Education (IDOE), National Student Clearinghouse (NSC)

## NOTES:

High School Graduates ("Students"): Count of Indiana high school graduates and associated disaggregations are based on the total count of graduates reported on the IDOE-GR report for 2014. Graduate counts are not IDOE cohort graduate counts and thus may not match cohort graduate counts and associated disaggregations reported in other places, such as DOE Compass. SOURCE: IDOE
College Enrollment: Represents students reported as enrolled in postsecondary education, regardless of institution type, within the year following high school graduation (e.g., for 2014 high school graduates, postsecondary enrollment is counted for 2014-15 school year). A student was considered enrolled only if: a) s/he was enrolled as a degree or certificate-seeking undergraduate student and b) s/he was enrolled for the equivalent of at least one semester during the school year.
SOURCES: NSC, CHE
Indiana Public College Enrollment: Represents students reported as enrolled in an Indiana public postsecondary institution. SOURCE: CHE
21st Century Scholar Status: Represents students who were eligible for affirmation and affirmed. Students who were enrolled in K-12 as Scholars but did not affirm, or students who affirmed but were not eligible, are not considered 21st Century Scholars for this report. SOURCE: CHE
AP Participation/Passing Exam Status: Represents students who sat for and/or passed (received score of 3 or higher) at least one Advanced Placement exam. SOURCE: IDOE
College Entrance Exam Readiness Benchmark: Represents students who passed/did not pass at least one college readiness benchmark score established by the testing agencies. The SAT benchmarks are 500 for both the Critical Reading and Mathematics sections. The ACT benchmarks are 18, 22, 22, and 23 for the English, Mathematics, Reading, and Science sections, respectively. If no SAT or ACT score was on file for student, $s / h e$ was reported as not taking a college entrance exam. Data available for 2012 high school graduate cohort onward. SOURCE: IDOE
Dual Credit Status: Represents students who earned/did not earn credit hours awarded by Indiana public colleges that were recognized by both the high school and the postsecondary institution. Dual credit calculations only include credits awarded by Indiana publics. SOURCE: CHE
Full-time/Part-time Enrollment Status: Full-time enrollment defined as enrolled in 12 or more credits in the semester of entry at an Indiana public college. Part-time enrollment defined as enrolled in fewer than 12 credits in the semester of entry at an Indiana public college. SOURCE: CHE
Remediation Enrollment: Represents Indiana public college students identified as deficient in the general competencies necessary for regular postsecondary curriculum in English/language arts and/or mathematics. Students enrolled in both credit and non-credit remedial coursework are included in remediation totals. SOURCE: CHE
Remediation Success: Represents Indiana public college students who successfully complete the subject(s) in which they were identified as needing remediation. Students who were identified as needing remediation in both English/language arts and math needed to successfully complete both English/language arts and math in order to be counted as earning remedial credit. SOURCE: CHE
Freshman GPA: Represents cumulative grade point average for the latest term of enrollment at an Indiana public college in the year following high school graduation. SOURCE: CHE
Freshman Credit Hours Earned: Represents average number of institutional credits earned during the year following high school graduation at an Indiana public college. SOURCE: CHE
***Not Applicable/Suppressed Data: Data may be missing either because no data were available or fewer than 10 students were in a group and the data had to be suppressed for privacy reasons. In some cases, at least two groups of student data had to be suppressed because of complementary suppression rules

# The 
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> SCHOOL CITY OF HOBART

SUPERINTENDENT
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This report reflects the achievement of your graduates on the ACT over time and an indication of the extent to which they are prepared for college-level work. The ACT consists of curriculum-based tests of educational development in English, mathematics, reading, and science designed to measure the skills needed for success in first-year college coursework. Table 1 shows the five-year trend of your ACT-tested graduates. Beginning with the 2013 Graduating Class, all students whose scores are college reportable, both standard and extended time tests, are included in this report.

Table 1: Five Year Trends - Average ACT Scores

| Total Tested |  |  | English |  | Mathematics |  | Reading |  | Science |  | Composite |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grad Year | District | State | District | State | District | State | District | State | District | State | District | State |
| 2012 | 39 | 22,372 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 20.7 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 22.3 |
| 2013 | 292 | 26,227 | 17.4 | 21.0 | 17.5 | 21.9 | 17.6 | 22.1 | 16.8 | 21.4 | 17.5 | 21.7 |
| 2014 | 254 | 27,226 | 18.7 | 21.1 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 19.5 | 22.3 | 19.2 | 21.6 | 19.2 | 21.9 |
| 2015 | 273 | 27,415 | 18.1 | 21.5 | 17.9 | 22.0 | 19.3 | 22.6 | 18.1 | 21.8 | 18.5 | 22.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3}$ |

Figure 1. Percent of ACT-Tested Students Ready for College-Level Coursework


A High School College Readiness Letter has been sent to the Principal of each high school with at least one ACT-tested graduate.

ACT Research has shown that it is the rigor of coursework - rather than simply the number of core courses - that has the greatest impact on ACT performance and college readiness. Figures 2 and 3 report the value added by increasingly rigorous coursework in mathematics and science respectively.

Figure 2. Average ACT Mathematics Scores by Course Sequence


## Value Added by Mathematics Courses

Students who take Algebra 1, Algebra 2, and Geometry typically achieve higher ACT Mathematics scores than students who take less than three years of mathematics. In addition, students who take more advanced mathematics courses substantially increase their ACT Mathematics score.

Figure 3. Average ACT Science Scores by Course Sequence


# Value Added by Science Courses 

Students taking Biology and Chemistry in combination with Physics typically achieve higher ACT Science scores than students taking less than three years of science courses.

In order to ensure that all students are ready for college, an overview of vital action steps is provided.

## College Readiness for All: An Action Plan for Schools and Districts

1. Create a Common Focus. Establish collaborative partnerships with local and state postsecondary institutions to come to a shared understanding of what students need to know for college readiness. Use ACT's College Readiness Benchmarks as a common language to define readiness.
2. Establish High Expectations for All. Create a school culture that identifies and communicates the need for all students to meet or exceed College Readiness Benchmark Scores.
3. Require a Rigorous Curriculum. Review and evaluate the rigor and alignment of courses offered and required in your school in English, mathematics, and science to ensure that the foundational skills leading to readiness for college-level work are taught, reaffirmed, and articulated across courses.
4. Provide Student Counseling. Engage all students in early college and career awareness, help them to set high aspirations, and ensure that they plan a rigorous high school coursework program.
5. Measure and Evaluate Progress. Monitor and measure every student's progress early and often using college readiness assessments like ACT Aspire and the ACT. Make timely interventions with those students who are not making adequate progress in meeting College Readiness Benchmarks.

To learn more about these recommended action steps and ACT programs that will help improve college readiness for your students, contact ACT Customer Service at 319-337-1365 or customerservices@act.org.

## PSAT 8/9 2015-Fall, 8th grade - Benchmarks by Institution



## PSAT 8/9 2015-Fall, 9th grade - Benchmarks by Institution



## PSAT/NMSQT 2015-Fall, 10th grade - Benchmarks by Institution



## PSAT/NMSQT 2015-Fall, 11th grade - Benchmarks by Institution



## Old SAT School Day October 2015 (with essay), All Grades- Benchmarks by Institution



## SAT School Day April 2016, All Grades- Benchmarks by Institution

| District <br> Met both benchmarks | State <br> Met both benchmarks | Met both benchmarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



## 2016 College-Bound Seniors

## High School Highlights Report

## CollegeBoard

HOBART HIGH SCHOOL (H151545)

## Included in This Report

Five-Year Trends for High School, State and Total Group:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SAT }^{\oplus} \text { Data } \\
& \text { SAT Subject Tests }{ }^{\text {TM }} \text { Data }
\end{aligned}
$$

Demographic and Academic Information

College Plans

DATA EMBARGO IN EFFECT This report contains information on college-bound students in the class of 2016 who took the pre-March 2016 SAT ${ }^{*}$ or SAT Subject Tests ${ }^{\top M}$ at any time during high school. Data and other information in this report are embargoed from dissemination to the media and general public until after the College Board makes state and total group-level data and information publically available. The embargo will be lifted no later than September 30, 2016. Prior to that time, you may use the data and other information in this report for internal purposes. The College Board will post updated information in the coming weeks about the embargo at https://collegeboard.org/press; if you have questions, please contact the College Board communications department at communications@collegeboard.org.
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## Appendix

## Group Scores on the SAT

## SAT ${ }^{\circledR}$ Takers: Critical Reading Mean Scores and Middle 50th Percent Range

 includes senior test-takers in this class who took the SAT prior to March 2016. A small percentage of seniors take their first SAT between March and June of their senior years. Results from the new SAT can be accessed from the SAT Suite of Assessments integrated score reporting portal. For more information see sat.org/k12-scores

"Mean' and "Middle 50th Percent Range": The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores. To calculate the mean, the scores are totaled and the sum is divided by the number of scores. Extreme scores on the high or low end of the distribution may skew the mean in smaller sets of scores. The middie 50 th percent range shows the score range between the 25 th and 75 th percentiles.

Mean Score Five-Year Trend


Mean Scores and Point Change by Year

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Five- Yr <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOBART HIGH SCHOOL | 474 | 481 | 478 | 428 | 427 | -47 |
|  | Change | $+7$ | -3 | -50 | -1 |  |

INDIANA

TOTAL GROUP

| 496 | 496 | 497 | 495 | 494 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change: | 0 | +1 | -2 | -1 |

Mean Scores' and 'Point Change by Year' The change below each year reflects the point difference between the mean score of that year as compared to the mean score of the previous year. The 'Five-Year Change' in mean score reflects the point difference between the mean score in 2016 as compared to the mean score in 2012

## SAT Takers: Mathematics Mean Scores and Middle 50th Percent Range


"Mean" and "Middle 50th Percent Range": The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores. To calculate the mean, the scores are totaled and the sum is divided by the number of scores Extreme scores on the high or low end of the distribution may skew the mean in smaller sets of scores. The middle 50th percent range shows the score range between the 25 th and 75 th percentiles.

Mean Score Five-Year Trend


Mean Scores and Point Change by Year

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Five-Yr Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOBART HIGH SCHOOL | 457 | 460 | 479 | 408 | 422 | -35 |
|  | Change: | +3 | +19 | -71 | +14 |  |
| INDIANA | 501 | 500 | 500 | 499 | 499 | -2 |
|  | Change: | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL GROUP | 514 | 514 | 513 | 511 | 508 | -6 |
|  | Change: | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 |  |

'Mean Scores' and 'Point Change by Year'. The change below each year reflects the point
difference between the mean score of that year as compared to the mean score of the difference between the mean score of that year as compared to the mean score of the the mean score in 2016 as compared to the mean score in 2012

## SAT Takers: Writing Mean Scores and Middle 50th Percent Range



Mean Score Five-Year Trend


Mean Scores and Point Change by Year

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Five- $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}$ <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOBART HIGH SCHOOL | 452 | 469 | 464 | 412 | 422 | -30 |
|  | Change: | +17 | -5 | -52 | +10 |  |

INDIANA

TOTAL GROUP
'Mean Scores' and 'Point Change by Year' The change below each year reflects the point difference between the mean score of that year as compared to the mean score of the previous year The 'Five-Year Change' in mean score reflects the point difference between the mean score in 2016 as compared to the mean score in 2012

SAT Takers: Critical Reading Mean Scores by Race/Ethnicity


|  |  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 486 180 | 478 200 | 496 171 | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 468 \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 482 \\ 9,716 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ 9,818 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 483 \\ 9,767 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 481 \\ 10,031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 468 \\ 7,778 \end{gathered}$ |
| Asian | Mean N | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 449 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 437 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 507 1,341 | 511 1,417 | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ 1,425 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 508 \\ 1,539 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 512 \\ 1,374 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 518 \\ 192,577 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 521 \\ 196,030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 523 \\ 206,564 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 525 \\ 211,238 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 529 \\ 196,735 \end{gathered}$ |
| Black or African American | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 444 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 431 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 375 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 420 \\ 4,209 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 4,219 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 427 \\ 4.147 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 426 \\ 4,267 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 424 \\ 3,776 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 428 \\ 217,656 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 431 \\ 210,151 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 431 \\ 212,524 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 431 \\ 219,018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 430 \\ 199,306 \end{gathered}$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | Mean N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 446 7 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 432 \\ 2,371 \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 467 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 442 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 408 \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 454 \\ 2,561 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 454 \\ 2,813 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458 \\ 2,832 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 459 \\ 3,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 454 \\ 3,513 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ 272,633 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 450 \\ 284,261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 451 \\ 300,357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 449 \\ 322,873 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ 355,829 \end{gathered}$ |
| White | Mean N | $\begin{aligned} & 483 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 494 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 432 \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 434 \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 504 \\ 38,171 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 504 \\ 38,084 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 37,699 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ 36,762 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 508 \\ 33,490 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 527 \\ 852,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 527 \\ 834,933 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 529 \\ 822,821 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 529 \\ 800,236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 528 \\ 742,436 \end{gathered}$ |
| Two or More Races, nonHispanic | Mean N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 458 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 822 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ 28,460 \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | Mean N | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 453 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ 1,066 \end{gathered}$ | 489 1,087 | 494 1,090 | $\begin{gathered} 487 \\ 1,135 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ 62,340 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 492 \\ 62,251 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 493 \\ 64,774 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490 \\ 65,063 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 496 \\ 20,604 \end{gathered}$ |
| No Response* | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 479 599 | $\begin{aligned} & 485 \\ & 656 \end{aligned}$ | 494 478 | $\begin{aligned} & 483 \\ & 516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 498 \\ & 818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 444 \\ 57,413 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ 62.603 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 434 \\ 55,588 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 434 \\ 70,062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 451 \\ 84,070 \end{gathered}$ |

In June 2015, the College Board changed the collection and reporting of race/ethnicity categories to reflect US Department of Educatign recommendations; trends may not be avalable for all race/ethnicity categories.
*No Response" indicates that students did not answer that question, did not complete the SAT Questionnaire, or stated that they didnagieh $190_{\text {swer that question on their SAT Questionnaire }}$

## SAT Takers: Mathematics Mean Scores by Race/Ethnicity



|  |  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 494 180 | $\begin{aligned} & 478 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 480 \\ & 171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 486 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 467 \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 489 \\ 9,716 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ 9,818 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484 \\ 9,767 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 482 \\ 10,031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 471 \\ 7,778 \end{gathered}$ |
| Asian | Mean N | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 474 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 430 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 561 \\ 1,341 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 564 \\ 1,417 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 561 \\ 1,425 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 558 \\ 1,539 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 562 \\ 1,374 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 595 \\ 192,577 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 597 \\ 196,030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 598 \\ 206,564 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 598 \\ 211,238 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 602 \\ 196,735 \end{gathered}$ |
| Black or African American | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 374 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 426 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 373 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 341 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 417 \\ 4,209 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 419 \\ 4,219 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 418 \\ 4,147 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 417 \\ 4,267 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 417 \\ 3,776 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 428 \\ 217,656 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 429 \\ 210,151 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 429 \\ 212,524 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 428 \\ 219,018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 199,306 \end{gathered}$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | Mean N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 464 7 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 438 \\ 2,371 \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 433 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 405 \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 416 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 459 \\ 2,561 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 457 \\ 2,813 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458 \\ 2,832 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 457 \\ 3,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 450 \\ 3,513 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 462 \\ 272,633 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 461 \\ 284,261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 459 \\ 300,357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 456 \\ 322,873 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 453 \\ 355,829 \end{gathered}$ |
| White | Mean N | $\begin{aligned} & 468 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 465 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 494 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 410 \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 434 \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 512 \\ 38,171 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ 38,084 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ 37,699 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 510 \\ 36,762 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 512 \\ 33,490 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 536 \\ 852,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 534 \\ 834,933 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 534 \\ 822,821 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 534 \\ 800,236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 533 \\ 742,436 \end{gathered}$ |
| Two or More Races, nonHispanic | Mean N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 469 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 481 \\ & 822 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 505 \\ 28,460 \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | Mean N | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 378 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 485 \\ 1,066 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484 \\ 1,087 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484 \\ 1,090 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 475 \\ 1,135 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 516 \\ 62,340 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 519 \\ 62,251 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 520 \\ 64,774 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 519 \\ 65,063 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 519 \\ 20,604 \end{gathered}$ |
| No Response* | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 350 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 468 \\ & 599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476 \\ & 656 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 469 \\ & 478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476 \\ & 516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 484 \\ & 818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 502 \\ 57,413 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 508 \\ 62,603 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 499 \\ 55,588 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 492 \\ 70,062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 501 \\ 84,070 \end{gathered}$ |

In June 2015, the College Board changed the collection and reporting of race/ethnicity categories to reflect US Department of Educa Paggem199ations; trends may not be available for all race/ethnicity categories. *No Response" indicates that students did not answer that question, did not complete the SAT Questionnaire, or stated that they did not wish to answer that question on their SAT Questionnaire.

SAT Takers: Writing Mean Scores by Race/Ethnicity


|  |  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 463 180 | 455 200 | 467 171 | $\begin{aligned} & 466 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 452 \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 462 \\ 9,716 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 461 \\ 9,818 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 461 \\ 9,767 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 460 \\ 10,031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 447 \\ 7.778 \end{gathered}$ |
| Asian | Mean N | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 429 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 410 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 504 \\ 1,341 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 505 \\ 1,417 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 508 \\ 1,425 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 499 \\ 1,539 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 505 \\ 1,374 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 528 \\ 192,577 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 527 \\ 196,030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 530 \\ 206,564 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 531 \\ 211,238 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 534 \\ 196,735 \end{gathered}$ |
| Black or African American | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 411 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 422 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 394 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 380 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 408 \\ 4,209 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 409 \\ 4,219 \end{gathered}$ | 412 4,147 | $\begin{gathered} 414 \\ 4,267 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ 3,776 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 417 \\ 217,656 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 418 \\ 210,151 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 418 \\ 212,524 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 418 \\ 219,018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 415 \\ 199,306 \end{gathered}$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | Mean N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 427 7 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 423 \\ 2,371 \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 457 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 393 \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 414 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 440 \\ 2,561 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ 2,813 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 442 \\ 2,832 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 440 \\ 3,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 437 \\ 3,513 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 442 \\ 272,633 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 443 \\ 284,261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 443 \\ 300,357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 439 \\ 322,873 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 436 \\ 355,829 \end{gathered}$ |
| White | Mean N | $\begin{aligned} & 460 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 418 \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 432 \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ 38,171 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 487 \\ 38,084 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ 37,699 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 489 \\ 36,762 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 488 \\ 33,490 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 515 \\ 852,144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 515 \\ 834,933 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 513 \\ 822,821 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 513 \\ 800,236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ 742,436 \end{gathered}$ |
| Two or More Races, nonHispanic | Mean N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 439 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 466 \\ & 822 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 488 \\ 28,460 \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | Mean $N$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ 1,066 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 471 \\ 1,087 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 471 \\ 1,090 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 468 \\ 1.135 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 479 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ 62,340 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490 \\ 62,251 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ 64,774 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 487 \\ 65,063 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 491 \\ 20,604 \end{gathered}$ |
| No Response* | Mean N | 1 |  |  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 350 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 459 \\ & 599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462 \\ & 656 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 461 \\ & 478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 463 \\ & 516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 471 \\ & 818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ 57,413 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 453 \\ 62,603 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 438 \\ 55,588 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 436 \\ 70,062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 452 \\ 84,070 \end{gathered}$ |

In June 2015, the College Board changed the collection and reporting of race/ethnicity categories to reflect US Department of Education recommendations; trends may not be available for all race/ethnicity categories
**No Response" indicates that students did not answer that question, did not complete the SAT Questionnaire, or stated that they diPages 200swer that question on their SAT Questionnaire.

## Demographic Summary of SAT Takers

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAT Takers | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Number of Test-Takers | 171 | 111 | 101 | 249 | 350 | 48,127 | 48,476 | 47,842 | 47,548 | 44,333 | 1,664,479 | 1,660,047 | 1,672,395 | 1,698,521 | 1,637,589 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male Test-Takers | 41\% | 46\% | 35\% | 45\% | 50\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 44\% | 44\% | 47\% | 47\% | 47\% | 47\% | 47\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 492 | 486 | 493 | 403 | 415 | 500 | 499 | 503 | 503 | 502 | 498 | 499 | 499 | 497 | 495 |
| Mathematics Mean | 483 | 486 | 516 | 397 | 420 | 522 | 519 | 520 | 519 | 518 | 532 | 531 | 530 | 527 | 524 |
| Writing Mean | 454 | 464 | 452 | 384 | 399 | 470 | 472 | 472 | 473 | 471 | 481 | 482 | 481 | 478 | 475 |
| Female Test-Takers | 59\% | 54\% | 65\% | 55\% | 50\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 56\% | 56\% | 53\% | 53\% | 53\% | 53\% | 53\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 461 | 476 | 470 | 450 | 439 | 488 | 489 | 492 | 490 | 492 | 493 | 494 | 495 | 493 | 493 |
| Mathematics Mean | 439 | 439 | 459 | 417 | 425 | 483 | 484 | 484 | 483 | 485 | 499 | 499 | 499 | 496 | 494 |
| Writing Mean | 450 | 473 | 470 | 435 | 445 | 481 | 481 | 481 | 482 | 482 | 494 | 493 | 492 | 490 | 487 |
| First Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English Only | 95\% | 95\% | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% | 92\% | 92\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% | 72\% | 71\% | 70\% | 68\% | 68\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 476 | 486 | 481 | 433 | 429 | 495 | 497 | 500 | 499 | 499 | 507 | 508 | 510 | 509 | 508 |
| Mathematics Mean | 458 | 461 | 479 | 410 | 424 | 502 | 501 | 502 | 500 | 501 | 514 | 512 | 512 | 510 | 508 |
| Writing Mean | 453 | 472 | 466 | 418 | 424 | 478 | 480 | 479 | 480 | 480 | 494 | 495 | 494 | 493 | 490 |
| English and Another Language | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 9\% | 8\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 463 | 370 | 500 | 420 | 419 | 479 | 479 | 482 | 480 | 471 | 479 | 479 | 482 | 479 | 476 |
| Mathematics Mean | 440 | 448 | 516 | 400 | 422 | 488 | 491 | 487 | 486 | 477 | 509 | 509 | 508 | 505 | 499 |
| Writing Mean | 438 | 402 | 470 | 390 | 417 | 466 | 467 | 469 | 466 | 459 | 480 | 478 | 480 | 476 | 471 |
| Another Language | 2\% | 1\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 12\% | 13\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| Critical Reading Mean |  |  | 394 |  |  | 453 | 447 | 453 | 450 | 454 | 461 | 462 | 464 | 464 | 465 |
| Mathematics Mean |  |  | 438 |  |  | 493 | 490 | 489 | 487 | 486 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 524 | 525 |
| Writing Mean |  |  | 434 |  |  | 450 | 446 | 446 | 442 | 447 | 470 | 468 | 470 | 467 | 468 |

## Demographic Summary of SAT Takers

HOBART HIGH SCHOOL INDIANA TOTAL GROUP

| SAT Takers | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Test-Takers | 171 | 111 | 101 | 249 | 350 | 48,127 | 48,476 | 47,842 | 47,548 | 44,333 | 1,664,479 | 1,660,047 | 1,672,395 | 1,698,521 | 1,637,589 |
| Parental Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school diploma or associate degree | 63\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% | 62\% | 48\% | 46\% | 46\% | 45\% | 44\% | 38\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 459 | 489 | 469 | 426 | 422 | 468 | 469 | 471 | 469 | 469 | 466 | 467 | 466 | 465 | 464 |
| Mathematics Mean | 449 | 466 | 474 | 402 | 413 | 475 | 473 | 472 | 469 | 470 | 479 | 479 | 476 | 473 | 471 |
| Writing Mean | 440 | 483 | 450 | 408 | 416 | 450 | 452 | 450 | 451 | 451 | 455 | 456 | 453 | 452 | 449 |
| Bachelor's or four-year degree | 25\% | 27\% | 23\% | 26\% | 28\% | 32\% | 33\% | 33\% | 34\% | 34\% | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 513 | 475 | 493 | 453 | 454 | 515 | 516 | 516 | 515 | 515 | 522 | 523 | 523 | 521 | 521 |
| Mathematics Mean | 496 | 462 | 493 | 438 | 460 | 526 | 524 | 522 | 521 | 521 | 540 | 540 | 539 | 537 | 535 |
| Writing Mean | 486 | 450 | 492 | 444 | 447 | 499 | 500 | 496 | 497 | 496 | 513 | 513 | 512 | 509 | 508 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 7\% | 10\% | 16\% | 6\% | 6\% | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 475 | 501 | 506 | 496 | 473 | 547 | 549 | 551 | 551 | 550 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 560 | 558 |
| Mathematics Mean | 441 | 462 | 491 | 455 | 455 | 555 | 555 | 555 | 553 | 555 | 577 | 576 | 575 | 575 | 572 |
| Writing Mean | 460 | 470 | 495 | 465 | 469 | 531 | 533 | 532 | 533 | 530 | 555 | 553 | 551 | 550 | 547 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$40,000 | 30\% | 18\% | 23\% | 31\% | 29\% | 27\% | 26\% | 25\% | 25\% | 24\% | 31\% | 30\% | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 472 | 453 | 464 | 393 | 422 | 454 | 458 | 460 | 456 | 459 | 449 | 451 | 453 | 451 | 452 |
| Mathematics Mean | 443 | 422 | 475 | 382 | 401 | 456 | 458 | 457 | 452 | 456 | 472 | 473 | 471 | 468 | 466 |
| Writing Mean | 439 | 420 | 459 | 378 | 417 | 436 | 439 | 440 | 438 | 440 | 442 | 443 | 443 | 441 | 440 |
| \$40,000 to less than \$60,000 | 22\% | 20\% | 8\% | 20\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 16\% | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 439 | 459 | 440 | 427 | 443 | 482 | 487 | 488 | 487 | 488 | 485 | 487 | 489 | 488 | 488 |
| Mathematics Mean | 447 | 456 | 452 | 410 | 439 | 488 | 488 | 487 | 487 | 486 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 497 | 495 |
| Writing Mean | 427 | 462 | 473 | 417 | 431 | 462 | 469 | 466 | 469 | 467 | 473 | 474 | 474 | 473 | 471 |
| \$60,000 to less than \$100,000 | 35\% | 31\% | 45\% | 32\% | 33\% | 31\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 499 | 483 | 489 | 478 | 443 | 496 | 500 | 500 | 502 | 502 | 505 | 505 | 509 | 510 | 510 |
| Mathematics Mean | 489 | 453 | 480 | 451 | 447 | 506 | 509 | 505 | 505 | 506 | 518 | 517 | 518 | 518 | 518 |
| Writing Mean | 490 | 495 | 463 | 459 | 437 | 478 | 483 | 479 | 482 | 482 | 492 | 492 | 494 | 494 | 493 |
| \$100,000 and above | 13\% | 31\% | 24\% | 17\% | 22\% | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Critical Reading Mean | 493 | 487 | 514 | 444 | 433 | 521 | 523 | 527 | 526 | 528 | 538 | 537 | 542 | 543 | 544 |
| Mathematios Mean | 450 | 497 | 512 | 436 | 437 | 536 | 535 | 536 | 535 | 537 | 556 | 553 | 556 | 555 | 555 |
| Writing Mean | 456 | 474 | 498 | 447 | 434 | 506 | 508 | 508 | 509 | 510 | 531 | 529 | 531 | 531 | 531 |
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## Course-Taking Patterns of SAT Takers

## HOBART HIGH SCHOOL

TOTAL GROUP

## SAT Takers

Number of Test-Takers

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 171 | 111 | 101 | 249 | 350 |


| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48,127 | 48,476 | 47,842 | 47,548 | 44,333 |


| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,664,479$ | $1,660,047$ | $1,672,395$ | $1,698,521$ | $1,637,589$ |

## Average Years of Study

Arts and Music
English and Language Arts
Foreign and Classical Languages
Mathematics
Natural Sciences
Social Sciences and History
Total Average Years of Study

| 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ |


| 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ |


| 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ |

Years of Study (percent of test-takers)
Arts and Music, 1 or More Years
English and Language Arts, 4 or More Years
Foreign Language, 3 or More Years
Mathematics, 4 or More Years
Calculus
Natural Sciences, 3 or More Years
Social Sciences and History, 3 or More Years

| 66 | 83 | 86 | 67 | 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 82 | 90 | 89 | 88 | 75 |
| 57 | 73 | 82 | 53 | 55 |
| 77 | 84 | 83 | 77 | 65 |
| 23 | 34 | 40 | 21 | 15 |
| 85 | 86 | 85 | 83 | 83 |
| 90 | 79 | 82 | 74 | 77 |


| 87 | 88 | 89 | 89 | 87 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 85 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 84 |
| 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 75 |
| 77 | 78 | 79 | 78 | 79 |
| 22 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 28 |
| 87 | 86 | 87 | 86 | 89 |
| 85 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |


| 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 82 | 83 | 84 | 83 | 83 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 62 |
| 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 79 |
| 26 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 34 |
| 89 | 88 | 89 | 88 | 90 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 89 |

High School Rank of SAT Takers

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School Rank (percent of test-takers) | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Highest Tenth | 27 | 37 | 29 | 33 | 25 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Second Tenth | 19 | 21 | 25 | 14 | 18 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 27 |
| Second Fifth | 23 | 21 | 23 | 16 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| Final Three-Fifths | 31 | 21 | 23 | 37 | 35 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| Overall High School GPA | 3.07 | 3.16 | 3.34 | 2.87 | 2.89 | 3.26 | 3.29 | 3.32 | 3.32 | 3.33 | 3.36 | 3.38 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 3.38 |
| SAT Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Reading Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 474 | 481 | 478 | 428 | 427 | 493 | 493 | 497 | 496 | 496 | 496 | 496 | 497 | 495 | 494 |
| Highest Tenth High School Rank | 600 | 547 | 550 | 547 | 551 | 571 | 572 | 575 | 576 | 574 | 573 | 572 | 575 | 574 | 575 |
| Second Tenth High School Rank | 487 | 462 | 494 | 450 | 461 | 507 | 507 | 512 | 511 | 510 | 508 | 508 | 511 | 511 | 510 |
| Second Fifth High School Rank | 469 | 488 | 481 | 495 | 471 | 475 | 480 | 482 | 481 | 482 | 480 | 481 | 483 | 482 | 482 |
| Final Three-Fifths High School Rank | 424 | 466 | 433 | 404 | 395 | 435 | 434 | 440 | 437 | 439 | 435 | 436 | 439 | 438 | 438 |
| Mathematics Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 457 | 460 | 479 | 408 | 422 | 501 | 500 | 500 | 499 | 499 | 514 | 514 | 513 | 511 | 508 |
| Highest Tenth High School Rank | 586 | 543 | 539 | 532 | 544 | 592 | 590 | 590 | 590 | 589 | 606 | 606 | 606 | 605 | 603 |
| Second Tenth High School Rank | 469 | 480 | 516 | 406 | 468 | 523 | 522 | 524 | 522 | 520 | 534 | 534 | 534 | 532 | 528 |
| Second Fifth High School Rank | 445 | 428 | 496 | 462 | 463 | 487 | 489 | 487 | 486 | 489 | 498 | 497 | 497 | 494 | 491 |
| Final Three-Fifths High School Rank | 410 | 422 | 423 | 388 | 405 | 438 | 437 | 438 | 436 | 436 | 446 | 445 | 445 | 443 | 442 |
| Writing Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 452 | 469 | 464 | 412 | 422 | 476 | 477 | 477 | 478 | 477 | 488 | 488 | 487 | 484 | 482 |
| Highest Tenth High School Rank | 590 | 520 | 538 | 535 | 560 | 561 | 562 | 560 | 562 | 558 | 571 | 569 | 569 | 568 | 566 |
| Second Tenth High School Rank | 449 | 468 | 470 | 445 | 465 | 491 | 493 | 494 | 496 | 492 | 500 | 499 | 500 | 499 | 496 |
| Second Fifth High School Rank | 442 | 487 | 451 | 484 | 455 | 459 | 462 | 459 | 462 | 463 | 467 | 468 | 469 | 467 | 465 |
| Final Three-Fifths High School Rank | 403 | 423 | 433 | 368 | 402 | 416 | 417 | 420 | 420 | 421 | 423 | 423 | 424 | 423 | 422 |

## SAT Subject Tests ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ : Mean Scores and Number of Test-Takers

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAT Subject Test-Takers | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Number of SAT Subject Test-Takers | 1 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 1,332 | 1,242 | 1,233 | 1,126 | 1,427 | 265,096 | 251,304 | 248,089 | 241,429 | 237,576 |
| Percent of SAT Takers | 1\% |  | 3\% | 1\% |  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Literature |  |  |  |  |  | 643 | 636 | 648 | 651 | 546 | 604 | 613 | 619 | 618 | 599 |
|  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 493 | 404 | 408 | 356 | 585 | 79,925 | 67.132 | 62,195 | 56,594 | 57,761 |
| United States History Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 671 | 674 | 656 | 663 | 542 | 640 | 651 | 643 | 645 | 624 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 439 | 410 | 379 | 341 | 496 | 96,136 | 84,455 | 77,899 | 70,298 | 66,967 |
| World History Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 680 | 687 | 673 | 662 | 639 | 619 | 624 | 626 | 618 | 615 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 87 | 70 | 105 | 85 | 18,074 | 18.172 | 17,779 | 16,657 | 15,542 |
| Mathematics Level 1 Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 631 | 627 | 635 | 637 | 530 | 617 | 621 | 621 | 619 | 599 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  | 402 | 407 | 373 | 334 | 584 | 78,461 | 72,828 | 69,119 | 65,319 | 66,058 |
| Mathematics Level $2 \quad$ Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 707 | 702 | 716 | 707 | 692 | 677 | 686 | 691 | 690 | 690 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 711 | 686 | 697 | 702 | 749 | 143,317 | 140,690 | 144,432 | 144,772 | 145,140 |
| Biology - Ecological Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 654 | 635 | 668 | 645 | 559 | 623 | 626 | 627 | 625 | 616 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 | 103 | 120 | 98 | 162 | 35,532 | 32,662 | 33,058 | 31.027 | 31,965 |
| Biology - Molecular Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 685 | 678 | 669 | 680 | 652 | 654 | 655 | 653 | 652 | 647 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 150 | 175 | 171 | 174 | 191 | 41,782 | 41,495 | 41,635 | 42,253 | 40,231 |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |  | 686 | 679 | 695 | 696 | 666 | 662 | 666 | 668 | 666 | 668 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 370 | 331 | 391 | 357 | 370 | 72.488 | 72.250 | 74,591 | 73,551 | 71,173 |
| Physics Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 675 | 670 | 678 | 683 | 677 | 662 | 667 | 665 | 667 | 667 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 246 | 225 | 236 | 229 | 245 | 49,544 | 52,323 | 56,445 | 58,921 | 56,751 |

## SAT

## SAT Subject Tests: Mean Scores and Number of Test-Takers

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAT Subject Test-Takers | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Number of SAT Subject Test-Takers | 1 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 1,332 | 1,242 | 1,233 | 1.126 | 1,427 | 265,096 | 251,304 | 248,089 | 241,429 | 237,576 |
| Percent of SAT Takers | 1\% |  | 3\% | 1\% |  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | $3 \%$ | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Chinese with Listening Mean N |  |  |  |  |  | 731 | 686 | 776 | 758 | 753 | 759 | 759 | 758 | 759 | 761 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 6,585 | 6,167 | 5,682 | 5,204 | 4,925 |
| French |  |  |  |  |  | 615 | 616 | 614 | 641 | 622 | 631 | 635 | 635 | 636 | 634 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 60 | 58 | 34 | 48 | 9,213 | 8,635 | 7,993 | 7,587 | 6,800 |
| French with Listening M |  |  |  |  |  | 675 | 636 | 619 | 676 | 627 | 656 | 654 | 664 | 666 | 664 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 25 | 2,288 | 1,972 | 1,870 | 1.621 | 1,533 |
| German |  |  |  |  |  | 602 | 619 | 630 | 552 | 550 | 628 | 622 | 640 | 644 | 636 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 734 | 758 | 739 | 706 | 621 |
| German with Listening |  |  |  |  |  | 603 | 636 | 555 | 618 | 602 | 614 | 624 | 626 | 636 | 629 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 710 | 675 | 620 | 438 | 479 |
| Modern Hebrew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 616 | 620 | 615 | 608 | 614 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 436 | 412 | 368 | 330 | 344 |
| Italian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 691 | 684 | 694 | 695 | 677 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 634 | 635 | 486 | 492 | 488 |
| Japanese with Listening M |  |  |  |  |  | 465 | 663 |  | 620 | 630 | 692 | 688 | 695 | 694 | 704 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1,750 | 1,521 | 1.410 | 1,332 | 1,317 |
| Korean with Listening |  |  |  |  |  | 784 | 796 |  | 744 |  | 769 | 767 | 767 | 768 | 764 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3,552 | 2,986 | 2,453 | 2,110 | 1,891 |
| Latin |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 620 | 574 | 599 | 558 | 616 | 615 | 626 | 613 | 632 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 2,864 | 2,960 | 3,041 | 2,790 | 2,483 |
| Spanish |  |  |  |  |  | 630 | 630 | 637 | 617 | 620 | 649 | 656 | 651 | 651 | 653 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 121 | 109 | 111 | 95 | 26,285 | 22,453 | 21,069 | 19,302 | 18,161 |
| Spanish with Listening Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 648 | 632 | 645 | 643 | 604 | 670 | 668 | 664 | 665 | 660 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 25 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 4,898 | 3,868 | 3,321 | 2,982 | 2.914 |

## Intended College Major

| Intended Major (percent of test-takers*) | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Arts or Humanities | 9 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Architecture and Related Services | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Visual and Performing Arts | 5 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| English Language and Literature/Letters | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Foreign Lang, Literatures and Linguistics |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Philosophy, Religion and Theology | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological Sciences or Related Areas | 32 | 27 | 39 | 28 | 28 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| Agniculture or Natural Resources | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 7 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Serv. | 24 | 24 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Business, Commerce or Communications | 11 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Business and Commerce | 8 | 9 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Communication, Journalism and Related Prog. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Physical Sciences or Related Areas | 14 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Computer and Info Scla and Support Services | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Engineering | 9 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mathematics and Statistics |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Physical Sciences | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Social Sciences or Related Areas | 24 | 17 | 16 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Education | 11 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Family and Consumer Sci/Human Sci. |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library Science And Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Military Technologies \& Applied Sciences | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Public Affairs and Services | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Social Sciences and History | 10 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General and Interdisciplinary | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Technical and Vocational | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Undecided | 6 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

* Due to rounding, percent totals may not add up to 100 .


## SAT Takers: Degree-Level Goal, Plans for Advanced Standing in College Courses

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree-Level Goal (percent of test-takers*) | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Certificate | 1 |  |  | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Associate | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bachelor's | 37 | 53 | 36 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 27 |
| Master's | 19 | 16 | 32 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 34 |
| Doctorate | 18 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 24 |
| Other | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Undecided | 21 | 19 | 11 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| Applying for Financial Aid | 85 | 86 | 93 | 73 | 65 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 77 |

[^1]
## SAT Program Test-Takers: Institutions That Received the Highest <br> Percentage of Score Reports from Your Students

The Percent of Score Senders Who Designated That Their Scores Be Sent to Each Institution

|  | HOBART HIGH SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDIANA |  |  | TOTAL GROUP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutions Designated by Score Senders* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | One-Yr <br> Change | Five-Yr <br> Change | 2016 | One-Yr <br> Change | Five-Yr <br> Change | 2016 | One-Yr <br> Change | Five-Yr <br> Change |
| Indiana University Bloomington | 29 | 32 | 48 | 30 | 46 | +16 | +17 | 42 | 0 | +2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University Northwest | 27 | 25 | 39 | 27 | 39 | +12 | +12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis | 15 | 30 | 35 | 28 | 33 | +5 | +18 | 26 | 0 | +5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Purdue University | 42 | 52 | 48 | 27 | 29 | +2 | -13 | 33 | +1 | -4 | 3 | 0 | +1 |
| Ball State University | 31 | 35 | 21 | 25 | 27 | +2 | -4 | 37 | +2 | +5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Purdue University Calumet | 36 | 33 | 24 | 21 | 27 | +6 | -9 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ivy Tech Community College: Northwest | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 19 | +18 | +11 | 1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valparaiso University | 22 | 29 | 27 | 21 | 18 | -3 | -4 | 5 | +1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana State University | 20 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 15 | -4 | -5 | 16 | -1 | +2 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| Purdue University North Central | 11 | 22 | 14 | 9 | 15 | +6 | +4 | 3 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Columbus |  |  | 2 | 1 | 12 | +11 | +12 | 2 | +1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Butler University | 9 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 8 | -1 | -1 | 12 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | +2 | +2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ivy Tech Community College |  |  |  |  | 5 | +5 | +5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Chicago | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | +2 | +1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Indianapolis | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | -2 | 9 | +1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American College Of Education |  |  |  |  | 2 | +2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anderson University |  |  |  |  | 2 | +2 | +2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona State University |  | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | +2 | +2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Calumet College of St. Joseph | 2 | 3 |  |  | 2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Columbia College Chicago | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grace College |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | -7 | +2 | 3 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana Academy for Science, Math, and Humanities |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | +1 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University Kokomo | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | +2 | +1 | 2 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana University South Bend | 1 |  |  | 3 | 2 | -1 | +1 | 4 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^2]

## GROUP SCORES ON THE SAT

## How to Tell When a Difference Between Two Group Mean (Average) Scores Is

 Statistically Significant or Most Likely Just Chance

## How to Use This Graph

> Use this graph when comparing the mean scores of similar groups across different years or within a given year.
$>$ First, determine the average size of the two groups for which you are comparing scores, then locate that point on the horizontal axis
> Next, locate the point on the vertical axis corresponding to the difference in the mean scores of the groups being compared.
> Locate the point where the two values intersect. Score differences that lie in the area to the left and beneath the curve are most likely to be due to chance; i.e., the chance of the two mean scores being different is 5 percent or less. Those that lie in the area to the right and above the curve are considered statistically significant.
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Percentage of High Schools Experiencing Change in Mean (Average)

## Scores

for College-Bound Seniors from 2015 and 2016

## Critical Reading

| Mean <br> Change of <br> at Least | Schools <br> with 50-99 <br> Test-Takers | Schools with <br> $100-299$ <br> Test-Takers | Schools <br> with 300+ <br> Test-Takers | All Schools <br> with 50+ <br> Test-Takers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $59 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 20 | $28 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 30 | $11 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 40 | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 50 | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Mathematics

| Mean <br> Change of <br> at Least | Schools <br> with 50-99 <br> Test-Takers | Schools with <br> $100-299$ <br> Test-Takers | Schools <br> with 300+ <br> Test-Takers | All Schools <br> with 50+ <br> Test-Takers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $60 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 20 | $29 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 30 | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| 40 | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 50 | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Writing |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | Schools | Schools with | Schools | All Schools |
| Change of | with $50-99$ | $100-299$ | with 300+ | with 50+ |
| at Least | Test-Takers | Test-Takers | Test-Takers | Test-Takers |
| 10 | $59 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 20 | $28 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 30 | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 40 | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 50 | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Points to Note

> More than half of all high schools experience mean score changes of at least 10 points up or down from one year to the next.
> Broken down by school size, mean changes are most likely at low-volume schools and least likely at high-volume schools.
> Mean scores are reported where there are five or more test-takers. Percentiles (75th, 50th and 25th) are reported when there are 20 or more test-takers.

## Areas Served by College Board Regional Offices



The College Board National Office
250 Vesey Street
New York, NY 10281
212-713-8000
212-713-8255 (Fax)

## Middle States Regional Office

Three Bala Plaza East, Suite 501
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-1501
866-392-3019
610-227-2580 (Fax)

## Midwestern Regional Office

8700 West Bryn Mawr Avenue,
Suite 900N
Chicago, IL 60631-3512
866-392-4086
847-653-4528 (Fax)

New England Regional Office
1601 Trapelo Road, Suite 12 Waltham, MA 02451-7333 866-392-4089
781-663-2743 (Fax)

## Southern Regional Office

3700 Crestwood Parkway NW, Suite 700 Duluth, GA 30096-7155
866-392-4088
770-225-4062 (Fax)

Southwestern Regional Office
4330 Gaines Ranch Loop, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78735-6735
866-392-3017
512-721-1841 (Fax)

## Western Regional Office

2001 Gateway Place, Suite 220W
San Jose, CA 95110-1051
866-392-4078
408-367-1459 (Fax)

## Puerto Rico and Latin America Offic

 208 Ponce de León Avenue, Suite 1501 San Juan, PR 00918-1017Mailing address:
P.O. Box 71101

San Juan, PR 00936-8001
787-772-1200
787-759-8629 (Fax - Reception area) 787-764-4306 (Fax - Director's office)

The College Board International 250 Vesey Street New York, NY 10281
212-373-8738 646-417-7350 (Fax)

Washington Office
1919 M Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036-2375
202-741-4700
202-741-4743 (Fax)

## Florida Office

1545 Raymond Diehl Road, Suite 250
Tallahassee, FL 32308-1500
850-521-4900
850-521-4921 (Fax)
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DATA EMBARGO IN EFFECT. This report contains information on college-bound students in the class of 2016 who took the pre-March 2016 SAT or SAT Subject Tests at any time during high school. Data and other information in this report are embargoed from dissemination to the media and general public until after the College Board makes state and total group-level data and information publically available. The embargo will be lifted no later than September 30, 2016. Prior to that time, you may use the data and other information in this report for internal purposes. The College Board will post updated information in the coming weeks about the embargo at https://collegeboard.org/press; if you have questions, please contact the College Board communications department at communications@collegeboard.org.

## The SAT ${ }^{\oplus}$ Program

The SAT® assesses student reasoning based on knowledge and skills developed by the students in their course work. The SAT Subject Tests ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (formerly known as SAT II: Subject Tests) are a series of one-hour, mostly multiple-choice tests that measure how much students know about a particular academic subject and how well they can apply that knowledge. Most students also complete the optional SAT Questionnaire (formerly known as the Student Descriptive Questionnaire) when they register to take SAT Program tests, providing valuable contextual information to aid in interpreting and understanding individual and group scores. College-Bound Seniors 2016 includes students who tested through January 2016. The College Board administered the first redesigned SAT in March 2016. While a majority of the Class of 2016 took only the pre-March 2016 SAT, a small percentage took the new SAT. The report includes senior test-takers in this class who took the SAT prior to March 2016. To help schools with the transition to the new SAT, we have included an addendum on results from the March - June 2016 administrations,

## Using This Report

College-Bound Seniors presents data on high school graduates in the year 2016 who participated in the SAT Program. Students are counted only once, no matter how often they tested, and only their latest scores and most recent SAT Questionnaire responses are summarized. Because the accuracy of self-reported information has been documented and the college-bound population is relatively stable from year to year, SAT Questionnaire responses from these students can be considered highly accurate. Therefore, you can use this report to:

- Interpret scores of individual students within the broader context of data aggregated across groups of college-bound seniors.
- Study changes over time in the characteristics of students taking SAT tests.
- Look at year-to-year educational and demographic changes in this population, along with changes in test performance.

Keep in mind, however, that:

- Relationships between test scores and other factors such as educational background, gender, racial/ethnic background, parental education, and household income are complex and interdependent.These factors do not directly affect test performance; rather, they are associated with educational experiences both on tests such as the SAT and in schoolwork.
- Not all students in a high school, school district or state take the SAT. Since the population of test-takers is self-selected, using aggregate SAT scores to compare or evaluate teachers, schools, districts, states or other educational units is not valid, and the College Board strongly discourages such uses.
- Interpreting SAT scores for subgroups requires unique considerations. The most significant factor to consider in interpreting SAT scores for any group, or subgroup, of test-takers is the proportion of students taking the test. For example, if state data are being
considered, it is appropriate to recognize that in some states there are lower participation rates. Typically, test-takers in these states have strong academic backgrounds and apply to the nation's most selective colleges and scholarship programs. For these states, it is expected that the SAT mean scores reported for students will be higher than the national average.


## Statistical Definitions

The following terms are used throughout this report. For more statistical information, visit the College Board website at www.collegeboard org.

## Mean

The mean is the arithmetic average.

## Percentile

The percentile, also called the percentile point, is the point on the measurement scale below which a specified percentage of scores falls. The 25th, 50th and 75th percentile points are often reported for large data sets. The 50th percentile point is also called the median and, like the mean, is an average and a good indicator of the center of the distribution of scores. Comparing the 25th and 75th percentile points gives an idea of the range of scores in the populations reported in this document. Like the standard deviation, the difference between the scores associated with the 75 th and 25 th percentiles is an indication of the variability of the scores in a particular sample.

## Scaled score

A scaled score is a score that has been converted from the raw score (number of questions answered correctly minus a fraction of the incorrect answers) for reporting. The SAT Program uses a 200- to 800-point scale.

## Standard deviation (SD)

The standard deviation (SD) is a measure of the variability of a set of scores. If test scores cluster tightly around the mean score, as they do when the group tested is relatively homogeneous, the standard deviation is smaller than it would be with a more diverse group and a greater scatter of scores around the mean.

## About the College Board

The College Board is a mission-driven not-for-profit organization that connects students to college success and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the College Board was created to expand access to higher education. Today, the membership association is made up of over 6,000 of the world's leading educational institutions and is dedicated to promoting excellence and equity in education. Each year, the College Board helps more than seven million students prepare for a successful transition to college through programs and services in college readiness and college success - including the SAT ${ }^{\circ}$ and the Advanced Placement Program ${ }^{\bullet}$ (AP®). The organization also serves the education community through research and advocacy on behalf of students, educators and schools. For further information, visit www.collegeboard.org.
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HOBART HIGH SCHOOL

## SAT® Data

Data in this report are for high school graduates in the year 2016. Information is summarized for seniors who took the SAT at any time during their high school years through January 2016. If a student took the pre-March 2016 SAT more than once, the most recent score is used. A small percentage of seniors take their first SAT between March and June of their senior years.

Table 1: Overall Mean Scores
Writing Subscores

| SAT | Test-Takers | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  | Multiple Choice |  | Essay |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean |
| Total | 350 | 427 | 106 | 422 | 101 | 422 | 102 | 42.3 | 10.2 | 6.4 |

Table 2: Mean Scores by Gender

| SAT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test-Takers } \\ \hline \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  | Writing Subscores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Multiple Choice | Essay |  |
|  |  | Mean | SD |  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Male | 175 | 415 | 112 | 420 | 106 |  |  | 399 | 101 | 40.5 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 1.8 |
| Female | 175 | 439 | 98 | 425 | 96 | 445 | 98 | 44.1 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 1.6 |

Table 3: Year in Which Seniors Last Took the SAT
Scores are from the last administration in which seniors took the pre-March 2016 SAT
Writing Subscores

| SAT | Test-Takers | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  | Multiple Choice |  | Essay |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Senior (2015-2016) | 317 | 432 | 106 | 429 | 100 | 427 | 102 | 42.7 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 |
| Junior (2014-2015) | 33 | 382 | 91 | 355 | 84 | 376 | 88 | 38.0 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 1.6 |
| Sophomore (2013-2014) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freshman (2012-2013) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 350 | 427 | 106 | 422 | 101 | 422 | 102 | 42.3 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 1.8 |

Table 4: Mean Scores for State and Total Group
Mean scores for the state and total group may serve as points of reference when evaluating mean scores for the high school.

| $\underline{\text { SAT }}$ | Test-Takers | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  | Writing Subscores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Multiple | Choice |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Mean | SD |  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Indiana | 44,333 | 496 | 100 | 499 | 104 | 477 | 96 | 48.3 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 1.4 |
| Total Group | 1,637,589 | 494 | 117 | 508 | 121 | 482 | 115 | 48.4 | 11.7 | 6.9 | 1.7 |

## SAT Data

Table 5: Percentiles for High School, State, and Total Group
A percentile represents the point below which a percentage of scores fall. Comparing the 25 th percentile point to the 75 th percentile point gives an idea of the range of performance in a group.

| SAT | High School |  |  | State |  |  | Total Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | Critical <br> Reading | Mathematics | Writing | Critical <br> Reading | Mathematics | Writing | Critical <br> Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| 75th | 490 | 490 | 490 | 560 | 570 | 540 | 570 | 590 | 560 |
| 50th | 440 | 420 | 420 | 490 | 500 | 470 | 490 | 500 | 480 |
| 25th | 360 | 360 | 360 | 430 | 430 | 410 | 410 | 420 | 400 |

Table 6: Score Distributions

| SAT | Critical Reading |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  | Writing |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score Range | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
| $700-800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600-690$ | 9 | 12 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 12 | 14 |  |
| $500-590$ | 29 | 34 | 63 | 31 | 35 | 66 | 28 | 39 | 67 |  |
| $400-490$ | 69 | 74 | 143 | 69 | 71 | 140 | 61 | 65 | 126 |  |
| $300-390$ | 39 | 41 | 80 | 38 | 45 | 83 | 56 | 49 | 105 |  |
| $200-290$ | 29 | 14 | 43 | 28 | 16 | 44 | 28 | 10 | 38 |  |

## Demographic Information

SAT: Mean Scores by Gender Within Ethnicity
Table 7: Total Mean Scores by Ethnicity

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test-Takers Who Described Themselves As: | Number | Pct | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian or Asian American | 6 | 2 | 437 |  | 430 |  | 410 |  |
| Black or African American | 25 | 7 | 375 | 104 | 341 | 90 | 380 | 82 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic, Latino, or Latin American | 90 | 26 | 425 | 103 | 416 | 109 | 414 | 97 |
| White | 210 | 60 | 434 | 104 | 434 | 96 | 432 | 105 |
| Two or More Races, non-Hispanic | 12 | 3 | 458 |  | 469 |  | 439 |  |
| Other | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 5 | 1 | 370 |  | 350 |  | 350 |  |
| Total | 350 | 100 | 427 | 106 | 422 | 101 | 422 | 102 |

Table 8: Male Mean Scores by Ethnicity

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test-Takers Who Described Themselves As: | Number | Pct | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian or Asian American | 5 | 1 | 434 |  | 434 |  | 396 |  |
| Black or African American | 9 | 3 | 363 |  | 337 |  | 361 |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic, Latino, or Latin American | 45 | 13 | 394 | 108 | 402 | 119 | 382 | 98 |
| White | 102 | 29 | 427 | 109 | 432 | 100 | 409 | 101 |
| Two or More Races, non-Hispanic | 10 | 3 | 446 |  | 469 |  | 424 |  |
| Other | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 175 | 50 | 415 | 112 | 420 | 106 | 399 | 101 |

Table 9: Female Mean Scores by Ethnicity

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test-Takers Who Described Themselves As: | Number | Pct | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian or Asian American | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black or African American | 16 | 5 | 381 |  | 344 |  | 391 |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic, Latino, or Latin American | 45 | 13 | 455 | 86 | 430 | 97 | 447 | 84 |
| White | 108 | 31 | 441 | 99 | 437 | 92 | 454 | 103 |
| Two or More Races, non-Hispanic | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 175 | 50 | 439 | 98 | 425 | 96 | 445 | 98 |

## Demographic Information

SAT: Student Background Information and Characteristics
Table 10: Student Background Information and Characteristics
Student demographic information provides a broader context to aid in interpreting and understanding individual and group scores.

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Critical Reading |  | Mathematics |  | Writing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Pct | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| All Test-Takers | 350 | 100 | 427 | 106 | 422 | 101 | 422 | 102 |
| First Language Learned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English | 316 | 91 | 429 | 105 | 424 | 100 | 424 | 100 |
| English and Another | 29 | 8 | 419 | 113 | 422 | 111 | 417 | 116 |
| Another Language | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Citizen / U.S. National | 344 | 99 | 429 | 105 | 424 | 101 | 424 | 101 |
| U.S. Permanent Resident or Refugee | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen of Another Country | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other, Unknown, or No Response | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Yes | 188 | 65 | 452 | 97 | 449 | 91 | 447 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 16 | 5 | 434 |  | 446 |  | 436 |  |
| Don't Know | 87 | 30 | 411 | 99 | 403 | 98 | 403 | 99 |
| No Response | 59 |  | 370 | 115 | 361 | 105 | 366 | 101 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 23 | 10 | 400 |  | 383 |  | 390 |  |
| About \$20,000 to \$40,000 | 44 | 19 | 433 | 102 | 410 | 104 | 431 | 107 |
| About \$40,001 to \$60,000 | 36 | 16 | 443 | 90 | 439 | 91 | 431 | 81 |
| About \$60,001 to \$80,000 | 40 | 17 | 438 | 91 | 452 | 79 | 449 | 89 |
| About \$80,001 to \$100,000 | 36 | 16 | 449 | 128 | 443 | 92 | 424 | 98 |
| About \$100,001 to \$140,000 | 32 | 14 | 443 | 98 | 450 | 88 | 444 | 96 |
| About \$140,001 to \$200,000 | 16 | 7 | 428 |  | 421 |  | 427 |  |
| More than \$200,000 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 120 |  | 413 | 109 | 408 | 114 | 407 | 113 |


| No High School Diploma | 12 | 4 | 429 |  | 403 |  | 435 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School Diploma | 148 | 45 | 412 | 105 | 405 | 101 | 406 | 100 |
| Associate Degree | 54 | 17 | 447 | 96 | 435 | 96 | 441 | 92 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 93 | 28 | 454 | 95 | 460 | 89 | 447 | 92 |
| Graduate Degree | 20 | 6 | 473 |  | 455 |  | 469 |  |
| No Response | 23 |  | 325 |  | 337 |  | 327 |  |
| Took the PSAT/NMSQT® |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, As a Junior | 103 | 32 | 431 | 98 | 420 | 99 | 418 | 89 |
| Yes, As a Sophomore or Younger | 68 | 21 | 420 | 105 | 424 | 100 | 414 | 102 |
| Yes, As a Junior and As a Sophomore or Younger | 121 | 38 | 455 | 98 | 453 | 90 | 465 | 96 |
| No | 27 | 8 | 367 | 113 | 354 | 99 | 344 | 97 |
| No Response | 31 |  | 371 | 107 | 367 | 98 | 352 | 83 |

## Academic Information

## Academic Record

Table 11: High School Rank

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | Mean Scores |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| Highest Tenth | 35 | 25 | 26 | 74 | 551 | 544 | 560 |
| Second Tenth | 25 | 18 | 48 | 52 | 461 | 468 | 465 |
| Second Fifth | 32 | 23 | 53 | 47 | 471 | 463 | 455 |
| Final Three Fifth | 50 | 35 | 46 | 54 | 39 | 405 | 402 |
| No Response | 208 |  | 55 | 45 | 403 | 394 | 393 |

Table 12: High School Grade Point Average

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | Mean Scores |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| A+ (97-100) | 13 | 4 | 38 | 62 | 544 | 542 | 544 |
| A (93-96) | 35 | 11 | 29 | 71 | 515 | 511 | 523 |
| A- (90-92) | 31 | 9 | 45 | 55 | 467 | 484 | 469 |
| B (80-89) | 144 | 44 | 49 | 51 | 429 | 427 | 426 |
| C (70-79) | 95 | 29 | 58 | 42 | 376 | 375 | 372 |
| D, E, or F (below 70) | 13 | 4 | 62 | 38 | 339 | 305 | 315 |
| No Response | 19 |  | 63 | 37 | 420 | 367 | 367 |
| Mean Grade Point Average | All Students: $\mathbf{2 . 8 9}$ | Male: $\mathbf{2 . 7 5}$ | Female: $\mathbf{3 . 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: Average Years of Study in Six Academic Subjects

| SAT | Average Years of Study |  |  |  | Grade Point Average: Each Subject |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Arts and Music | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.51 | 3.54 | 3.53 |
| English and Language Arts | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.80 | 3.14 | 2.99 |
| Foreign and Classical Languages | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.64 | 3.23 | 2.98 |
| Mathematics | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.81 | 2.89 | 2.85 |
| Natural Sciences | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.74 | 2.85 | 2.80 |
| Social Sciences and History | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.85 | 2.98 | 2.92 |
| Total for All Subjects | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ |  |  |  |
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## Academic Information

## Course-Taking Patterns

Table 14: English, Mathematics

| English and Language Arts | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 11 | 5 | 27 | 73 | 485 | 475 | 502 |
| 4 Years | 169 | 70 | 40 | 60 | 464 | 452 | 461 |
| 3 Years | 20 | 8 | 50 | 50 | 425 | 381 | 402 |
| 2 Years | 9 | 4 | 33 | 67 | 469 | 444 | 474 |
| 1 Year | 6 | 3 | 50 | 50 | 363 | 400 | 350 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 25 | 10 | 56 | 44 | 364 | 368 | 348 |
| No Response | 110 |  | 68 | 32 | 379 | 391 | 374 |
| AP®/Honors Courses | 82 | 34 | 27 | 73 | 515 | 507 | 520 |
| Course Work or Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | 284 | 99 | 47 | 53 | 437 | 434 | 433 |
| Journalism | 29 | 10 | 17 | 83 | 451 | 418 | 458 |
| Creative Writing | 29 | 10 | 28 | 72 | 397 | 382 | 398 |
| American Literature | 53 | 18 | 36 | 64 | 463 | 449 | 463 |
| Composition/Writing | 151 | 52 | 44 | 56 | 451 | 446 | 451 |
| British Literature | 3 | 1 | 33 | 67 |  |  |  |
| World Literature | 10 | 3 | 40 | 60 | 434 | 419 | 407 |
| Communications | 5 | 2 | 40 | 60 | 392 | 452 | 344 |
| Public Speaking | 37 | 13 | 35 | 65 | 437 | 428 | 430 |
| English As Second Language | 5 | 2 | 80 | 20 | 342 | 354 | 356 |


| Mathematics | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 29 | 10 | 31 | 69 | 501 | 513 | 509 |
| 4 Years | 157 | 55 | 49 | 51 | 465 | 455 | 458 |
| 3 Years | 45 | 16 | 38 | 62 | 412 | 389 | 412 |
| 2 Years | 12 | 4 | 75 | 25 | 407 | 364 | 382 |
| 1 Year | 8 | 3 | 75 | 25 | 383 | 455 | 349 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 34 | 12 | 47 | 53 | 343 | 351 | 342 |
| No Response | 65 |  | 63 | 37 | 368 | 372 | 361 |
| AP/Honors Courses | 95 | 33 | 39 | 61 | 502 | 514 | 503 |
| Highest Level of Mathematics Achieved* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculus | 46 | 15 | 46 | 54 | 514 | 528 | 515 |
| Pre-calculus | 68 | 23 | 43 | 57 | 481 | 483 | 474 |
| Geometry | 172 | 57 | 51 | 49 | 405 | 396 | 403 |
| Algebra II | 6 | 2 | 67 | 33 | 422 | 377 | 368 |
| Algebral | 6 | 2 | 50 | 50 | 312 | 320 | 323 |

[^3]
## Academic Information

Course-Taking Patterns
Table 15: Natural Sciences, Social Sciences and History

| Natural Sciences | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 14 | 6 | 36 | 64 | 461 | 470 | 496 |
| 4 Years | 77 | 32 | 36 | 64 | 476 | 471 | 468 |
| 3 Years | 106 | 45 | 44 | 56 | 454 | 435 | 449 |
| 2 Years | 12 | 5 | 42 | 58 | 381 | 360 | 378 |
| 1 Year | 6 | 3 | 83 | 17 | 420 | 460 | 365 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 23 | 10 | 39 | 61 | 377 | 368 | 371 |
| No Response | 112 |  | 68 | 32 | 380 | 387 | 374 |
| AP/Honors Courses | 65 | 27 | 31 | 69 | 526 | 522 | 526 |
| Course Work or Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology | 290 | 99 | 47 | 53 | 439 | 435 | 436 |
| Chemistry | 222 | 76 | 44 | 56 | 465 | 458 | 460 |
| Physics | 45 | 15 | 53 | 47 | 469 | 477 | 463 |
| Geology, Earth, or Space Science | 168 | 57 | 52 | 48 | 418 | 413 | 415 |
| Other Sciences | 110 | 38 | 45 | 55 | 428 | 424 | 427 |


| Social Sciences and History | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 8 | 3 | 13 | 88 | 463 | 434 | 461 |
| 4 Years | 60 | 26 | 43 | 57 | 446 | 434 | 444 |
| 3 Years | 109 | 47 | 44 | 56 | 474 | 464 | 466 |
| 2 Years | 21 | 9 | 43 | 57 | 417 | 399 | 420 |
| 1 Year | 9 | 4 | 33 | 67 | 441 | 414 | 433 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 24 | 10 | 42 | 58 | 380 | 381 | 375 |
| No Response | 119 |  | 66 | 34 | 383 | 391 | 376 |
| AP/Honors Courses | 47 | 20 | 47 | 53 | 541 | 524 | 538 |
| Course Work or Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. History | 287 | 99 | 47 | 53 | 438 | 434 | 435 |
| World History or Cultures | 263 | 91 | 46 | 54 | 441 | 437 | 437 |
| U.S. Government or Civics | 226 | 78 | 43 | 57 | 450 | 447 | 448 |
| Economics | 220 | 76 | 41 | 59 | 449 | 446 | 445 |
| Geography | 9 | 3 | 22 | 78 | 468 | 432 | 447 |
| Psychology | 124 | 43 | 31 | 69 | 451 | 440 | 453 |
| European History | 22 | 8 | 36 | 64 | 520 | 505 | 532 |
| Sociology | 62 | 21 | 34 | 66 | 435 | 414 | 431 |
| Ancient History | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Other Courses | 9 | 3 | 56 | 44 | 429 | 442 | 422 |

## Academic Information

## Course-Taking Patterns

Table 16: Foreign and Classical Languages

| Foreign and Classical Languages | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 10 | 4 | 20 | 80 | 535 | 534 | 528 |
| 4 Years | 22 | 10 | 32 | 68 | 480 | 476 | 497 |
| 3 Years | 92 | 41 | 30 | 70 | 483 | 480 | 484 |
| 2 Years | 37 | 16 | 57 | 43 | 436 | 409 | 424 |
| 1 Year | 27 | 12 | 56 | 44 | 404 | 382 | 389 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 39 | 17 | 41 | 59 | 396 | 388 | 381 |
| No Response | 123 |  | 70 | 30 | 379 | 385 | 373 |
| AP/Honors Courses | 18 | 8 | 17 | 83 | 536 | 498 | 523 |
| Course Work or Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |  |
| French | 45 | 17 | 33 | 67 | 462 | 454 | 471 |
| German | 14 | 5 | 71 | 29 | 459 | 437 | 454 |
| Greek |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hebrew |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italian |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japanese |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Korean |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Russian |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spanish | 215 | 83 | 47 | 53 | 444 | 443 | 440 |
| Other Languages | 2 | 1 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |

## Academic Information

Course-Taking Patterns
Table 17: Arts and Music

| Arts and Music | Test-Takers |  | Percent by Gender |  | SAT Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Study | Number | Pct | Male | Female | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| More Than 4 Years | 10 | 7 | 20 | 80 | 468 | 470 | 485 |
| 4 Years | 19 | 13 | 16 | 84 | 521 | 501 | 535 |
| 3 Years | 10 | 7 | 30 | 70 | 394 | 371 | 409 |
| 2 Years | 34 | 23 | 41 | 59 | 479 | 448 | 453 |
| 1 Year | 41 | 27 | 46 | 54 | 475 | 472 | 473 |
| 1/2 Year or Less | 36 | 24 | 56 | 44 | 402 | 387 | 392 |
| No Response | 200 |  | 57 | 43 | 404 | 407 | 398 |
| AP/Honors Courses | 6 | 4 | 17 | 83 | 477 | 477 | 472 |
| Course Work or Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acting or Play Production | 26 | 11 | 23 | 77 | 484 | 460 | 489 |
| Art History or Appreciation | 21 | 9 | 24 | 76 | 445 | 447 | 462 |
| Dance | 8 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 374 | 359 | 388 |
| Drama: Study or Appreciation | 35 | 15 | 9 | 91 | 430 | 418 | 440 |
| Music: Study or Appreciation | 35 | 15 | 54 | 46 | 467 | 451 | 463 |
| Music Performance | 107 | 45 | 38 | 62 | 467 | 454 | 464 |
| Photography or Film | 19 | 8 | 47 | 53 | 433 | 403 | 424 |
| Studio Art and Design | 22 | 9 | 32 | 68 | 456 | 438 | 446 |
| None | 76 | 32 | 55 | 45 | 414 | 411 | 401 |

## SAT Subject Tests ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Data

Table 18: Number of Test-Takers and Tests for SAT Subject Tests

| Students Who Took SAT Subject Tests |  | Students Who Took an SAT Subject Test and Also Took the SAT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Test-Takers | Number of Tests | Number of Test-Takers | Critical Reading Mean | Mathematics Mean | Writing Mean |

Students Who Took One or More Different SAT Subject Tests

| Number of <br> Tests Taken | Number of <br> Test-Takers | Percent of Total Test-Takers <br> Who Took One or More Tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 4 or More |  |  |

Table 19: Mean Scores for SAT Subject Test Takers and for Students Who Also Took the SAT
 those students who also took the pre-March 2016 SAT.

|  | SAT Subject Test |  |  | SAT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SD | N | Critical Reading <br> Mean SD | Mathe Mean | atics <br> SD |  |  |
| English | N | Mean | SD | N |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History and Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| World History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology-E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology-M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign and Classical Languages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modern Hebrew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japanese/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Korean/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spanish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spanish/Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SAT

## SAT Subject Tests Score Distributions

Table 20: English, History and Social Studies

| SAT Subject Tests | English | History and Social Studies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Literature <br> N Pct | U.S. History <br> N Pct | World History N Pct |
| 750-800 |  |  |  |
| 700-740 |  |  |  |
| 650-690 |  |  |  |
| 600-640 |  |  |  |
| 550-590 |  |  |  |
| 500-540 |  |  |  |
| 450-490 |  |  |  |
| 400-440 |  |  |  |
| 350-390 |  |  |  |
| 300-340 |  |  |  |
| 250-290 |  |  |  |
| 200-240 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |
| SD |  |  |  |
| 75th percentile |  |  |  |
| 50th percentile |  |  |  |
| 25 th percentile |  |  |  |

Table 21: Mathematics, Science

| SAT Subject Tests | Mathematics |  | Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mathematics Level 1 | Mathematics Level 2 | Biology-E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N Pct | N Pct | N Pct | N | Pct | N | Pct | N | Pct |
| 750-800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700-740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 650-690 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600-640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 550-590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500-540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450-490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400-440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350-390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300-340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250-290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200-240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SAT Subject Tests Score Distributions

Table 22: Foreign and Classical Languages

| SAT Subject Tests | Foreign and Classical Languages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinese/Listening <br> $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Pct | French |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { French/Listening } \\ \text { N } \quad \text { Pct } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | German |  | German/Listening $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Pct |  | $$ |  |
|  |  | N | Pct |  | N | Pct |  |  |  |  |
| 750-800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700-740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 650-690 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600-640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 550-590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500-540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450-490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400-440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350-390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300-340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250-290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200-240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 23: Foreign and Classical Languages (continued)

| SAT Subject Tests | Foreign and Classical Languages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Italian |  | Japanese/Listening N Pct |  | Korean/Listening <br> $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Pct |  | Latin |  | Spanish |  | Spanish/Listening <br> N Pct |  |
|  | N | Pct |  |  | N | Pct | N | Pct |  |  |
| 750-800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700-740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 650-690 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600-640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 550-590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500-540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450-490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400-440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350-390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300-340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250-290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200-240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25th percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## College Plans

Table 24: Intended College Major, Degree-Level Goal

| SAT | Test-Takers |  | Mean Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intended College Major | Number | Pct | Critical Reading | Mathematics | Writing |
| Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, and Related Sciences | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Architecture and Related Services | 5 | 2 | 332 | 384 | 320 |
| Area, Ethnic, Cultural and Gender Studies | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 11 | 4 | 528 | 514 | 505 |
| Business Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services | 33 | 11 | 439 | 428 | 445 |
| Communication, Journalism and Related Programs | 6 | 2 | 462 | 427 | 450 |
| Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services | 5 | 2 | 446 | 410 | 384 |
| Construction Trades | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Education | 15 | 5 | 427 | 437 | 449 |
| Engineering | 31 | 10 | 418 | 446 | 401 |
| Engineering Technologies/Technicians | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| English Language and Literature/Letters | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Services | 73 | 23 | 424 | 423 | 427 |
| History | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Legal Professions and Studies | 7 | 2 | 454 | 467 | 466 |
| Liberal Arts and Sciences, General Studies, and Humanities | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Library Science And Administration | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Mechanic and Repair Technologies/Technician | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Military Technologies And Applied Sciences | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Multi/lnterdisciplinary Studies | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Natural Resources and Conservation | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Parks, Recreation, Leisure and Fitness Studies | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Personal and Culinary Services | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Physical Sciences | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Precision Production | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 15 | 5 | 431 | 423 | 439 |
| Public Administration and Social Services Professions | 7 | 2 | 370 | 343 | 363 |
| Security and Protective Services | 24 | 8 | 405 | 420 | 400 |
| Social Sciences | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Theology and Religious Vocations | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Transportation and Materials Moving | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Visual and Performing Arts | 23 | 7 | 460 | 431 | 461 |
| Other | 10 | 3 | 394 | 408 | 416 |
| Undecided | 17 | 5 | 436 | 416 | 411 |
| Degree-Level Goal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificate Program | 20 | 7 | 378 | 365 | 356 |
| Associate Degree | 15 | 5 | 422 | 403 | 406 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 120 | 41 | 437 | 441 | 438 |
| Master's Degree | 61 | 21 | 454 | 454 | 451 |
| Doctoral or Related Degree | 33 | 11 | 470 | 475 | 470 |
| Other | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Undecided | 43 | 15 | 435 | 406 | 414 |

## College Plans

Table 25: Institutions That Received the Most SAT Program Score Reports from Your Students
of the 350 students from your school who took the SAT and/or an SAT Subject Test, 261 designated that their score reports be sent to institutions. Students may designate more than one institution to receive scores. This list includes only the 45 institutions that received the most score reports. A total of 171 institutions received score reports from your students.

| Institution | State | Type | Number of Students | Percent of Score Senders* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana University Bloomington | IN | Public | 121 | 46.4 |
| Indiana University Northwest | IN | Public | 102 | 39.1 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis | IN | Public | 85 | 32.6 |
| Purdue University | IN | Public | 75 | 28.7 |
| Purdue University Calumet | IN | Public | 71 | 27.2 |
| Ball State University | IN | Public | 70 | 26.8 |
| Ivy Tech Community College: Northwest | IN | Public | 50 | 19.2 |
| Valparaiso University | IN | Private | 48 | 18.4 |
| Purdue University North Central | IN | Public | 39 | 14.9 |
| Indiana State University | IN | Public | 39 | 14.9 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Columbus | IN | Public | 32 | 12.3 |
| Butler University | IN | Private | 21 | 8.0 |
| Ivy Tech Community College | IN | Public | 14 | 5.4 |
| Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne | IN | Public | 13 | 5.0 |
| University of Indianapolis | IN | Private | 8 | 3.1 |
| University of Chicago | IL | Private | 7 | 2.7 |
| Trine University | IN | Private | 6 | 2.3 |
| University of Southern Indiana | IN | Public | 6 | 2.3 |
| Vincennes University | IN | Public | 6 | 2.3 |
| Grace College | IN | Private | 6 | 2.3 |
| Indiana Academy for Science, Math, and Humanities | IN | Scholarship | 6 | 2.3 |
| Arizona State University | AZ | Public | 5 | 1.9 |
| University of Kentucky | KY | Public | 5 | 1.9 |
| University of Notre Dame | IN | Private | 5 | 1.9 |
| Manchester University | IN | Private | 5 | 1.9 |
| Saint Joseph's College | IN | Private | 5 | 1.9 |
| Calumet College of St. Joseph | IN | Private | 5 | 1.9 |
| American College Of Education | IN | Public | 4 | 1.5 |
| Marian University | IN | Private | 4 | 1.5 |
| Anderson University | IN | Private | 4 | 1.5 |
| Indiana University South Bend | IN | Public | 4 | 1.5 |
| Indiana University Kokomo | IN | Public | 4 | 1.5 |
| Michigan State University | MI | Public | 4 | 1.5 |
| Samford University | AL | Private | 4 | 1.5 |
| Columbia College Chicago | IL | Private | 4 | 1.5 |
| University of Illinois at Chicago | IL | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| New York University | NY | Private | 3 | 1.1 |
| Western Michigan University | MI | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| Wabash College | IN | Private | 3 | 1.1 |
| Penn State University Park | PA | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| Indiana University East | IN | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| American Academy of Art | IL | Private | 3 | 1.1 |
| Grand Valley State University | Ml | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| University of California: Los Angeles | CA | Public | 3 | 1.1 |
| Saint Mary's College | IN | Private | 3 | 1.1 |
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## Areas Served by College Board Regional Offices



The College Board National Office
250 Vesey Street
New York, NY 10281
212-713-8000
212-713-8255 (Fax)

## Middle States Regional Office

Three Bala Plaza East, Suite 501
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-1501
866-392-3019
610-227-2580 (Fax)

## Midwestern Regional Office

8700 West Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 900N
Chicago, IL 60631-3512
866-392-4086
847-653-4528 (Fax)

## New England Regional Office

1601 Trapelo Road, Suite 12
Waltham, MA 02451-7333
866-392-4089
781-663-2743 (Fax)

## Southern Regional Office

3700 Crestwood Parkway NW, Suite 700
Duluth, GA 30096-7155
866-392-4088
770-225-4062 (Fax)

## Southwestern Regional Office

4330 Gaines Ranch Loop, Suite 200
Austin, TX 78735-6735
866-392-3017
512-721-1841 (Fax)

## Western Regional Office

2001 Gateway Place, Suite 220W
San Jose, CA 95110-1051
866-392-4078
408-367-1459 (Fax)

## Puerto Rico and Latin America Office

208 Ponce de León Avenue, Suite 1501
San Juan, PR 00918-1017
Mailing address:
P.O. Box 71101

San Juan, PR 00936-8001
787-772-1200
787-759-8629 (Fax - Reception area)
787-764-4306 (Fax - Director's office)

The College Board International
250 Vesey Street
New York, NY 10281
212-373-8738
646-417-7350 (Fax)

## Washington Office

1919 M Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036-2375
202-741-4700
202-741-4743 (Fax)

## Florida Office

1545 Raymond Diehl Road, Suite 250
Tallahassee, FL 32308-1500
850-521-4900
850-521-4921(Fax)


## COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS

TRENDS AND PATTERNS

1. Core 40 participation has been at or above the state average the past 3 years and is trending up.
2. After trending up for four years, AP participation fell off in 2015, but rebounded this year.
3. Students are participating in ACT testing to help identify college and career readiness.
4. Honors diplomas granted each year continues at below the average, but fell off this year.
5. AP participation continues at around $50 \%$ of the Indiana average.
6. HHS mean SAT scores are trending lower or steady.
7. Overall GPA of SAT test takers is trending downward, while the state average is trending up.
8. With wider participation, AP test scores are trending down.

## STRENGTHS

1. AP participation in 2016 has improved by $5 \%$ since 2015.
2. Core 40 participation increased by $4 \%$ over 2015.
3. AP participation remains high in Chemistry, English and Psychology although each experienced slight dips this year.
4. ACT score in both English and Mathematics are increasing and approaching the state average.
5. Act scores are above the state average in reading, biology and English comp.
6. $43 \%$ of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders me the PSAT benchmarks approaching the state average of $47 \%$.
7. $45 \%$ of $10^{\text {th }}$ graders met the PSAT benchmarks approaching the state average of $49 \%$.
8. $19 \%$ of all grades met the old SAT benchmarks, exceeding the state average.

## CHALLENGES

1. The number of Honors Diplomas remains well below the state average during the last year, although they are well above the 2011-12 numbers.
2. SAT score averages have been below the state average.
3. AP participation in Biology and Calculus dropped nearly 50\% since 2013.
4. AP participation in European History is less than $80 \%$ of 2015.
5. AP participation in US Gov't and US History have dropped.
6. ACT scores in College Algebra are less than the state average at $18 \%$.
7. ACT score in all four areas are less than the state average at $15 \%$
8. Only $30 \%$ of $9^{\text {th }}$ graders met both PSAT benchmarks when the state average was $51 \%$.
9. The mid range score of the middle $50 \%$ on SAT held steady in the 427 range, but the state average was 496.

# Student Performance: <br> Citizenship 

## GALLUP STUDENT POLL ENGAGED TODAY - READY FOR TOMORROW SCHOOL CITY OF HOBART

FALL 2015 SCORECARD

## INTRODUCTION

The Gallup Student Poll is a 24 -question survey that measures the engagement, hope, entrepreneurial aspiration and career/financial literacy of students in grades 5-12. The Gallup Student Poll includes non-cognitive metrics with links to student success. This scorecard reflects U.S. overall data comparison results from surveys completed in U.S. public schools.


Engagement: The involvement in and enthusiasm for school.


Entrepreneurial Aspiration: The talent and energy for building businesses that survive, thrive and employ others.


Hope: The ideas and energy students have for the future.


Career/Financial Literacy: The information, attitudes and behaviors that students need to practice for healthy participation in the economy.

## ENGAGEMENT <br> THE INVOLVEMENT IN AND ENTHUSIASM FOR SCHOOL.

## ENGAGEMENT INDEX* $\mathbf{n}=1,596$



|  | Your District | U.S. Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ENGAGEMENT GRANDMEAN | $\mathbf{3 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{n = 1 , 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{n = 8 6 7 , \mathbf { 4 5 4 }}$ |  |
| At this school, I get to do what I do best every day. | $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ | 3.57 |
| My teachers make me feel my schoolwork is important. | $\mathbf{3 . 9 4}$ | 4.04 |
| I feel safe in this school. | $\mathbf{3 . 9 5}$ | 3.93 |
| I have fun at school. | $\mathbf{2 . 9 9}$ | 3.50 |
| I have a best friend at school. | $\mathbf{4 . 4 7}$ | 4.38 |
| In the last seven days, someone has told me I have done good work at school. | $\mathbf{3 . 3 2}$ | 3.65 |
| In the last seven days, I have learned something interesting at school. | $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$ | 3.92 |
| The adults at my school care about me. | $\mathbf{3 . 6 4}$ | 3.85 |
| I have at least one teacher who makes me excited about the future. | $\mathbf{3 . 9 2}$ | 4.13 |

## GRANDMEAN BY GRADE


*Minimum n size of 100 required for full index and 30 for percent Engaged only.

## HOPE

THE IDEAS AND ENERGY STUDENTS HAVE FOR THE FUTURE.

HOPE INDEX $\mathrm{n}=1,653$


|  | Your District | U.S. Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HOPE GRANDMEAN | $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$ |
| I know I will graduate from high school. | $\mathbf{n = 1 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{n - 9 0 1 , \mathbf { 9 1 4 }}$ |
| I have a great future ahead of me. | $\mathbf{4 . 3 6}$ | 4.69 |
| I can think of many ways to get good grades. | $\mathbf{4 . 1 6}$ | 4.48 |
| I have many goals. | $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ | 4.21 |
| I can find many ways around problems. | $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$ | 4.26 |
| I have a mentor who encourages my development. | $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ | 3.92 |
| I know I will find a good job in the future. | $\mathbf{4 . 2 4}$ | 3.52 |

GRANDMEAN BY GRADE


## ENTREPRENEURIAL ASPIRATION <br> THE TALENT AND ENERGY FOR BUILDING BUSINESSES THAT SURVIVE, THRIVE AND EMPLOY OTHERS.

ENTREPRENEURIAL ASPIRATION
$\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 , 2 9 2}$

|  | Your District | U.S. Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ENTREPRENEURIAL ASPIRATION GRANDIMEAN | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{n = 1 , 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{n = 6 8 4 , \mathbf { 1 8 0 }}$ |
| I will invent something that changes the world. | $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ | 2.81 |
| I plan to start my own business. | $\mathbf{2 . 6 6}$ | 3.10 |
| I am learning how to start and run a business. | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ | 2.45 |
| I have my own business now. | $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ | 1.58 |

GRANDMEAN BY GRADE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5th } \\ 2.78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6th } \\ 2.46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7th } \\ & 2.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8th } \\ & 2.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9th } \\ & 2.14 \end{aligned}$ | 10th | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11th } \\ & 1.85 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12th } \\ & 2.10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM RESPONSES |  |  |  | TOTAL N | \%1 \%2 |  | \%3 | \%4 | \%5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | STRONGLY DISAGREE |  | STRONGLY AGREE |  |  |  |
| I will invent something that changes the world. |  |  |  | 1,411 | 30 | 23 | 25 | 11 | 11 |  |
| I plan to start my own business. |  |  |  | 1,491 | 28 | 22 | 21 | 14 | 15 |  |
| I am learning how to start and run a business. |  |  |  | 1,624 | 44 |  | 24 | 17 | $8 \quad 7$ |  |
| I have my own business now. |  |  |  | 1,648 | 82 |  |  | 7 |  |  |

## CAREER/FINANCIAL LITERACY <br> THE INFORMATION, ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIORS THAT STUDENTS NEED TO PRACTICE FOR HEALTHY PARTICIPATION IN THE ECONOMY.

```
CAREER/FINANCIAL LITERACY
n=1,532
```

|  | Your District | U.S. Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CAREER/FINANCIAL LITERACY GRANDIMEAN | $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{n = 1 , 5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{n = 8 1 7 , 7 3 2}$ |
| I have a paying job now. | $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ | 2.10 |
| I am learning how to save and spend money. | $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ | 3.77 |
| I have a bank account with money in it. | $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ | 3.22 |
| I am involved in at least one activity, such as a club, music, sports or volunteering. | $\mathbf{4 . 0 6}$ | 4.12 |



|  | Your District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 9th | 10th | 11th | 12th |
| ENGAGEMENT GRANDMEAN BY GRADE | 4.39 | 4.19 | 3.63 | 3.58 | 3.46 | 3.53 | 3.34 | 3.47 |
| At this school, I get to do what I do best every day. | 4.06 | 3.76 | 3.16 | 3.11 | 3.17 | 3.15 | 2.99 | 3.12 |
| My teachers make me feel my schoolwork is important. | 4.56 | 4.36 | 4.02 | 3.89 | 3.55 | 3.79 | 3.47 | 3.66 |
| I feel safe in this school. | 4.47 | 4.38 | 3.85 | 3.67 | 3.68 | 3.90 | 3.77 | 3.87 |
| I have fun at school. | 3.97 | 3.64 | 2.79 | 2.69 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 2.63 | 2.88 |
| I have a best friend at school. | 4.53 | 4.75 | 4.60 | 4.46 | 4.57 | 4.27 | 4.34 | 4.19 |
| In the last seven days, someone has told me I have done good work at school. | 4.20 | 3.80 | 3.12 | 3.29 | 3.16 | 3.24 | 2.85 | 3.00 |
| In the last seven days, I have learned something interesting at school. | 4.45 | 4.08 | 3.52 | 3.68 | 3.18 | 3.51 | 3.35 | 3.37 |
| The adults at my school care about me. | 4.36 | 4.34 | 3.71 | 3.45 | 3.23 | 3.29 | 3.04 | 3.45 |
| I have at least one teacher who makes me excited about the future. | 4.63 | 4.37 | 3.84 | 3.80 | 3.77 | 3.76 | 3.58 | 3.71 |
| HOPE GRANDMEAN BY GRADE | 4.44 | 4.27 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 3.97 | 4.12 |
| I know I will graduate from high school. | 4.58 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 4.66 | 4.78 | 4.74 | 4.73 | 4.77 |
| I have a great future ahead of me. | 4.49 | 4.51 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.47 | 4.30 | 4.18 | 4.36 |
| I can think of many ways to get good grades. | 4.47 | 4.38 | 4.21 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.97 |
| I have many goals. | 4.32 | 4.09 | 4.01 | 3.99 | 3.93 | 4.18 | 4.10 | 4.25 |
| I can find many ways around problems. | 4.18 | 3.88 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.73 | 3.92 |
| I have a mentor who encourages my development. | 4.19 | 3.58 | 3.11 | 3.18 | 3.27 | 3.29 | 2.85 | 3.19 |
| I know I will find a good job in the future. | 4.54 | 4.53 | 4.23 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.11 | 3.89 | 4.26 |
| ENTREPRENEURIAL ASPIRATION GRANDMEAN BY GRADE | 2.78 | 2.46 | 2.15 | 2.03 | 2.14 | 1.97 | 1.85 | 2.10 |
| I will invent something that changes the world. | 3.20 | 2.84 | 2.51 | 2.41 | 2.81 | 2.40 | 1.97 | 2.26 |
| I plan to start my own business. | 3.27 | 2.98 | 2.68 | 2.53 | 2.43 | 2.43 | 2.30 | 2.62 |
| I am learning how to start and run a business. | 2.90 | 2.39 | 1.98 | 1.88 | 2.05 | 1.90 | 1.86 | 2.32 |
| I have my own business now. | 1.79 | 1.53 | 1.42 | 1.30 | 1.58 | 1.21 | 1.28 | 1.36 |
| CAREER/FINANCIAL LITERACY GRANDMEAN BY GRADE | 3.57 | 3.25 | 3.21 | 3.04 | 3.07 | 2.96 | 3.19 | 3.65 |
| I have a paying job now. | 2.32 | 1.93 | 1.82 | 1.88 | 1.92 | 1.83 | 2.35 | 3.27 |
| I am learning how to save and spend money. | 4.10 | 3.65 | 3.51 | 3.29 | 3.15 | 3.21 | 3.27 | 3.79 |
| I have a bank account with money in it. | 3.36 | 3.05 | 3.25 | 3.02 | 3.18 | 2.94 | 3.29 | 3.78 |
| I am involved in at least one activity, such as a club, music, sports or volunteering. | 4.58 | 4.30 | 4.18 | 4.01 | 4.26 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.70 |

## - No Data Available

## DEMOGRAPHIC ITEMS

WHAT IS YOUR AGE IN YEARS?*

| $\mathbf{9}$ <br> or under | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ <br> or over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

AFTER I FINISH HIGH SCHOOL, I WILL MOST LIKELY

| Attend a four-year college or university | $64 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Attend a two-year college | $8 \%$ |
| Attend training to learn a skill or trade | $2 \%$ |
| Enter the military | $5 \%$ |
| Work at a paid job | $4 \%$ |
| Volunteer or serve on a mission | $0 \%$ |
| Take time off | $1 \%$ |
| Start my own business | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ |
| Don't know | $11 \%$ |

COMPARED TO MOST STUDENTS, I DO WELL IN SCHOOL.

| \%1 STRONGLY | \%5 STRONGLY | \% DON'T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISAGREE | AGREE | KNOW |

## $6 \quad 22$ <br> 35 <br> 29

ARE YOU OF HISPANIC, LATINO, OR SPANISH ORIGIN - SUCH AS MEXICAN, PUERTO RICAN, CUBAN, OR OTHER SPANISH ORIGIN?*

| Yes | $29 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| No | $62 \%$ |
| Choose not to answer | $9 \%$ |


| WHAT IS YOUR GENDER?* |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male |  |
| Female | $47 \%$ |
| Choose not to answer | $51 \%$ |

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE GRADES YOU GET AT SCHOOL

| Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 |  | 46 |  |

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBES YOUR RACE? PLEASE MARK ALL THAT APPLY.*

| White | $76 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African-American | $10 \%$ |
| Asian | $3 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $2 \%$ |
| Choose not to answer | $14 \%$ |

HOW OFTEN DID YOU MISS SCHOOL LAST YEAR WITHOUT A GOOD REASON OR BECAUSE YOU WERE SICK?

| A lot | $8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Some | $21 \%$ |
| Not much | $50 \%$ |
| None at all | $17 \%$ |
| Don't know/Choose not to answer | $4 \%$ |

[^5]
## APPENDIX

## SHARING GALLUP STUDENT POLL RESULTS

Gallup encourages schools and districts to share their Gallup Student Poll results with your local community and key stakeholders. Below are some guidelines for the public release of school, district, and the overall convenience sample data and results.

- You may share the Gallup Student Poll participation results for your school and/or district. The total number of respondents for your school or district is represented by the n sizes on the scorecard. Your school or district participation rate is based upon the total number of eligible students in your school. Students in grades 5 through 12 are eligible to participate in the Gallup Student Poll.
- Please include the Gallup Student Poll Methodology and Limitations of Polling. If most eligible students in grades five through twelve were polled, the district (or school) may indicate that the data represent a census.
- Please do not compare your school or district's data to the overall line of data on your scorecard when publicly sharing results. Since the overall data found in your school or district report is an aggregate of a convenience sample of all schools and districts that opted to participate in the Gallup Student Poll within that survey year, the data are not representative of the U.S. population of students in grades 5 through 12 and are thereby not fit for data comparisons.
- You may share district or school plans to utilize the data to inform strategies and focus.
- If you would like more information on how to publicly share Gallup Student Poll data, please email Gallup at: educationhelp@gallup.com.


## GALLUP STUDENT POLL METHODOLOGY AND LIMITATIONS OF POLLING

The annual Gallup Student Poll is offered at no cost for U.S. schools and districts in the United States. The online poll is completed by a convenience sample of schools and districts each fall. Schools participating in the annual Gallup Student Poll are not randomly selected and are neither charged nor given any incentives beyond receipt of school-specific data. Participation rates vary by school. The poll is conducted during a designated survey period and available during school hours Monday through Friday only. The Gallup Student Poll is administered to students in grades 5 through 12. The primary application of the Gallup Student Poll is as a measure of non-cognitive metrics with links to student success in academic and other youth development settings.

The overall data from the annual administration of the Gallup Student Poll may not reflect responses from a nationally representative sample of students, and the overall data are not statistically weighted to reflect the U.S. student population; thereby, overall data and scorecards should be used cautiously by local schools and districts as a data comparison. School and district data and scorecards provide meaningful data for local comparisons and may inform strategic initiatives and programming, though the results are not generalizable beyond the universe of the participating school or district.

## School City of Hobart <br> Service Learning Hours Summary <br> 2015-2016 School Year

| School | Hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| Early Learning Center at George Earle | 105 |
| Joan Martin Elementary | 39 |
| Liberty Elementary | 13 |
| Ridge View Elementary | 147 |
| Elementary School Total | 304 |
| Hobart Middle School | 262 |
| Hobart High School | 5,141 |
| School City of Hobart Total | $\mathbf{5 , 4 0 3}$ |



School City of Hobart - 4730



# INDIANA YOUTH SURVEY <br> (Formerly known as Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use by Indiana Children and Adolescents) 

## School City of Hobart <br> - $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students -

Survey Conducted January through April 2015
Report Dated August 2015
Conducted by: Indiana Prevention Resource Center School of Public Health-Bloomington Indiana University
501 North Morton Street Suite 110
Bloomington, IN 47404
http://www.drugs.indiana.edu
INYS@indiana.edu
Toll free: 1-800-346-3077
Fax: 812-855-4940

Funded in part by a contract with the Indiana Family and Social Services Administration - Division of Mental Health and Addiction

## INDIANA YOUTH SURVEY

Thank you for participating in the 2015 Indiana Youth Survey! Your participation allows us to collect valid data about children and adolescents across the state of Indiana. We can then present this data to state officials so they can better understand the students in Indiana as well as identify and address any issues related to substance use and mental health.

We also hope that your INYS report results will be of value to your school/corporation. We believe these results can help you better understand your student body, inform school policies, select prevention programs or curricula, collaborate with community prevention efforts, and provide necessary data for grant and funding applications.

If you have any questions about your report, please contact the INYS Coordinators at 1-800-346-3077 or at INYS@indiana.edu.

Thank you!

Note: A single copy of this report was prepared for the designated local survey coordinator. The local data contained herein are the property of the local survey sponsor. They will not be released to anyone else by the Indiana Prevention Resource Center (IPRC). The local survey sponsor may determine whether or not to release these data to anyone else. If released, a reference to the source would be appreciated. Statewide data are the property of the IPRC and the Trustees of Indiana University, and they are copyrighted. Permission is granted to distribute the statewide results with the copyright notice.
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## Selection Criteria

The table below describes the number of surveys collected from participating students in your school/corporation. There is a protocol for checking errors to eliminate unreliable responses. A survey meeting any one of the following exclusion criteria was not included in the data analysis:

- Majority of the survey questions were left unanswered
- Student indicated they did not answer survey truthfully
- Student indicated use of fictitious drug
- No gender information provided
- No grade information provided
- Implausible combination of age and grade
- Implausible combination of age and age of first time use of substances
- Inconsistent responses
- Pharmacologically implausible responses

Only valid surveys (Usable surveys) were included in the final analyses.

## Number of Usable Questionnaires <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Count, Percentage)

|  |  | Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015 |  |
| selected | Blank surveys/Refused to participate |  |  |
|  | Not honest at all response | 5 | . 9 |
|  | Indicated fictitious drug (vivo) use | 8 | 1.5 |
|  | Rejected by gender check | 2 | . 4 |
|  | Rejected by grade check | 5 | . 9 |
|  | Rejected by grade and age check | 1 | 2 |
|  | Rejected by age and onset age check | 1 | 2 |
|  | Rejected by consistent use check |  |  |
|  | Rejected by dose check |  |  |
|  | Usable surveys | 524 | 96.0 |
|  | Total | 546 | 100.0 |

## Demographic Information

The following table shows the demographic characteristics of the students participating in the survey from your school corporation. Please note that NO DATA will be presented in this report for any grade level with fewer than $\mathbf{3 0}$ usable surveys. This is to protect the confidentiality of your students.

Demographic Information
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Valid cases only: Count, Percentage)

|  |  | Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015 |  |
| Q1 Gender | Male | 239 | 45.6 |
|  | Female | 285 | 54.4 |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 363 | 70.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 153 | 29.7 |
| Q3 Race | White | 346 | 67.3 |
|  | Black/African American | 33 | 6.4 |
|  | Asian | 1 | . 2 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 2 | . 4 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 3 | . 6 |
|  | Race not known or other | 23 | 4.5 |
|  | More than one race | 106 | 20.6 |
| Q4 Grade | 7th | 281 | 53.6 |
|  | 8th | 242 | 46.2 |
|  | 9th | 1 | . 2 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 4 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | 111 | 21.4 |
|  | 13 years | 224 | 43.2 |
|  | 14 years | 162 | 31.3 |
|  | 15 years | 16 | 3.1 |
|  | 16 years | 1 | . 2 |

## Prevalence Rates for Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use

Monthly prevalence rate is defined as the percentage of students who reported using a particular substance at least once within the past 30 days. The following table shows the monthly prevalence rates among your school corporation's students who participated in the survey. Binge drinking is defined as five or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past two weeks.

If your school corporation has participated in the survey more than once in the past ten years, trend data are provided so that you can monitor changes in prevalence rates between years. Please note that the cleaning protocol used by the IPRC to determine valid surveys was changed in 2015. These changes were made to better align the methodology with that used by national surveys. These changes may have a noticeable effect on the reported rates, especially for alcohol use, binge drinking, and marijuana use. Therefore, caution should be used when comparing the 2015 data with earlier years.

## Monthly Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs

## School City of Hobart Students, 2015

(Percentages)

|  | 7th Grade |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State } \\ & \hline 2015 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |  |
| Cigarettes | 9.7 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Smokeless tobacco | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Cigars | 6.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Pipe | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Electronic vapor products | -- | -- | -- | 7.5 | 5.5 |
| Alcohol | 18.1 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 12.1 | 7.7 |
| Binge drinking* | 13.9 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Marijuana | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Synthetic marijuana | -- | -- | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Cocaine/Crack | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Inhalants | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Heroin | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Prescription drugs | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| Over the counter drugs | 5.0 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 |

Notes: -- Data not available.

* Binge drinking during the past two weeks.

Local data represent entire school corporation for this and previous years, when available.

State data from the Indiana Youth Survey, IPRC, 2015.
National data from the Monitoring the Future Study, University of Michigan, 2014.

Monthly Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Percentages)

|  | 8th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local |  |  |  | State | National <br> 2014 |
|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2015 |  |
| Cigarettes | 11.2 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 4.0 |
| Smokeless tobacco | 5.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Cigars | 8.1 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 | -- |
| Pipe | 4.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 3.2 | -- |
| Electronic vapor products | -- | -- | -- | 23.1 | 10.4 | 8.7 |
| Alcohol | 23.3 | 16.5 | 18.2 | 24.8 | 13.3 | 9.0 |
| Binge drinking* | 12.4 | 11.6 | 14.5 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
| Marijuana | 7.4 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 |
| Synthetic marijuana | -- | -- | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.4 |
| Cocaine/Crack | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Inhalants | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Heroin | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Prescription drugs | 1.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | -- |
| Over the counter drugs | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | -- |

Notes: -- Data not available.

* Binge drinking during the past two weeks.

Local data represent entire school corporation for this and previous years, when available.
State data from the Indiana Youth Survey, IPRC, 2015.
National data from the Monitoring the Future Study, University of Michigan, 2014.

## Mean Age of First Time Use of Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs

Research has shown that the younger a person is when she or he begins using alcohol, the more likely the person is to experience alcohol dependence and abuse. ${ }^{1,2}$ Compared to persons who began drinking at age 21 or older, those who began drinking before age 14 were more likely to experience alcohol dependence later in life. ${ }^{3}$ The table below shows the average age of first use among students who reported using a particular substance at least once during their lifetime.

## Mean Age of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other <br> Drug Use <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Valid cases only: Mean age)

| At what age did you first use...? | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | 11.50 | 12.29 |
| Q9 Smokeless tobacco | 11.33 | 12.50 |
| Q9 Cigars | 12.25 | 12.35 |
| Q9 Pipe | 12.08 | 12.71 |
| Q9 Electronic vapor products | 12.11 | 12.68 |
| Q9 Alcohol | 11.48 | 12.22 |
| Q9 Marijuana | 12.11 | 12.92 |
| Q9 Synthetic marijuana | . | 12.29 |
| Q9 Cocaine/Crack |  | 13.33 |
| Q9 Inhalants | 11.50 | 11.00 |
| Q9 Methamphetamines |  |  |
| Q9 Heroin |  |  |
| Q9 <br> Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | 13.00 | 13.00 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs not prescribed to you | 10.75 | 11.80 |
| Q9 Over the counter drugs to get high | 10.29 | 11.56 |

1 Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., \& Harford, T. C. (2001). Age at onset of alcohol use and DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: A 12-year followup. Journal of Substance Abuse, 13(4), 493-504.

2 Warner, L. A., \& White, H. R. (2003). Longitudinal effects of age at onset and first drinking situations on problem drinking. Substance Use \& Misuse, 38(14), 1983-2016.

3 Hingson, R. W., Heeren, T., \& Winter, M. R. (2006). Age at drinking onset and alcohol dependence: Age at onset, duration, and severity. Archives of Pediatrics \& Adolescent Medicine, 160(7), 739-746.

## Communities that Care (CTC) Risk and Protective Factors

Risk factors are conditions that increase the chances that children will become involved in problem behaviors in adolescence and young adulthood. ${ }^{1}$ Measures included in the Indiana Youth Survey from the Communities That Care (CTC) System can predict alcohol and other drugs use, as well as delinquency, dropping out of school, teen pregnancy and violence. Students with elevated risk factor scores have a higher likelihood of substance use and problem behaviors compared to those with low risk factor scores.

CTC is based on the Social Development Strategy which focuses primarily on the strengthening of protective factors. Protective factors are conditions that have a positive influence and "protect" or "buffer" against the negative influences associated with risk factors. Though closely related, protective factors are not the opposite of risk factors but rather reduce the effects of existing risk factors.

The Indiana Prevention Resource Center uses a cut-point method to identify elevated risk factors and depressed protective factors on the Indiana Youth Survey for students in grades 6, 8,10 and $12 .^{2}$ Survey respondents were divided into two categories - low risk and high risk for risk factors, and low protection and high protection for protective factors- based upon national data. Students from around the country were asked the same questions.

For example, youth were asked: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves if they try marijuana once or twice?"

All of the responses from the national survey were scored with a numerical value and put in order from lowest to highest, and the middlemost score was identified. This score is the median and it divided all responses into two halves ( $50 \%$ of responses are at/below the median and $50 \%$ are above the median). The median was used to determine the cut point for low and high groups. In this example, any youth that indicated a 2 or higher is considered to be at high risk.


In simple terms, if 50\% of the students in Indiana are at high risk and 50\% are at low risk on a particular risk factor, then the Indiana students are similar to the nationwide data. Problem areas are considered to be any risk factors with $50 \%$ or more of students showing high risk or any protective factors with $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ or more of students showing low protection. The following
table shows the percentage of students at low or high risk for fourteen different risk factors, while the second table shows the percentage of students at low or high protection for six protective factors. Please take note of bold numbers over 50.0.

Percentage of students with CTC risk factor scores at/below (low risk) or above (high risk) the national standard
School City of Hobart Students, 2015

|  |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8th |
| Community: Laws and norms favorable to drug use | Low risk | 66.9 |
|  | High risk | 33.1 |
| Community: Perceived availability of drugs | Low risk | 71.5 |
|  | High risk | 28.5 |
| Family: Poor family management | Low risk | 67.2 |
|  | High risk | 32.8 |
| Family: Family conflict | Low risk | 39.4 |
|  | High risk | 60.6 |
| Family: Parental attitudes favorable toward drug use | Low risk | 75.5 |
|  | High risk | 24.5 |
| Family: Parental attitudes favorable toward antisocial behavior | Low risk | 49.4 |
|  | High risk | 50.6 |
| School: Academic failure | Low risk | 67.1 |
|  | High risk | 32.9 |
| School: Low commitment to school | Low risk | 48.7 |
|  | High risk | 51.3 |
| Peer-individual: Early initiation of drug use | Low risk | 77.4 |
|  | High risk | 22.6 |
| Peer-individual: <br> Favorable attitudes | Low risk | 66.4 |
| toward antisocial behavior | High risk | 33.6 |
| Peer-individual: Favorable attitudes toward drug use | Low risk | 70.6 |
|  | High risk | 29.4 |
| Peer-individual: Perceived risk of drug use | Low risk | 39.8 |
|  | High risk | 60.2 |
| Peer-individual: Interaction with antisocial peers | Low risk | 63.8 |
|  | High risk | 36.2 |
| Peer-individual: Rewards for antisocial involvement | Low risk | 42.9 |
|  | High risk | 57.1 |

Note: The national standards (cut-off points) are provided by the Social Development Research Group at the University of Washington.

Percentage of students with CTC protective factor scores at/below (low protection) or above (high protection) the national standard

School City of Hobart Students, 2015

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 8th |
| Community: Rewards for | Low protection | 73.9 |
| prosocial involvement | High protection | 26.1 |
| Family: Opportunities for | Low protection | 39.7 |
| prosocial involvement | High protection | 60.3 |
| Family: Rewards for | Low protection | 33.6 |
| prosocial involvement | High protection | 66.4 |
| School: Opportunities for | Low protection | 29.2 |
| prosocial involvement | High protection | 70.8 |
| School: Rewards for | Low protection | 44.7 |
| prosocial involvement | High protection | 55.3 |
| Peer-individual: Interaction | Low protection | 50.0 |
| with prosocial peers | High protection | 50.0 |

Note: The national standards (cut-off points) are provided by the Social Development Research Group at the University of Washington.

Identification of elevated risk and low protection is important to addressing several problem behaviors among youth. Implementation of evidence-based curricula and environmental strategies is the best way to address these issues. Visit www.findyouthinfo.gov for more information.

1 Hawkins, J. D., \& Catalano, R. F. (2005). Investing in your community's youth: An introduction to the Communities that Care System. Retrieved July 1, 2010 from http://download.ncadi.samhsa.gov/Prevline/pdfs/ctc/Investing\ in\ Your\ Community's\ Youth.pdf.

2 Arthur, M. W., Briney, J. S., Hawkins, J. D., Abbott, R.D. Brooke-Weiss, B. L., \& Catalano, R. F. (2007). Measuring risk and protection in communities using the Communities That Care Youth Survey. Evaluation and Program Planning, 20, 197-211.

## Mental Health

Prior research has demonstrated robust relationships between adolescent depression, suicidal behavior and substance abuse. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ As a result, the State is trying to better understand the prevalence of mental health concerns among Indiana's youth. Mental health was measured for the past year ( 12 months) with survey items that asked about "feeling so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing some usual activities," "seriously consider attempting suicide," and "making a plan about how you would attempt suicide." Since the survey is anonymous, individual students and their mental health problems cannot be identified. Thus, it is advised that referral resources be a part of your school's student assistance policy.

## Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 8th |  |
| Q21 Feel sad or hopeless | No | 68.9 | 62.0 |
|  | Yes | 31.1 | 38.0 |
| Q21 Seriously consider | No | 81.3 | 72.7 |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 18.8 | 27.3 |
| Q21 Make a plan about | No | 83.9 | 82.4 |
| attempting suicide | Yes | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ |

[^6]
## Gambling Behavior

As gambling options and venues in the state expand, monitoring the prevalence of gambling activities among children and adolescents is increasingly important for planning prevention efforts. All gambling-related questions on the Indiana Youth Survey asked about behavior and experiences in the past year. Gambling behaviors include playing card games, bingo, or online games; betting on personal games of skill, sports, or personal challenges; and buying lottery tickets. The response options have been collapsed into yes (any amount of gambling)/no categories in the following tables but all response options are presented separately at the end of the report in the frequency tables.

## Gambling during the Last Twelve Months

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you bet/gambled for money or valuables in the following ways? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q22 Card games | No | 80.5 | 81.4 |
|  | Yes | 19.5 | 18.6 |
| Q22 Personal games of skill | No | 65.3 | 71.8 |
|  | Yes | 34.7 | 28.2 |
| Q22 Sports | No | 74.1 | 75.6 |
|  | Yes | 25.9 | 24.4 |
| Q22 Lottery | No | 86.5 | 90.3 |
|  | Yes | 13.5 | 9.7 |
| Q22 Bingo | No | 81.0 | 85.7 |
|  | Yes | 19.0 | 14.3 |
| Q22 Online gambling | No | 93.8 | 94.5 |
|  | Yes | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| Q22 Personal challenges | No | 64.2 | 66.8 |
|  | Yes | 35.8 | 33.2 |
| Q22 Gambled in other ways | No | 85.8 | 83.5 |
|  | Yes | 14.2 | 16.5 |

Given the various types of gambling activities attractive to youth and their widespread availability, the negative consequences associated with adolescent gambling need to be addressed. Communities are better positioned to address gambling problems if they understand the relationship of these behaviors to their consequences (for example, academic problems, poor health, or issues with family, friends, or the legal system).

## Consequences of Gambling

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you experienced the following | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
| Q23 Academic problems | No | 74.7 | 74.4 |
|  | Yes | 25.3 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6}$ |
| Q23 Issues with the legal | No | 74.9 | 74.2 |
| system | Yes | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8}$ |
| Q23 Lost possessions or | No | 73.2 | 73.5 |
| money | Yes | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ |
| Q23 Felt bad about | No | 74.3 | 74.8 |
| gambling | Yes | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2}$ |
| Q23 Poor health | No | 73.8 | 73.5 |
|  | Yes | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ |
| Q23 Issues with friends and | No | 71.1 | 72.6 |
| family | Yes | $\mathbf{2 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4}$ |

For more information on problem gambling prevention and approaches for youth and the community, contact the Indiana Problem Gambling Awareness Program at 812-855-1237 or visit www.ipgap.indiana.edu.

## Frequency Tables

The responses to all survey questions are presented in this section, in three segments. The tables are first presented by grade level, followed by tables including only male student responses, and lastly tables including only female student responses. The responses to the individual items that make up each of the CTC risk and protective factors are provided. The frequency tables are presented in the following order in each of the segments:

- Personal and Family Information
- Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
- Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
- Binge Drinking in the Last Two Weeks
- Perceived Availability of Drugs
- Usual Sources of Alcoholic Beverages in the Past Year
- Usual Sources of Prescription Drugs in the Past Year
- CRAFFT Substance Abuse Screening
- Consequences of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
- Perceived Risks of Drug Use
- Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior
- Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use
- Perceived Peer Approval of Drug Use
- Rewards for Antisocial Involvement
- Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use
- Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior
- Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months
- Gambling during the Last Twelve Months
- Consequences of Gambling
- School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
- Academic Failure
- Low Commitment to School
- Poor Family Management
- Family Conflict
- Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
- Interaction with Antisocial Peers
- Interaction with Prosocial Peers
- Community Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use
- Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

| Personal and Family Information School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |  |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q1 Gender | Male | 47.3 | 43.4 |
|  | Female | 52.7 | 56.6 |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 70.3 | 70.7 |
|  | Hispanic | 29.7 | 29.3 |
| Q3 Race | White | 66.8 | 68.2 |
|  | Black/African American | 6.9 | 5.9 |
|  | Asian | . 4 | . 0 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | . 4 | . 8 |
|  | Race not known or other | 4.7 | 4.2 |
|  | More than one race | 20.1 | 20.9 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 1.4 | . 0 |
|  | 12 years | 39.8 | . 0 |
|  | 13 years | 48.7 | 37.0 |
|  | 14 years | 9.3 | 57.1 |
|  | 15 years | . 7 | 5.5 |
|  | 16 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war zone | No | 66.4 | 75.8 |
|  | Yes | 21.1 | 15.4 |
|  | Not sure | 12.5 | 8.8 |
| Q7 Parents served time in jail | No | 64.5 | 67.4 |
|  | Yes | 23.7 | 18.4 |
|  | Not sure | 11.8 | 14.2 |

Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q8 Cigarettes | Never | 97.1 | 93.7 |
|  | 1-5 times | 2.9 | 5.5 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | . 8 |
| Q8 Smokeless tobacco | Never | 98.6 | 97.5 |
|  | 1-5 times | 1.4 | 2.1 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q8 Cigars | Never | 99.3 | 96.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 7 | 3.0 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q8 Pipe | Never | 97.5 | 89.0 |
|  | 1-5 times | 2.5 | 6.3 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 2.5 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.3 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | . 8 |
| Q8 Electronic vapor products | Never | 92.5 | 76.9 |
|  | 1-5 times | 6.1 | 13.0 |
|  | 6-19 times | 1.1 | 4.6 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 2.1 |
|  | 40+ times | . 4 | 3.4 |
| Q8 Alcohol | Never | 87.9 | 75.2 |
|  | 1-5 times | 10.6 | 18.1 |
|  | 6-19 times | 1.1 | 5.0 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 4 | . 8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | . 8 |
| Q8 Marijuana | Never | 97.5 | 89.8 |
|  | 1-5 times | 2.2 | 5.5 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 2.1 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 4 | 1.7 |
| Q8 Synthetic marijuana | Never | 100 | 98.7 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q8 Cocaine/Crack | Never | 100 | 99.2 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | . 8 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you <br> used...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q8 Inhalants | Never | 99.3 | 98.7 |
|  | $1-5$ times | .4 | 1.3 |
| Q8 Methamphetamines | 6-19 times | .4 | .0 |
| Q8 Heroin | Never | 100 | 100 |
| Q8 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never | 100 | 100 |
|  | Never | 99.6 | 100 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs not | 1-5 times | .4 | .0 |
| prescribed to you | Never | 97.5 | 97.9 |
|  | $1-5$ times | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | $6-19$ times | .7 | .4 |
| Q8 Over the counter drugs | Never | .4 | .4 |
| to get high | $1-5$ times | 97.4 | 96.6 |
|  | $6-19$ times | 1.1 | 2.6 |
|  | $40+$ times | 1.1 | .9 |
|  |  | .4 | .0 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | Never used | 94.2 | 88.2 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.4 | 1.7 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | 1.3 |
|  | 12 years | 2.9 | 2.9 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | 3.8 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 2.1 |
| Q9 Smokeless tobacco | Never used | 97.8 | 96.7 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.1 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | 1.3 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Cigars | Never used | 98.6 | 92.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 4 | 1.7 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | 1.3 |
|  | 13 years | 1.1 | 3.0 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Pipe | Never used | 95.3 | 86.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 4 | 1.3 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | . 4 |
|  | 12 years | 1.8 | 2.1 |
|  | 13 years | 1.8 | 6.8 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 2.1 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Electronic vapor products | Never used | 90.0 | 72.6 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 7 | 1.3 |
|  | 11 years | . 4 | 2.5 |
|  | 12 years | 6.1 | 4.2 |
|  | 13 years | 2.9 | 15.6 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.4 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Alcohol | Never used | 84.8 | 69.2 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 4.7 | 4.2 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Marijuana | 11 years | 2.9 | 5.1 |
|  | 12 years | 4.0 | 6.3 |
|  | 13 years | 3.3 | 10.5 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 4.2 |
|  | 15 years | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | Never used | 96.8 | 84.7 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | 1.4 | 2.1 |
|  | 13 years | 1.1 | 8.1 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.8 |
| Q9 Synthetic marijuana | Never used | 100.0 | 97.0 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | 1.7 |
| Q9 Cocaine/Crack | Never used | 100.0 | 98.7 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Inhalants | Never used | 99.3 | 98.7 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 4 | . 8 |
|  | 13 years | . 4 | . 4 |
| Q9 Methamphetamines | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Heroin | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never used | 99.6 | 99.6 |
|  | 13 years | . 4 | . 4 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs not prescribed to you | Never used | 97.1 | 95.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | . 4 |
|  | 12 years | . 7 | . 8 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | 1.3 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q9 Over the counter drugs to get high | Never used | 97.4 | 96.2 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 2.2 | 1.7 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | . 4 |

## Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug

Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? | Grade |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th | 8th |
| 12 years | .4 | .0 |
| 13 years | .0 | 1.3 |
| 14 years | .0 | .4 |

Binge Drinking in the Last Two Weeks
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| Think back over the last 2 weeks... | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
|  | Once | 98.2 | 91.6 |
|  | Twice | 1.1 | 4.2 |
|  | 3-5 times | .4 | 1.7 |
|  | 6-9 times | .4 | 1.3 |
|  | .0 | 1.3 |  |

Perceived Availability of Drugs
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How easy would it be for you to get...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Very hard | 7 th | 8th |
| Q11 Cigarettes | Sort of hard | 20.1 | 49.2 |
|  | Sort of easy | 13.1 |  |
|  | Very easy | 13.7 | 17.8 |
|  | Very hard | 46.9 | 33.5 |
| Q11 Alcohol | Sort of hard | 16.6 | 16.1 |
|  | Sort of easy | 20.0 | 25.4 |
|  | Very easy | 16.6 | 25.0 |
|  | Very hard | 78.3 | 62.1 |
|  | Sort of hard | 9.1 | 12.3 |
|  | Q11 Marijuana | Sort of easy | 5.7 |
|  | Very easy | 10.6 |  |
| Q11 Drugs like cocaine, | Very hard | 89.7 | 14.9 |
| LSD or amphetamines | Sort of hard | 5.7 | 11.1 |
|  | Sort of easy | 1.5 | 3.4 |
|  | Very easy | 3.1 | 3.0 |

## Usual Sources of Alcoholic Beverages in the Past Year School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your alcohol? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Source | Q12 I did not drink alcohol | 84.1 | 69.4 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a restaurant, bar, or club | . 0 | . 9 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a public event | 4 | 4 |
|  | Q12 I gave someone else money to buy it for me | 1.2 | 3.8 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a store | . 0 | . 9 |
|  | Q12 A person 21 years old or older gave it to me | 6.3 | 11.1 |
|  | Q12 I took it from a store | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | Q12 I got it from a parent/guardian | 5.2 | 9.8 |
|  | Q12 I got it from some other family member | 7.1 | 12.8 |
|  | Q12 I got it some other way | 8.7 | 17.9 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

## Usual Sources of Prescription Drugs in the Past Year <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your prescription drugs that were used to get high? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Source | Q13 I did not use prescription drugs to get high | 94.4 | 93.1 |
|  | Q13 They were prescribed to me | 2.8 | 2.2 |
|  | Q13 My parents gave them to me | 1.2 | . 9 |
|  | Q13 Someone other than my parents gave them to me | 1.6 | 2.6 |
|  | Q13 I took them from home | . 8 | 1.3 |
|  | Q13 I bought them from someone | . 0 | 1.7 |
|  | Q13 I bought them on the internet | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q13 I took them from someone else | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q13 I got them some other way | 1.6 | 2.6 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

CRAFFT Substance Abuse Screening
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Age |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q14 Do you ever use | Never used | 72.2 | 66.7 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs to relax, | No | 15 years | 16 years |  |
| feel better about yourself, or | Yes | 19.0 | 26.7 | 100.0 |
| fit in? | 8.9 | 6.7 | .0 |  |
| Q14 Do you ever use | Never used | 71.3 | 71.4 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs while you | No | 17.8 | 28.6 | .0 |
| are by yourself, or alone? | Yes | 10.8 | .0 | 100.0 |
|  | Never used | 72.2 | 71.4 | .0 |
| Q14 Do you ever forget | 20.9 | 21.4 | 100.0 |  |
| things you did while using | No | 7.0 | 7.1 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs? | Yes | 71.5 | 78.6 | .0 |
| Q14 Do your family or | Never used | 25.3 | 21.4 | 100.0 |
| friends ever tell you that | No | 3.2 | .0 | .0 |
| you should cut down on | No |  | .0 |  |
| your drinking or drug use? | Yes | 68.4 | 71.4 | .0 |
| Q14 Have you ever gotten | Never used | 24.1 | 28.6 | 100.0 |
| linto trouble while you were | No | 7.6 | .0 | .0 |

Consequences of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times have you experienced the following due <br> to your drinking or drug use...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q15 Performed poorly on | Never | 8th |
| test or project | Once | 96.7 | 95.4 |
|  | Twice | .7 | .8 |
|  | 3-5 times | .7 | 1.3 |
|  | 6-10 times | 1.1 | 1.7 |
|  | 11+ times | .0 | .4 |
| Q15 Missed class | Never | .7 | .4 |
|  | Once | 97.4 | 95.8 |
|  | Twice | .7 | .4 |
|  | $3-5$ times | 1.5 | 1.7 |
|  | $6-10$ times | .4 | 1.7 |
|  |  | .0 | .4 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | No risk | 7th | 8th |
| cigarettes per day | Slight risk | 8.4 | 4.7 |
|  | Moderate risk | 13.6 | 11.1 |
|  | Great risk | 37.0 | 26.4 |
| Q16 Try marijuana once or | No risk | 41.0 | 57.9 |
| twice | Slight risk | 16.2 | 23.8 |
|  | Moderate risk | 28.4 | 31.1 |
|  | Great risk | 22.5 | 19.6 |
| Q16 Smoke marijuana once | No risk | 32.8 | 25.5 |
| or twice per week | Slight risk | 12.9 | 13.6 |
|  | Moderate risk | 17.7 | 22.9 |
| Q16 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Great risk | 29.9 | 28.0 |
| drinks every day | No risk | 39.5 | 35.6 |
|  | Slight risk | 10.0 | 10.2 |
|  | Moderate risk | 29.2 | 24.2 |
| Q16 Binge drink once or | Great risk | 28.4 | 28.4 |
| twice a week | No risk | 32.5 | 37.3 |
|  | Slight risk | 8.5 | 5.1 |
| Q16 Misuse prescription | Moderate risk | 16.2 | 13.6 |
| drugs | Great risk | 29.5 | 33.9 |
|  | Slight risk | 45.8 | 47.5 |
|  | Moderate risk | 7.7 | 3.4 |
|  | Great risk | 5.5 | 9.3 |
|  |  | 24.7 | 17.4 |
|  | 62.0 | 69.9 |  |

Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? | 7th | 8 th |
| Q17 Take a gun to school | Very wrong | 93.9 | 92.9 |
|  | Wrong | 5.4 | 4.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .0 | 1.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 | .8 |
| Q17 Steal something more | Very wrong | 40.1 | 43.7 |
| than \$5 | Wrong | 45.1 | 40.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 14.4 | 13.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .4 | 2.1 |
|  | Very wrong | 70.4 | 69.2 |
|  | Wrong | 22.7 | 23.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Q17 Pick a fight | Not at all wrong | 1.1 | 1.7 |
|  | Very wrong | 38.8 | 37.8 |
|  | Wrong | 38.0 | 37.0 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 21.4 | 20.6 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.8 | 4.6 |
|  | Very wrong | 65.6 | 57.6 |
|  | Wrong | 27.5 | 32.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.2 | 9.2 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 | .4 |

Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q17 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 74.7 | 60.1 |
|  | Wrong | 16.2 | 23.9 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.9 | 11.3 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.2 | 4.6 |
| Q17 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 74.6 | 68.9 |
|  | Wrong | 17.0 | 22.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.9 | 6.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| Q17 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 82.2 | 66.7 |
|  | Wrong | 10.5 | 16.5 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 5.1 | 8.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.2 | 8.0 |
| Q17 Use illegal drugs | Very wrong | 91.3 | 88.7 |
|  | Wrong | 6.5 | 8.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.4 | . 8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | . 7 | 1.7 |

Rewards for Antisocial Involvement
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| What are the chances you would be seen as cool if | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th | 8 th |  |
| Q19 Smoked cigarettes | Very good chance | 3.6 | 2.9 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 6.2 | 7.1 |
|  | Some chance | 9.1 | 15.5 |
|  | Little chance | 17.1 | 23.9 |
|  | No or very little chance | 64.0 | 50.4 |
| Q19 Drank alcohol regularly | Very good chance | 5.1 | 6.3 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 5.9 | 13.0 |
|  | Some chance | 9.2 | 17.2 |
|  | Little chance | 18.3 | 17.2 |
|  | No or very little chance | 61.5 | 46.2 |
|  | V19 Smoked marijuana good chance | 7.3 | 14.7 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 9.1 | 15.5 |
|  | Some chance | 10.9 | 16.0 |
|  | Little chance | 8.7 | 12.6 |
|  | No or very little chance | 64.0 | 41.2 |
|  | Very good chance | 6.9 | 7.2 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 4.4 | 5.1 |
|  | Some chance | 5.8 | 11.0 |
|  | Little chance | 11.7 | 11.0 |
|  | No or very little chance | 71.2 | 65.8 |

# Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use 

School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 7th |
| Q20 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 88.8 | 85.6 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 7.2 | 10.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.4 | 3.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.5 | .8 |
| Q20 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 86.6 | 81.0 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 8.3 | 11.8 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 2.5 | 5.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| Q20 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 89.9 | 87.7 |
|  | Wrong | 5.8 | 9.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.8 | 1.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.5 | .8 |
| Q20 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 91.3 | 84.8 |
|  | Wrong | 3.3 | 11.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Q20 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 91.3 | 89.0 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | 5.1 | 8.0 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.4 | 2.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.2 | .8 |

## Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q20 Steal something worth | Very wrong | 74.7 | 75.9 |
| more than $\$ 5$ | Wrong | 18.1 | 19.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 5.1 | 3.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.2 | .4 |
|  | Very wrong | 76.9 | 75.1 |
|  | Wrong | 13.4 | 20.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.5 | 3.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.2 | .8 |
|  | Very wrong | 58.1 | 54.7 |
| Q20 Pick a fight with | Wrong | 27.4 | 26.3 |
| someone | A little bit wrong | 9.7 | 16.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 4.7 | 2.1 |


| Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months |
| :---: |
| School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |
|  Grade   <br>  During the past 12 months, did you...? 7th 8th <br>  No 68.9 62.0 <br>  Yes 31.1 38.0 <br> Q21 Consider attempting No 81.3 72.7 <br> suicide Yes 18.8 27.3 <br> Q21 Make a plan about No 83.9 82.4 <br> attempting suicide Yes 16.1 17.6 | 

Gambling during the Last Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you bet/gambled for money or valuables in the following ways? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q22 Card games | Never | 80.5 | 81.4 |
|  | Less than once a month | 13.4 | 11.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.0 | 4.2 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| Q22 Personal games of skill | Never | 65.3 | 71.8 |
|  | Less than once a month | 16.8 | 13.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 9.5 | 6.3 |
|  | Once a week or more | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Q22 Sports | Never | 74.1 | 75.6 |
|  | Less than once a month | 14.2 | 13.0 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.7 | 4.6 |
|  | Once a week or more | 6.9 | 6.7 |
| Q22 Lottery | Never | 86.5 | 90.3 |
|  | Less than once a month | 8.4 | 5.9 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 3.3 | 1.7 |
|  | Once a week or more | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Q22 Bingo | Never | 81.0 | 85.7 |
|  | Less than once a month | 13.5 | 11.3 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 2.6 | 1.7 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Q22 Online gambling | Never | 93.8 | 94.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 4.4 | 4.2 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 1.1 | . 4 |
|  | Once a week or more | . 7 | . 8 |
| Q22 Personal challenges | Never | 64.2 | 66.8 |
|  | Less than once a month | 21.2 | 19.7 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 10.9 | 7.6 |
|  | Once a week or more | 3.6 | 5.9 |
| Q22 Gambled in other ways | Never | 85.8 | 83.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 7.7 | 11.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.6 | 2.1 |

Consequences of Gambling
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you experienced the following <br> consequences due to your gambling? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q23 Academic problems | I do not gamble | 8th |
|  | Never | 74.7 | 74.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 23.8 | 24.8 |
| Q23 Issues with the legal | I do not gamble | 1.4 | .8 |
| system | Never | 74.9 | 74.2 |
|  | Occasionally | 24.4 | 25.0 |
| Q23 Lost possessions or | I do not gamble | .7 | .8 |
| money | Never | 73.2 | 73.5 |
|  | Occasionally | 19.2 | 20.2 |
| Q23 Felt bad about | I do not gamble | 7.6 | 6.3 |
| gambling | Never | 74.3 | 74.8 |
|  | Occasionally | 24.3 | 23.5 |
| Q23 Poor health | I do not gamble | 1.4 | 1.7 |
|  | Never | 73.8 | 73.5 |
|  | Occasionally | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| Q23 Issues with friends and | I do not gamble | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| family | Never | 71.1 | 72.6 |
|  | Occasionally | 23.1 | 22.8 |
|  | Frequently | 5.9 | 4.2 |
|  |  | .0 | .4 |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 17.6 | 21.7 |
| chances to help decide | yes | 36.4 | 37.0 |
| class activities and rules | no | 33.8 | 24.3 |
|  | NO! | 12.1 | 17.0 |
| Q24 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 14.5 | 15.3 |
| work on special class | yes | 35.9 | 37.9 |
| projects | no | 38.4 | 34.0 |
|  | NO! | 11.2 | 12.8 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 66.7 | 60.2 |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 27.5 | 33.1 |
| activities outside of class | no | 4.0 | 4.7 |
|  | NO! | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 41.7 | 44.0 |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 42.0 | 35.0 |
| one-on-one | no | 12.3 | 15.8 |
|  | NO! | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 34.1 | 30.8 |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 47.5 | 51.3 |
| class discussions or | no | 14.9 | 13.2 |
| activities | NO! | 3.6 | 4.7 |


| School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |  |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q24 Teachers notice when doing a good job and let me know | YES! | 32.4 | 25.4 |
|  | yes | 42.2 | 44.0 |
|  | no | 18.2 | 18.5 |
|  | NO! | 7.3 | 12.1 |
| Q24 I feel safe at school | YES! | 31.0 | 30.3 |
|  | yes | 42.3 | 49.6 |
|  | no | 17.2 | 9.8 |
|  | NO! | 9.5 | 10.3 |
| Q24 School lets parents know when I do well | YES! | 23.1 | 18.3 |
|  | yes | 27.5 | 30.6 |
|  | no | 30.8 | 31.1 |
|  | NO! | 18.7 | 20.0 |
| Q24 Teachers praise me when I work hard in school | YES! | 16.1 | 17.5 |
|  | yes | 32.2 | 29.5 |
|  | no | 35.9 | 35.0 |
|  | NO! | 15.8 | 17.9 |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q28 What were your | Mostly A's | 39.9 | 34.2 |
| grades like last year? | Mostly B's | 36.6 | 42.6 |
|  | Mostly C's | 17.0 | 14.3 |
|  | Mostly D's | 5.1 | 4.6 |
|  | Mostly F's | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| Q24 My grades are better | YES! | 26.4 | 28.9 |
| than most students | yes | 41.7 | 46.0 |
|  | no | 23.9 | 19.6 |
|  | NO! | 8.0 | 5.5 |

Low Commitment to School School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q26 Days of school skipped during last month | None | 86.6 | 80.4 |
|  | 1 day | 6.5 | 10.2 |
|  | 2 days | 2.5 | 5.1 |
|  | 3 days | 1.1 | 1.7 |
|  | 4-5 days | 2.5 | 1.3 |
|  | $6-10$ days | . 7 | . 9 |
|  | 11 or more days | . 0 | . 4 |
| Q27 How interesting are courses | Very interesting | 6.5 | 5.1 |
|  | Quite interesting | 24.1 | 22.5 |
|  | Fairly interesting | 24.5 | 30.9 |
|  | Slightly boring | 30.9 | 22.0 |
|  | Very boring | 14.0 | 19.5 |
| Q29 Feel schoolwork is meaningful | Almost always | 20.0 | 12.4 |
|  | Often | 28.0 | 25.3 |
|  | Sometimes | 33.5 | 33.9 |
|  | Seldom | 12.0 | 12.4 |
|  | Never | 6.5 | 15.9 |
| Q30 Importance of school to later life | Very important | 24.2 | 23.4 |
|  | Quite important | 31.8 | 22.1 |
|  | Fairly important | 19.1 | 27.7 |
|  | Slightly important | 19.9 | 20.0 |
|  | Not at all important | 5.1 | 6.8 |
| Q25 Enjoy being in school | Never | 8.7 | 17.7 |
|  | Seldom | 13.8 | 13.5 |
|  | Sometimes | 39.9 | 35.9 |
|  | Often | 25.4 | 23.6 |
|  | A lot | 12.3 | 9.3 |
| Q25 Hate being in school | Never | 8.0 | 4.7 |
|  | Seldom | 13.0 | 17.0 |
|  | Sometimes | 33.0 | 34.0 |
|  | Often | 26.4 | 20.4 |
|  | A lot | 19.6 | 23.8 |
| Q25 Try to do your best work in school | Never | 1.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Seldom | 4.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Sometimes | 15.6 | 17.4 |

Low Commitment to School
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |
| Often | 28.4 | 34.5 |
| A lot | 50.2 | 44.7 |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q31 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 56.8 | 52.5 |
|  | yes | 35.8 | 37.7 |
|  | no | 5.9 | 6.8 |
|  | NO! | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Q31 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 68.9 | 59.7 |
|  | yes | 21.6 | 27.5 |
|  | no | 8.4 | 9.7 |
|  | NO! | 1.1 | 3.0 |
| Q31 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 70.7 | 62.7 |
|  | yes | 24.5 | 30.5 |
|  | no | 3.7 | 5.1 |
|  | NO! | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Q31 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 80.5 | 63.1 |
|  | yes | 12.5 | 21.2 |
|  | no | 5.9 | 12.3 |
|  | NO! | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| Q32 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 56.3 | 54.3 |
|  | yes | 33.3 | 30.4 |
|  | no | 8.4 | 10.0 |
|  | NO! | 1.9 | 5.2 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 56.1 | 43.3 |
|  | yes | 23.9 | 24.2 |
|  | no | 15.9 | 20.8 |
|  | NO! | 4.2 | 11.7 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 76.0 | 70.3 |
|  | yes | 16.0 | 17.2 |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
|  | no | 3.8 | 8.2 |
| Q32 Parents would catch | YOS! | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| you if you skipped school | yes | 22.4 | 67.7 |
|  | no | 4.6 | 5.6 |
|  | NO! | 1.5 | 3.9 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q31 Family argues over | YES! | 21.9 | 27.5 |
| and over about same thing | yes | 30.7 | 25.4 |
|  | no | 33.3 | 30.9 |
|  | NO! | 14.1 | 16.1 |
| Q31 Family has serious | YES! | 23.0 | 21.7 |
| arguments | yes | 21.5 | 23.8 |
|  | no | 31.9 | 33.6 |
|  | NO! | 23.7 | 20.9 |
| Q31 Family members often | YES! | 22.6 | 21.7 |
| insult each other | yes | 20.7 | 23.8 |
|  | no | 29.6 | 28.9 |
|  | NO! | 27.0 | 25.5 |

## Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q31 Parents ask me before | YES! | 25.9 | 23.0 |
| most family decisions made | yes | 40.0 | 37.9 |
|  | no | 19.3 | 26.0 |
|  | NO! | 14.8 | 13.2 |
| Q32 I can ask parents for | YES! | 44.6 | 39.7 |
| help if I have problem | yes | 31.1 | 34.5 |
|  | no | 14.6 | 13.8 |
|  | NO! | 9.7 | 12.1 |
| Q32 Parents give me | YES! | 43.3 | 39.7 |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 34.6 | 34.9 |
|  | no | 15.6 | 16.8 |
|  | NO! | 6.5 | 8.6 |

Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q32 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 62.9 | 57.8 |
|  | yes | 28.8 | 29.3 |
|  | no | 4.2 | 5.6 |
|  | NO! | 4.2 | 7.3 |
| Q32 I Enjoy spending time | YES! | 57.0 | 52.6 |
| with dad | yes | 25.2 | 25.0 |
|  | no | 8.1 | 10.5 |
|  | NO! | 9.7 | 11.8 |
| Q33 Parents notice when I | All the time | 48.1 | 39.9 |
| am doing a good job | Often | 27.8 | 34.3 |
|  | Sometimes | 14.7 | 15.9 |
|  | Never | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| Q33 Parents tell me they | All the time | 48.3 | 42.7 |
| are proud of me | Often | 24.5 | 27.2 |
|  | Sometimes | 18.9 | 16.4 |
|  | Never | 8.3 | 13.8 |

> Interaction with Antisocial Peers
> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Been suspended | None of my friends | 60.5 | 68.5 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 19.9 | 19.1 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 8.6 | 7.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 4.5 | 3.4 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 6.4 | 1.7 |
| Q35 Carried a gun | None of my friends | 94.4 | 97.9 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.5 | 1.7 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 4 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 7 | . 4 |
| Q35 Sold drugs | None of my friends | 91.4 | 85.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 3.8 | 9.4 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 3.0 | 1.3 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 1.1 | 2.6 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 8 | 1.7 |
| Q35 Stolen a vehicle | None of my friends | 97.0 | 97.4 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.6 | 1.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 0 | . 4 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 4 | . 9 |
| Q35 Been arrested | None of my friends | 91.0 | 88.9 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 2.2 | 3.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 1.1 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Q35 Dropped out of school | None of my friends | 97.0 | 95.3 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 1.1 | 3.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 4 | . 9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 1.1 | . 9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 4 | . 0 |

> Interaction with Prosocial Peers
> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 19.9 | 19.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 14.3 | 9.8 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 18.4 | 16.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 15.4 | 12.4 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 32.0 | 42.3 |
| Q35 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 28.7 | 33.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 6.4 | 7.4 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 9.8 | 6.9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 7.9 | 9.1 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 47.2 | 42.9 |
| Q35 Liked school | None of my friends | 33.6 | 33.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 10.3 | 15.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 19.1 | 21.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 16.0 | 13.7 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 21.0 | 16.7 |
| Q35 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 32.7 | 37.1 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 26.9 | 26.2 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 18.8 | 19.7 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 12.7 | 10.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 8.8 | 6.1 |
| Q35 Tried to do well in school | None of my friends | 8.7 | 7.7 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 8.0 | 7.2 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 10.6 | 13.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 14.8 | 15.7 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 58.0 | 56.2 |

## Community Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8 th |
| Q36 If a kid drank alcohol in | YES! | 25.0 | 20.3 |
| your neighborhood, would | yes | 34.7 | 22.5 |
| he or she be caught by | no | 30.2 | 38.6 |
| police? | NO! | 10.1 | 18.6 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked | YES! | 32.6 | 22.0 |
| marijuana in your | yes | 33.0 | 26.3 |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 25.5 | 37.3 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 9.0 | 14.4 |
|  | YES! | 41.4 | 29.8 |
| Q36 If a kid carried a | yes | 18.8 | 33.6 |
| handgun in your | 23.4 |  |  |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 26.0 | 13.2 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 25.3 | 21.3 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked a | YES! | 35.1 | 38.7 |
| cigarette in your | yes | 13.6 | 20.9 |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 77.1 | 63.4 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 15.4 | 23.8 |
| Q38 Adults in your | Very wrong | 6.0 | 8.5 |
| neighborhood think about | Wrong | 70.3 | 63.5 |
| youth marijuana use | A little bit wrong | 17.9 | 22.6 |
| Q38 Adults in your | Not at all wrong | 1.5 | 4.3 |
| Q38 Adults in your | Very wrong | 68.8 | 60.3 |
| neighborhood think about | Wrong | 20.3 | 24.4 |
| youth alcohol use | A little bit wrong | 9.4 | 11.1 |
| youth cigarettes use | Not at all wrong | 1.5 | 4.3 |
|  | Very wrong | 9.5 | 10.4 |
|  | Wrong | 2.3 | 3.5 |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q37 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 9.9 | 6.4 |
| job and let me know | yes | 17.1 | 14.1 |
|  | no | 31.2 | 29.9 |
|  | NO! | 41.8 | 49.6 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 13.3 | 10.7 |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 25.5 | 16.2 |
| proud of me | no | 26.6 | 27.8 |
|  | NO! | 34.6 | 45.3 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 16.3 | 13.5 |
| neighborhood who | yes | 27.0 | 21.3 |
| encourage me to do my | no | 21.7 | 23.5 |
| best | NO! | 35.0 | 41.7 |

Personal and Family Information
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 77.2 | 71.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 22.8 | 28.6 |
| Q3 Race | White | 69.9 | 76.0 |
|  | Black/African American | 6.0 | 5.8 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | . 8 | . 0 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | . 8 | . 0 |
|  | Race not known or other | 4.5 | 4.8 |
|  | More than one race | 18.0 | 13.5 |
| Q5 Age | 12 years | 35.6 | . 0 |
|  | 13 years | 49.2 | 39.8 |
|  | 14 years | 13.6 | 52.4 |
|  | 15 years | 1.5 | 6.8 |
|  | 16 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war zone | No | 64.4 | 74.3 |
|  | Yes | 26.5 | 18.1 |
|  | Not sure | 9.1 | 7.6 |
| Q7 Parents served time in jail | No | 65.2 | 70.5 |
|  | Yes | 25.8 | 17.1 |
|  | Not sure | 9.1 | 12.4 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs <br> School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q8 Cigarettes | Never | 97.7 | 95.1 |
|  | 1-5 times | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| Q8 Smokeless tobacco | Never | 98.5 | 95.2 |
|  | 1-5 times | 1.5 | 3.8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Cigars | Never | 99.2 | 94.1 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 8 | 4.9 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Pipe | Never | 100 | 87.4 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | 5.8 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 2.9 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | 1.9 |
| Q8 Electronic vapor products | Never | 91.6 | 78.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | 5.3 | 5.8 |
|  | 6-19 times | 2.3 | 5.8 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 2.9 |
|  | 40+ times | . 8 | 6.8 |
| Q8 Alcohol | Never | 89.9 | 78.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | 7.8 | 13.6 |
|  | 6-19 times | 2.3 | 4.9 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Marijuana | Never | 96.2 | 88.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | 3.0 | 5.8 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 2.9 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | 40+ times | . 8 | 1.9 |
| Q8 Synthetic marijuana | Never | 100 | 98.1 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Cocaine/Crack | Never | 100 | 99.0 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | 1.0 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you <br> used...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q8 Inhalants | Never | 99.2 | 99.0 |
|  | $1-5$ times | .0 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Methamphetamines | $6-19$ times | .8 | .0 |
| Q8 Heroin | Never | 100 | 100 |
| Q8 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never | 100 | 100 |
|  | Never | 99.2 | 100 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs not | Never | .8 | .0 |
| prescribed to you | $1-5$ times | 99.2 | 98.1 |
|  | $6-19$ times | .8 | 1.0 |
| Q8 Over the counter drugs | Never | .0 | 1.0 |
| to get high | $1-5$ times | 97.7 | 95.1 |
|  | $6-19$ times | 1.6 | 3.9 |
|  |  | .8 | 1.0 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug
Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | Never used | 95.3 | 90.4 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.6 | 2.9 |
|  | 11 years | . 8 | 1.9 |
|  | 12 years | 1.6 | 1.0 |
|  | 13 years | . 8 | 1.9 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 1.9 |
| Q9 Smokeless tobacco | Never used | 97.0 | 93.3 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 2.3 | 1.9 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | 13 years | . 8 | 1.9 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Cigars | Never used | 98.5 | 87.4 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | 3.9 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 13 years | 1.5 | 3.9 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Pipe | 14 years | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | Never used | 96.9 | 85.1 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | 3.0 |
|  | 11 years | 1.6 | . 0 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | 4.0 |
|  | 13 years | 1.6 | 4.0 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.0 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Electronic vapor products | Never used | 89.3 | 74.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | 2.9 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | 3.9 |
|  | 12 years | 6.1 | 3.9 |
|  | 13 years | 4.6 | 10.7 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 2.9 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Alcohol | Never used | 85.5 | 73.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 5.3 | 6.8 |
|  | 11 years | 1.5 | 3.9 |
|  | 12 years | 4.6 | 5.8 |
|  | 13 years | 2.3 | 6.8 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 15 years | . 8 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Marijuana | Never used | 95.5 | 82.5 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | 1.0 |
|  | 11 years | 1.5 | 1.0 |
|  | 12 years | 2.3 | 2.9 |
|  | 13 years | . 8 | 8.7 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.9 |
| Q9 Synthetic marijuana | Never used | 100.0 | 96.1 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | 1.9 |

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Never used | 7 th | 8th |
| Q9 Cocaine/Crack | 13 years | 100.0 | 98.1 |
|  | 14 years | .0 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Inhalants | Never used | .0 | 1.0 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 99.2 | 99.0 |
| Q9 Methamphetamines | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Heroin | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never used | 99.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 13 years | .8 | .0 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs not | Never used | 99.2 | 97.1 |
| prescribed to you | 11 years | .8 | 1.0 |
|  | 12 years | .0 | 1.0 |
|  | 13 years | .0 | 1.0 |
| Q9 Over the counter drugs | Never used | 97.7 | 94.1 |
| to get high | 10 years or younger | 2.3 | 2.0 |
|  | 11 years | .0 | 1.0 |
|  | 13 years | .0 | 2.0 |
|  | 14 years | .0 | 1.0 |

Binge Drinking in the Last Two Weeks
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| Think back over the last 2 weeks... | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 8th |  |  |
|  | None | 97.7 | 91.3 |
|  | Once | 1.5 | 3.9 |
|  | Twice | .0 | 1.0 |
|  | 3-5 times | .8 | 1.9 |
| 6-9 times | .0 | 1.9 |  |

Perceived Availability of Drugs
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How easy would it be for you to get...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Qery hard | 7 th | 8th |
| Q11 Cigarettes | Sort of hard | 23.3 | 50.5 |
|  | Sort of easy | 8.1 | 14.6 |
|  | Very easy | 13.0 | 19.4 |
|  | Very hard | 47.6 | 39.8 |
| Q11 Alcohol | Sort of hard | 21.0 | 12.6 |
|  | Sort of easy | 14.5 | 26.2 |
|  | Very easy | 16.9 | 21.4 |
|  | Very hard | 80.5 | 68.0 |
|  | Sort of hard | 7.3 | 7.8 |
| Q11 Marijuana | Sort of easy | 4.9 | 9.7 |
|  | Very easy | 7.3 | 14.6 |
| Q11 Drugs like cocaine, | Very hard | 91.9 | 82.5 |
| LSD or amphetamines | Sort of hard | 4.1 | 11.7 |
|  | Sort of easy | .8 | 3.9 |
|  | Very easy | 3.3 | 1.9 |

## Usual Sources of Alcoholic Beverages in the Past Year

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your alcohol? | 7th |  | 8th |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q12 I did not drink alcohol | 86.3 | 75.0 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a <br> restaurant, bar, or club <br> Q12 I bought it at a public <br> event | .0 | 1.0 |
| Q12 I gave someone else <br> money to buy it for me | 1.7 | 6.0 |  |
| Q12 I bought it at a store | .0 | 2.0 |  |
| Q12 A person 21 years old <br> or older gave it to me | 6.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Q12 I took it from a store <br> Q12 I got it from a <br> parent/guardian <br> Q12 I got it from some other <br> family member <br> Q12 I got it some other way | 6.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

## Usual Sources of Prescription Drugs in the Past Year <br> School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your prescription drugs that were used to get high? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Source | Q13 I did not use prescription drugs to get high | 93.9 | 88.9 |
|  | Q13 They were prescribed to me | 4.4 | 3.0 |
|  | Q13 My parents gave them to me | 1.8 | . 0 |
|  | Q13 Someone other than my parents gave them to me | . 0 | 3.0 |
|  | Q13 I took them from home | . 0 | 2.0 |
|  | Q13 I bought them from someone | . 0 | 3.0 |
|  | Q13 I bought them on the internet | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q13 I took them from someone else | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q13 I got them some other way | 1.8 | 4.0 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

CRAFFT Substance Abuse Screening
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Age |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Q14 Do you ever use | Never used | 77.1 | 66.7 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs to relax, | No | 15 years | 16 years |  |
| feel better about yourself, or | Yes | 18.6 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| fit in? | 4.3 | .0 | .0 |  |
| Q14 Do you ever use | Never used | 74.3 | 66.7 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs while you | No | 15.7 | 33.3 | .0 |
| are by yourself, or alone? | Yes | 10.0 | .0 | 100.0 |
|  | Never used | 75.7 | 66.7 | .0 |
| Q14 Do you ever forget | 18.6 | 22.2 | 100.0 |  |
| things you did while using | No | 5.7 | 11.1 | .0 |
| alcohol or drugs? | Yes | 75.7 | 77.8 | .0 |
| Q14 Do your family or | Never used | 21.4 | 22.2 | 100.0 |
| friends ever tell you that | No | 2.9 | .0 | .0 |
| you should cut down on | No |  | .0 |  |
| your drinking or drug use? | Yes | 71.4 | 66.7 | .0 |
| Q14 Have you ever gotten | Never used | 20.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| linto trouble while you were | No | 8.6 | .0 | .0 |

Consequences of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times have you experienced the following due <br> to your drinking or drug use...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q15 Performed poorly on | 7th | 8th |
| test or project | Once | 95.3 | 96.1 |
|  | Twice | 1.6 | 1.0 |
|  | 3-5 times | .8 | 1.0 |
|  | $11+$ times | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Q15 Missed class | Never | .8 | 1.0 |
|  | Once | 96.1 | 98.1 |
|  | Twice | 1.6 | .0 |
|  | 3-5 times | 2.3 | 1.0 |
|  |  | .0 | 1.0 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | No risk | 7th | 8 th |
| cigarettes per day | Slight risk | 10.9 | 4.9 |
|  | Moderate risk | 12.5 | 12.6 |
|  | Great risk | 36.7 | 30.1 |
| Q16 Try marijuana once or | No risk | 39.8 | 52.4 |
| twice | Slight risk | 17.2 | 26.0 |
|  | Moderate risk | 25.8 | 26.0 |
|  | Great risk | 21.1 | 18.3 |
|  | No risk | 35.9 | 29.8 |
| Q16 Smoke marijuana once | 15.7 | 18.3 |  |
| or twice per week | Slight risk | 11.8 | 20.2 |
|  | Moderate risk | 29.9 | 25.0 |
| Q16 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Great risk | 42.5 | 36.5 |
| drinks every day | No risk | 13.3 | 12.5 |
|  | Slight risk | 25.0 | 19.2 |
|  | Moderate risk | 33.6 | 28.8 |
| Q16 Binge drink once or | Great risk | 28.1 | 39.4 |
| twice a week | No risk | 11.0 | 6.7 |
|  | Slight risk | 11.0 | 18.3 |
| Q16 Misuse prescription | Moderate risk | 32.3 | 31.7 |
| drugs | Great risk | 45.7 | 43.3 |
|  | Slight risk | 9.4 | 5.8 |
|  | Moderate risk | 7.1 | 9.6 |
|  | Great risk | 22.8 | 17.3 |
|  |  | 67.3 |  |

## Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior <br> School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q17 Take a gun to school | Very wrong | 95.4 | 94.2 |
|  | Wrong | 4.6 | 3.9 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .0 | 1.9 |
| Q17 Steal something more | Very wrong | 36.6 | 41.7 |
| than \$5 | Wrong | 48.1 | 39.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 15.3 | 15.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .0 | 2.9 |
|  | Very wrong | 69.5 | 68.9 |
|  | Wrong | 26.7 | 25.2 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 3.8 | 4.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .0 | 1.0 |
|  | Very wrong | 40.5 | 38.8 |
|  | Wrong | 35.9 | 36.9 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 22.9 | 19.4 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .8 | 4.9 |
|  | Very wrong | 74.8 | 58.3 |
|  | Wrong | 19.8 | 31.1 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 5.3 | 10.7 |

Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q17 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 76.3 | 63.1 |
|  | Wrong | 15.3 | 22.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 7.6 | 9.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .8 | 4.9 |
| Q17 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 80.8 | 77.7 |
|  | Wrong | 13.1 | 15.5 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 4.6 | 6.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.5 | .0 |
|  | Very wrong | 84.0 | 72.8 |
|  | Wrong | 9.2 | 11.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 3.8 | 5.8 |
| Q17 Use illegal drugs | Not at all wrong | 3.1 | 9.7 |
|  | Very wrong | 93.8 | 89.3 |
|  | Wrong | 4.6 | 8.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .8 | 1.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .8 | 1.0 |

Rewards for Antisocial Involvement School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| What are the chances you would be seen as cool if <br> you...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th |  | 8 th |
| Q19 Smoked cigarettes | Very good chance | 4.6 | 3.8 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 3.1 | 8.7 |
|  | Some chance | 10.0 | 12.5 |
|  | Little chance | 14.6 | 19.2 |
|  | No or very little chance | 67.7 | 55.8 |
|  | 4.7 | 8.7 |  |
|  | Q19 Drank alcohol regularly | Very good chance | 3.9 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 11.5 |  |
|  | Little chance | 10.1 | 14.4 |
|  | No or very little chance | 65.9 | 51.9 |
|  | Very good chance | 8.5 | 14.4 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 6.9 | 14.4 |
|  | Some chance | 9.2 | 15.4 |
|  | Little chance | 4.6 | 9.6 |
|  | No or very little chance | 70.8 | 46.2 |
|  | Very good chance | 8.5 | 12.5 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 3.1 | 6.7 |
|  | Some chance | 6.9 | 10.6 |
|  | Little chance | 10.8 | 7.7 |
|  | No or very little chance | 70.8 | 62.5 |

# Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use 

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 8th |
| Q20 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 89.2 | 85.4 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 6.9 | 11.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.5 | 1.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Q20 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 89.2 | 77.9 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 6.9 | 11.5 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 1.5 | 8.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Q20 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 90.7 | 85.4 |
|  | Wrong | 4.7 | 11.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.6 | 1.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.1 | 1.0 |
| Q20 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 91.5 | 82.7 |
|  | Wrong | 3.1 | 12.5 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.5 | 2.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.8 | 1.9 |
| Q20 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 93.0 | 88.5 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | 3.9 | 7.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .8 | 2.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.3 | 1.0 |

Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q20 Steal something worth | Very wrong | 70.0 | 71.2 |
| more than \$5 | Wrong | 21.5 | 23.1 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.2 | 4.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.3 | 1.0 |
|  | Very wrong | 80.0 | 76.0 |
|  | Wrong | 11.5 | 17.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 5.4 | 4.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.1 | 1.9 |
|  | Very wrong | 62.3 | 52.9 |
| Q20 Pick a fight with | Wrong | 23.8 | 26.9 |
| someone | A little bit wrong | 10.8 | 17.3 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.1 | 2.9 |


| Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months |
| :--- |
| School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 <br> (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |
|  Grade   <br>  7th 8th  <br>  No 78.3 79.8 <br>  Yes 21.7 20.2 <br> Q21 Consider attempting No 93.0 82.7 <br> suicide Yes 7.0 17.3 <br> Q21 Make a plan about No 93.8 88.5 <br> attempting suicide Yes 6.3 11.5 | 

Gambling during the Last Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you bet/gambled for money or valuables in the following ways? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q22 Card games | Never | 76.2 | 74.0 |
|  | Less than once a month | 14.6 | 19.2 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 6.9 | 3.8 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| Q22 Personal games of skill | Never | 55.4 | 62.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 19.2 | 17.3 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 11.5 | 6.7 |
|  | Once a week or more | 13.8 | 13.5 |
| Q22 Sports | Never | 62.8 | 67.3 |
|  | Less than once a month | 18.6 | 16.3 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.7 | 4.8 |
|  | Once a week or more | 14.0 | 11.5 |
| Q22 Lottery | Never | 84.4 | 87.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 7.8 | 9.6 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 3.9 | 1.0 |
|  | Once a week or more | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| Q22 Bingo | Never | 79.7 | 84.6 |
|  | Less than once a month | 11.7 | 11.5 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.7 | 1.9 |
|  | Once a week or more | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| Q22 Online gambling | Never | 90.8 | 93.3 |
|  | Less than once a month | 5.4 | 5.8 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 2.3 | . 0 |
|  | Once a week or more | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Q22 Personal challenges | Never | 58.5 | 63.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 21.5 | 24.0 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 15.4 | 5.8 |
|  | Once a week or more | 4.6 | 6.7 |
| Q22 Gambled in other ways | Never | 80.8 | 77.7 |
|  | Less than once a month | 10.0 | 16.5 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 6.2 | 3.9 |
|  | Once a week or more | 3.1 | 1.9 |

## Consequences of Gambling

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you experienced the following <br> consequences due to your gambling? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | I do not gamble | 7th | 8th |
|  | Never | 65.6 | 63.5 |
|  | Occasionally | 32.8 | 36.5 |
| Q23 Issues with the legal | I do not gamble | 1.5 | .0 |
| system | Never | 65.4 | 65.0 |
|  | Occasionally | 33.8 | 35.0 |
| Q23 Lost possessions or | I do not gamble | .8 | .0 |
| money | Never | 63.4 | 63.5 |
|  | Occasionally | 22.9 | 29.8 |
| Q23 Felt bad about | I do not gamble | 13.7 | 6.7 |
| gambling | Never | 64.9 | 64.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 33.6 | 32.7 |
| Q23 Poor health | I do not gamble | 1.5 | 2.9 |
|  | Never | 64.1 | 64.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 35.1 | 35.6 |
| Q23 Issues with friends and | I do not gamble | .8 | .0 |
| family | Never | 61.1 | 64.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 32.8 | 33.7 |
|  |  | 6.1 | 1.9 |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

$$
\text { School City of Hobart Male Students, } 2015
$$

(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8 th |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 22.5 | 25.5 |
| chances to help decide | yes | 37.2 | 40.2 |
| class activities and rules | no | 33.3 | 19.6 |
|  | NO! | 7.0 | 14.7 |
| Q24 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 16.2 | 18.6 |
| work on special class | yes | 42.3 | 38.2 |
| projects | no | 34.6 | 31.4 |
|  | NO! | 6.9 | 11.8 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 74.6 | 56.9 |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 21.5 | 35.3 |
| activities outside of class | no | 2.3 | 4.9 |
|  | NO! | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 49.2 | 48.5 |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 40.0 | 35.6 |
| one-on-one | no | 6.9 | 9.9 |
|  | NO! | 3.8 | 5.9 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 37.7 | 31.7 |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 49.2 | 49.5 |
| class discussions or | no | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| activities | NO! | 1.5 | 6.9 |


| School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement |
| :--- |
| School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 |
| (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |


|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th | 8th |  |
| Q24 Teachers notice when | YES! | 39.5 | 29.7 |
| doing a good job and let me | yes | 41.1 | 42.6 |
| know | no | 16.3 | 14.9 |
|  | NO! | 3.1 | 12.9 |
|  | YES! | 38.8 | 34.7 |
| Q24 I feel safe at school | yes | 42.6 | 43.6 |
|  | no | 13.2 | 10.9 |
|  | NO! | 5.4 | 10.9 |
|  | YES! | 29.5 | 25.7 |
| Q24 School lets parents | yes | 29.5 | 31.7 |
| know when I do well | no | 27.9 | 26.7 |
|  | NO! | 13.2 | 15.8 |
|  | YES! | 17.8 | 22.8 |
| Q24 Teachers praise me | yhen I work hard in school | yes | 32.6 |
|  | no | 40.3 | 24.8 |
|  | NO! | 9.3 | 15.8 |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q28 What were your | Mostly A's | 35.6 | 25.5 |
| grades like last year? | Mostly B's | 36.4 | 48.0 |
|  | Mostly C's | 21.2 | 19.6 |
|  | Mostly D's | 5.3 | 2.9 |
|  | Mostly F's | 1.5 | 3.9 |
| Q24 My grades are better | YES! | 27.7 | 30.4 |
| than most students | yes | 43.8 | 43.1 |
|  | no | 23.1 | 20.6 |
|  | NO! | 5.4 | 5.9 |

Low Commitment to School
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q26 Days of school skipped during last month | None | 90.0 | 81.4 |
|  | 1 day | 3.8 | 8.8 |
|  | 2 days | 2.3 | 3.9 |
|  | 3 days | . 8 | 2.9 |
|  | 4-5 days | 2.3 | 1.0 |
|  | 6-10 days | . 8 | 2.0 |
| Q27 How interesting are courses | Very interesting | 8.3 | 6.9 |
|  | Quite interesting | 26.5 | 24.5 |
|  | Fairly interesting | 30.3 | 29.4 |
|  | Slightly boring | 23.5 | 15.7 |
|  | Very boring | 11.4 | 23.5 |
| Q29 Feel schoolwork is meaningful | Almost always | 23.1 | 16.2 |
|  | Often | 27.7 | 21.2 |
|  | Sometimes | 33.8 | 28.3 |
|  | Seldom | 10.0 | 13.1 |
|  | Never | 5.4 | 21.2 |
| Q30 Importance of school to later life | Very important | 24.6 | 30.7 |
|  | Quite important | 36.2 | 23.8 |
|  | Fairly important | 21.5 | 23.8 |
|  | Slightly important | 16.2 | 15.8 |
|  | Not at all important | 1.5 | 5.9 |
| Q25 Enjoy being in school | Never | 7.6 | 23.3 |
|  | Seldom | 9.9 | 8.7 |
|  | Sometimes | 40.5 | 40.8 |
|  | Often | 31.3 | 20.4 |
|  | A lot | 10.7 | 6.8 |
| Q25 Hate being in school | Never | 9.2 | 7.8 |
|  | Seldom | 16.8 | 14.7 |
|  | Sometimes | 32.8 | 37.3 |
|  | Often | 26.0 | 16.7 |
|  | A lot | 15.3 | 23.5 |
| Q25 Try to do your best work in school | Never | 1.6 | 2.9 |
|  | Seldom | 2.3 | 2.0 |

Low Commitment to School
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)


Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q31 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 60.2 | 59.8 |
|  | yes | 34.4 | 32.4 |
|  | no | 5.5 | 2.9 |
|  | NO! | . 0 | 4.9 |
| Q31 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 77.2 | 66.7 |
|  | yes | 18.9 | 26.5 |
|  | no | 3.1 | 5.9 |
|  | NO! | . 8 | 1.0 |
| Q31 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 72.4 | 61.8 |
|  | yes | 24.4 | 34.3 |
|  | no | 3.1 | 2.9 |
|  | NO! | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q31 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 87.4 | 75.5 |
|  | yes | 7.1 | 14.7 |
|  | no | 5.5 | 8.8 |
|  | NO! | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q32 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 57.7 | 57.6 |
|  | yes | 32.5 | 28.3 |
|  | no | 7.3 | 10.1 |
|  | NO! | 2.4 | 4.0 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 57.7 | 51.0 |
|  | yes | 26.8 | 24.5 |
|  | no | 14.6 | 12.2 |
|  | NO! | . 8 | 12.2 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 75.4 | 69.7 |
|  | yes | 15.6 | 17.2 |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th | 8th |  |
|  | no | 5.7 | 7.1 |
| Q32 Parents would catch | YES! | 3.3 | 6.1 |
| you if you skipped school | yes | 20.2 | 68.7 |
|  | no | 6.5 | 7.1 |
|  | NO! | .0 | 4.0 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q31 Family argues over | YES! | 23.0 | 29.4 |
| and over about same thing | yes | 27.0 | 20.6 |
|  | no | 38.9 | 35.3 |
|  | NO! | 11.1 | 14.7 |
| Q31 Family has serious | YES! | 24.8 | 21.6 |
| arguments | yes | 16.8 | 23.5 |
|  | no | 37.6 | 30.4 |
|  | NO! | 20.8 | 24.5 |
| Q31 Family members often | YES! | 25.4 | 20.6 |
| insult each other | yes | 15.1 | 25.5 |
|  | no | 35.7 | 25.5 |
|  | NO! | 23.8 | 28.4 |


| Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement |
| :--- |
| School City of Hobart Male Students, $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |


|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q31 Parents ask me before | YES! | 27.6 | 29.7 |
| most family decisions made | yes | 43.3 | 33.7 |
|  | no | 16.5 | 28.7 |
|  | NO! | 12.6 | 7.9 |
| Q32 I can ask parents for | YES! | 52.0 | 46.5 |
| help if I have problem | yes | 33.6 | 31.3 |
|  | no | 7.2 | 10.1 |
|  | NO! | 7.2 | 12.1 |
|  | YES! | 48.8 | 44.4 |
| Q32 Parents give me |  |  |  |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 34.1 | 34.3 |
|  | no | 13.0 | 14.1 |
|  | NO! | 4.1 | 7.1 |

Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q32 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 71.5 | 59.6 |
| with mom | yes | 26.0 | 29.3 |
|  | no | 2.4 | 4.0 |
|  | NO! | .0 | 7.1 |
| Q32 I Enjoy spending time | YES! | 67.8 | 55.7 |
| with dad | yes | 20.3 | 25.8 |
|  | no | 5.9 | 7.2 |
|  | NO! | 5.9 | 11.3 |
| Q33 Parents notice when I | All the time | 59.2 | 46.0 |
| am doing a good job | Often | 24.8 | 30.0 |
|  | Sometimes | 9.6 | 17.0 |
| Q33 Parents tell me they | Never | 6.4 | 7.0 |
| are proud of me | All the time | 55.2 | 49.0 |
|  | Often | 28.8 | 26.0 |
|  | Sometimes | 9.6 | 13.0 |
|  | Never | 6.4 | 12.0 |

Interaction with Antisocial Peers
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Been suspended | None of my friends | 54.5 | 65.3 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 25.2 | 21.8 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 12.2 | 6.9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 4.1 | 5.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| Q35 Carried a gun | None of my friends | 95.2 | 97.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 8 | 1.0 |
| Q35 Sold drugs | None of my friends | 92.7 | 87.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.1 | 7.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 1.6 | . 0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 1.6 | 3.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 0 | 3.0 |
| Q35 Stolen a vehicle | None of my friends | 97.6 | 99.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.4 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 0 | 1.0 |
| Q35 Been arrested | None of my friends | 88.8 | 87.1 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.8 | 7.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 4.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 1.6 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 8 | 3.0 |
| Q35 Dropped out of school | None of my friends | 98.4 | 98.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | . 8 | 1.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 8 | 1.0 |

Interaction with Prosocial Peers School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 19.2 | 27.7 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 15.2 | 6.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 16.0 | 16.8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 15.2 | 10.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 34.4 | 37.6 |
| Q35 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 30.4 | 39.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 7.2 | 7.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 8.8 | 8.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 49.6 | 42.0 |
| Q35 Liked school | None of my friends | 33.6 | 30.7 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 9.6 | 14.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 22.4 | 24.8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 13.6 | 13.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 20.8 | 15.8 |
| Q35 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 31.4 | 39.4 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 22.3 | 26.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 21.5 | 17.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 17.4 | 12.1 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 7.4 | 5.1 |
| Q35 Tried to do well in school | None of my friends | 8.9 | 9.9 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 8.1 | 10.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 9.8 | 15.8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 14.6 | 19.8 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 58.5 | 43.6 |

Community Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q36 If a kid drank alcohol in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by police? | YES! | 28.8 | 28.4 |
|  | yes | 30.4 | 25.5 |
|  | no | 32.0 | 29.4 |
|  | NO! | 8.8 | 16.7 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked marijuana in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by police? | YES! | 37.1 | 29.4 |
|  | yes | 29.8 | 26.5 |
|  | no | 24.2 | 28.4 |
|  | NO! | 8.9 | 15.7 |
| Q36 If a kid carried a handgun in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by police? | YES! | 43.1 | 35.3 |
|  | yes | 30.1 | 33.3 |
|  | no | 21.1 | 14.7 |
|  | NO! | 5.7 | 16.7 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked a cigarette in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by police? | YES! | 26.8 | 27.7 |
|  | yes | 30.1 | 19.8 |
|  | no | 30.9 | 32.7 |
|  | NO! | 12.2 | 19.8 |
| Q38 Adults in your neighborhood think about youth marijuana use | Very wrong | 76.6 | 70.6 |
|  | Wrong | 14.5 | 17.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.5 | 4.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| Q38 Adults in your neighborhood think about youth alcohol use | Very wrong | 69.4 | 66.7 |
|  | Wrong | 20.2 | 17.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 8.1 | 8.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| Q38 Adults in your neighborhood think about youth cigarettes use | Very wrong | 74.6 | 73.3 |
|  | Wrong | 13.1 | 13.9 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 9.0 | 7.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.3 | 5.0 |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

## School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015

(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q37 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| job and let me know | yes | 18.9 | 20.6 |
|  | no | 36.1 | 27.5 |
|  | NO! | 35.2 | 42.2 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 11.5 | 12.7 |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 31.1 | 20.6 |
| proud of me | no | 29.5 | 28.4 |
|  | NO! | 27.9 | 38.2 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 15.4 | 14.0 |
| neighborhood who | yes | 33.3 | 24.0 |
| encourage me to do my | no | 18.7 | 26.0 |
| best | NO! | 32.5 | 36.0 |

Personal and Family Information
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 64.4 | 70.1 |
|  | Hispanic | 35.6 | 29.9 |
| Q3 Race | White | 63.8 | 62.2 |
|  | Black/African American | 7.8 | 5.9 |
|  | Asian | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | . 0 | 1.5 |
|  | Race not known or other | 5.0 | 3.7 |
|  | More than one race | 22.0 | 26.7 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 2.7 | . 0 |
|  | 12 years | 43.5 | . 0 |
|  | 13 years | 48.3 | 34.8 |
|  | 14 years | 5.4 | 60.7 |
|  | 15 years | . 0 | 4.4 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war zone | No | 68.2 | 77.0 |
|  | Yes | 16.2 | 13.3 |
|  | Not sure | 15.5 | 9.6 |
| Q7 Parents served time in jail | No | 63.9 | 64.9 |
|  | Yes | 21.8 | 19.4 |
|  | Not sure | 14.3 | 15.7 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs <br> School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q8 Cigarettes | Never | 96.6 | 92.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | 3.4 | 5.9 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 1.5 |
| Q8 Smokeless tobacco | Never | 98.6 | 99.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | 1.4 | . 7 |
| Q8 Cigars | Never | 99.3 | 98.5 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 7 | 1.5 |
| Q8 Pipe | Never | 95.2 | 90.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | 4.8 | 6.7 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 2.2 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q8 Electronic vapor products | Never | 93.2 | 75.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | 6.8 | 18.5 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 3.7 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | 1.5 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q8 Alcohol | Never | 86.1 | 72.6 |
|  | 1-5 times | 13.2 | 21.5 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 5.2 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q8 Marijuana | Never | 98.6 | 91.0 |
|  | 1-5 times | 1.4 | 5.3 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 0 | 1.5 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 0 | 1.5 |
| Q8 Synthetic marijuana | Never | 100 | 99.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q8 Cocaine/Crack | Never | 100 | 99.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q8 Inhalants | Never | 99.3 | 98.5 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 7 | 1.5 |
| Q8 Methamphetamines | Never | 100 | 100 |
| Q8 Heroin | Never | 100 | 100 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs <br> School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you <br> used...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
| Q8 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never | 100 | 100 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs not | Never | 95.9 | 97.8 |
| prescribed to you | $1-5$ times | 2.1 | 1.5 |
|  | $6-19$ times | 1.4 | .0 |
|  | $40+$ times | .7 | .7 |
| Q8 Over the counter drugs | Never | 97.2 | 97.7 |
| to get high | $1-5$ times | .7 | 1.5 |
|  | $6-19$ times | 1.4 | .8 |
|  | $40+$ times | .7 | .0 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | Never used | 93.2 | 86.6 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.4 | . 7 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | 12 years | 4.1 | 4.5 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | 5.2 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 2.2 |
| Q9 Smokeless tobacco | Never used | 98.6 | 99.3 |
|  | 12 years | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | . 7 |
| Q9 Cigars | Never used | 98.6 | 97.0 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | 2.3 |
| Q9 Pipe | Never used | 93.9 | 88.0 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | 3.4 | . 8 |
|  | 13 years | 2.0 | 9.0 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 1.5 |

School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Electronic vapor products | Never used | 90.5 | 70.9 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.4 | . 0 |
|  | 11 years | . 7 | 1.5 |
|  | 12 years | 6.1 | 4.5 |
|  | 13 years | 1.4 | 19.4 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.7 |
| Q9 Alcohol | Never used | 84.1 | 65.7 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 4.1 | 2.2 |
|  | 11 years | 4.1 | 6.0 |
|  | 12 years | 3.4 | 6.7 |
|  | 13 years | 4.1 | 13.4 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 6.0 |
| Q9 Marijuana | Never used | 98.0 | 86.5 |
|  | 11 years | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 12 years | . 7 | 1.5 |
|  | 13 years | 1.4 | 7.5 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | 3.8 |
| Q9 Synthetic marijuana | Never used | 100.0 | 97.8 |
|  | 12 years | . 0 | . 7 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | 1.5 |
| Q9 Cocaine/Crack | Never used | 100.0 | 99.3 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q9 Inhalants | Never used | 99.3 | 98.5 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 0 | . 7 |
|  | 13 years | . 7 | . 7 |
| Q9 Methamphetamines | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Heroin | Never used | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Q9 Hallucinogens/Ecstasy | Never used | 100.0 | 99.3 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs not prescribed to you | Never used | 95.2 | 94.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 2.7 | 2.2 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How old were you when you first used...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q9 Over the counter drugs to get high | 11 years | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 12 years | 1.4 | . 7 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | 1.5 |
|  | 14 years | . 0 | . 7 |
|  | Never used | 97.2 | 97.7 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 2.1 | 1.5 |
|  | 12 years | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 13 years | . 0 | . 8 |

Binge Drinking in the Last Two Weeks
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| Think back over the last 2 weeks... | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
|  | Once | 98.6 | 91.8 |
|  | Twice | .7 | 4.5 |
|  | 3-5 times | .7 | 2.2 |
| 6-9 times | .0 | .7 |  |

Perceived Availability of Drugs
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How easy would it be for you to get...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Very hard | 7 th | 8th |
| Q11 Cigarettes | Sort of hard | 55.0 | 48.1 |
|  | Sort of easy | 18.6 | 11.3 |
|  | Very easy | 14.3 | 20.3 |
| Q11 Alcohol | Very hard | 46.1 | 28.6 |
|  | Sort of hard | 12.8 | 18.8 |
|  | Sort of easy | 24.8 | 24.8 |
|  | Very easy | 16.3 | 27.8 |
|  | Very hard | 76.4 | 57.6 |
|  | Sort of hard | 10.7 | 15.9 |
|  | Sort of easy | 6.4 | 11.4 |
| Q11 Drugs like cocaine, | Very easy | 6.4 | 15.2 |
|  | Very hard | 87.7 | 82.6 |
| LSD or amphetamines | Sort of hard | 7.2 | 10.6 |
|  | Sort of easy | 2.2 | 3.0 |
|  | Very easy | 2.9 | 3.8 |

Usual Sources of Alcoholic Beverages in the Past Year School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your alcohol? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Source | Q12 I did not drink alcohol | 82.2 | 65.2 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a restaurant, bar, or club | . 0 | . 7 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a public event | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q12 I gave someone else money to buy it for me | . 7 | 2.2 |
|  | Q12 I bought it at a store | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q12 A person 21 years old or older gave it to me | 6.7 | 12.6 |
|  | Q12 I took it from a store | . 0 | . 0 |
|  | Q12 I got it from a parent/guardian | 5.2 | 11.1 |
|  | Q12 I got it from some other family member | 7.4 | 16.3 |
|  | Q12 I got it some other way | 11.1 | 17.0 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

## Usual Sources of Prescription Drugs in the Past Year School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past year, how did you get your prescription <br> drugs that were used to get high? | Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 94.9 | 96.2 |
| Q13 I took them from home | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Q13 I bought them from <br> someone <br> Q13 I bought them on the <br> internet <br> Q13 I took them from <br> someone else <br> Q13 I got them some other <br> way | 1.5 | .5 |

Note: Multiple responses allowed; percentages do not add to $100 \%$.

CRAFFT Substance Abuse Screening School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 14 years | 15 years |
| Q14 Do you ever use alcohol or drugs to relax, feel better about yourself, or fit in? | Never used | 68.2 | 66.7 |
|  | No | 19.3 | 16.7 |
|  | Yes | 12.5 | 16.7 |
| Q14 Do you ever use alcohol or drugs while you are by yourself, or alone? | Never used | 69.0 | 80.0 |
|  | No | 19.5 | 20.0 |
|  | Yes | 11.5 | . 0 |
| Q14 Do you ever forget things you did while using alcohol or drugs? | Never used | 69.3 | 80.0 |
|  | No | 22.7 | 20.0 |
|  | Yes | 8.0 | . 0 |
| Q14 Do your family or friends ever tell you that you should cut down on your drinking or drug use? | Never used | 68.2 | 80.0 |
|  | No | 28.4 | 20.0 |
|  | Yes | 3.4 | . 0 |
| Q14 Have you ever gotten into trouble while you were using alcohol or drugs? | Never used | 65.9 | 80.0 |
|  | No | 27.3 | 20.0 |
|  | Yes | 6.8 | . 0 |

Consequences of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times have you experienced the following due <br> to your drinking or drug use...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q15 Performed poorly on | Never | 8th |
| test or project | Once | 97.9 | 94.8 |
|  | Twice | .0 | .7 |
|  | 3-5 times | .7 | 1.5 |
|  | 6-10 times | .7 | 2.2 |
|  | $11+$ times | .0 | .7 |
| Q15 Missed class | Never | .7 | .0 |
|  | Once | 98.6 | 94.1 |
|  | Twice | .0 | .7 |
|  | $3-5$ times | .7 | 2.2 |
|  | $6-10$ times | .7 | 2.2 |
|  |  | .0 | .7 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | No risk | 7th | 8th |
| cigarettes per day | Slight risk | 6.2 | 4.5 |
|  | Moderate risk | 14.5 | 9.8 |
|  | Great risk | 37.2 | 23.5 |
| Q16 Try marijuana once or | No risk | 42.1 | 62.1 |
| twice | Slight risk | 15.4 | 22.1 |
|  | Moderate risk | 30.8 | 35.1 |
|  | Great risk | 23.8 | 20.6 |
| Q16 Smoke marijuana once | No risk | 30.1 | 22.1 |
| or twice per week | Slight risk | 10.4 | 9.8 |
|  | Moderate risk | 22.9 | 25.0 |
| Q16 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Great risk | 29.9 | 30.3 |
| drinks every day | No risk | 36.8 | 34.8 |
|  | Slight risk | 7.0 | 8.3 |
|  | Moderate risk | 32.9 | 28.0 |
| Q16 Binge drink once or | Great risk | 23.8 | 28.0 |
| twice a week | No risk | 36.4 | 35.6 |
|  | Slight risk | 6.3 | 3.8 |
| Q16 Misuse prescription | Moderate risk | 20.8 | 9.8 |
| drugs | Great risk | 27.1 | 35.6 |
|  | Slight risk | 45.8 | 50.8 |
|  | Moderate risk | 6.3 | 1.5 |
|  | Great risk | 4.2 | 9.1 |
|  |  | 26.4 | 17.4 |
|  | 63.2 | 72.0 |  |

## Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior <br> School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q17 Take a gun to school | Very wrong | 92.6 | 91.9 |
|  | Wrong | 6.1 | 5.2 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .0 | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Q17 Steal something more | Very wrong | 43.2 | 45.2 |
| than \$5 | Wrong | 42.5 | 40.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 13.7 | 12.6 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 | 1.5 |
|  | Very wrong | 71.2 | 69.4 |
|  | Wrong | 19.2 | 22.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 7.5 | 6.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.1 | 2.2 |
|  | Very wrong | 37.2 | 37.0 |
|  | Wrong | 40.0 | 37.0 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 20.0 | 21.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.8 | 4.4 |
|  | Very wrong | 57.2 | 57.0 |
|  | Wrong | 34.5 | 34.1 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.9 | 8.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | .7 |

Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to...? | 7th | 8th |
|  | Very wrong | 73.3 | 57.8 |
|  | Wrong | 17.1 | 25.2 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.2 | 12.6 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.4 | 4.4 |
| Q17 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 69.2 | 62.2 |
|  | Wrong | 20.5 | 27.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 8.9 | 6.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | 3.7 |
|  | Very wrong | 80.7 | 61.9 |
|  | Wrong | 11.7 | 20.1 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 6.2 | 11.2 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | 6.7 |
|  | Q17 Use illegal drugs | Very wrong | 89.0 |
|  | Wrong | 88.1 |  |
|  | A little bit wrong | 8.2 | 8.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.1 | .7 |
|  |  | .7 | 2.2 |

Rewards for Antisocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| What are the chances you would be seen as cool if <br> you...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7 th | 8 th |  |
| Q19 Smoked cigarettes | Very good chance | 2.8 | 2.2 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 9.0 | 6.0 |
|  | Some chance | 8.3 | 17.9 |
|  | Little chance | 19.3 | 27.6 |
|  | No or very little chance | 60.7 | 46.3 |
| Q19 Drank alcohol regularly | Very good chance | 5.6 | 4.5 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 7.6 | 14.2 |
|  | Some chance | 8.3 | 19.4 |
|  | Little chance | 20.8 | 20.1 |
|  | No or very little chance | 57.6 | 41.8 |
|  | Very good chance | 6.2 | 14.9 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 11.0 | 16.4 |
|  | Some chance | 12.4 | 16.4 |
|  | Little chance | 12.4 | 14.9 |
|  | No or very little chance | 57.9 | 37.3 |
|  | Very good chance | 5.6 | 3.0 |
|  | Pretty good chance | 5.6 | 3.8 |
|  | Some chance | 4.9 | 11.3 |
|  | Little chance | 12.5 | 13.5 |
|  | No or very little chance | 71.5 | 68.4 |

Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 7th |
| Q20 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 88.5 | 85.7 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 7.4 | 9.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.4 | 4.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.7 | .0 |
| Q20 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 84.4 | 83.5 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 9.5 | 12.0 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 3.4 | 2.3 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.7 | 2.3 |
|  | Very wrong | 89.1 | 89.5 |
| Q20 Smoke cigarettes | Wrong | 6.8 | 8.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.0 | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.0 | .8 |
| Q20 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 91.1 | 86.5 |
|  | Wrong | 3.4 | 11.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 3.4 | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.1 | .8 |
| Q20 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 89.8 | 89.5 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | 6.1 | 8.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.0 | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.0 | .8 |

## Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? | 7th | 8th |
| Q20 Steal something worth | Very wrong | 78.9 | 79.7 |
| more than $\$ 5$ | Wrong | 15.0 | 17.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 4.1 | 3.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.0 | .0 |
| Q20 Draw graffiti | Very wrong | 74.1 | 74.4 |
|  | Wrong | 15.0 | 22.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 7.5 | 3.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.4 | .0 |
|  | Very wrong | 54.4 | 56.1 |
| Q20 Pick a fight with | Wrong | 30.6 | 25.8 |
| someone | A little bit wrong | 8.8 | 16.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 6.1 | 1.5 |

Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| During the past 12 months, did you ...? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
| Q21 Feel sad or hopeless | No | 60.4 | 48.1 |
|  | Yes | 39.6 | 51.9 |
|  | No | 70.8 | 64.9 |
| suicide | Yes | 29.2 | 35.1 |
| Q21 Make a plan about | No | 75.2 | 77.6 |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 24.8 | 22.4 |

Gambling during the Last Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you bet/gambled for money or valuables in the following ways? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q22 Card games | Never | 84.4 | 87.2 |
|  | Less than once a month | 12.2 | 5.3 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 1.4 | 4.5 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Q22 Personal games of skill | Never | 74.3 | 79.1 |
|  | Less than once a month | 14.6 | 10.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 7.6 | 6.0 |
|  | Once a week or more | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Q22 Sports | Never | 84.1 | 82.1 |
|  | Less than once a month | 10.3 | 10.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 4.8 | 4.5 |
|  | Once a week or more | . 7 | 3.0 |
| Q22 Lottery | Never | 88.4 | 92.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 8.9 | 3.0 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 2.7 | 2.3 |
|  | Once a week or more | . 0 | 2.3 |
| Q22 Bingo | Never | 82.2 | 86.6 |
|  | Less than once a month | 15.1 | 11.2 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | . 7 | 1.5 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.1 | . 7 |
| Q22 Online gambling | Never | 96.6 | 95.5 |
|  | Less than once a month | 3.4 | 3.0 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | . 0 | . 7 |
|  | Once a week or more | . 0 | . 7 |
| Q22 Personal challenges | Never | 69.4 | 69.4 |
|  | Less than once a month | 20.8 | 16.4 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 6.9 | 9.0 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| Q22 Gambled in other ways | Never | 90.3 | 88.0 |
|  | Less than once a month | 5.6 | 7.5 |
|  | 1-3 times per month | 2.1 | 2.3 |
|  | Once a week or more | 2.1 | 2.3 |

Consequences of Gambling
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How often have you experienced the following <br> consequences due to your gambling? | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
| Q23 Academic problems | I do not gamble | 82.9 | 82.8 |
|  | Never | 15.8 | 15.7 |
|  | Occasionally | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Q23 Issues with the legal | I do not gamble | 83.4 | 81.2 |
| system | Never | 15.9 | 17.3 |
|  | Occasionally | .7 | 1.5 |
| Q23 Lost possessions or | I do not gamble | 82.1 | 81.3 |
| money | Never | 15.9 | 12.7 |
|  | Occasionally | 2.1 | 6.0 |
| Q23 Felt bad about | I do not gamble | 82.8 | 82.8 |
| gambling | Never | 15.9 | 16.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 1.4 | .7 |
| Q23 Poor health | I do not gamble | 82.6 | 80.6 |
|  | Never | 15.3 | 16.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| Q23 Issues with friends and | I do not gamble | 80.3 | 78.9 |
| family | Never | 14.1 | 14.3 |
|  | Occasionally | 5.6 | 6.0 |
|  | Frequently | .0 | .8 |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8 th |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 13.3 | 18.8 |
| chances to help decide | yes | 35.7 | 34.6 |
| class activities and rules | no | 34.3 | 27.8 |
|  | NO! | 16.8 | 18.8 |
| Q24 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 13.0 | 12.8 |
| work on special class | yes | 30.1 | 37.6 |
| projects | no | 41.8 | 36.1 |
|  | NO! | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 59.6 | 62.7 |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 32.9 | 31.3 |
| activities outside of class | no | 5.5 | 4.5 |
|  | NO! | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 34.9 | 40.6 |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 43.8 | 34.6 |
| one-on-one | no | 17.1 | 20.3 |
|  | NO! | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| Q24 Students have | YES! | 30.8 | 30.1 |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 45.9 | 52.6 |
| class discussions or | no | 17.8 | 14.3 |
| activities | NO! | 5.5 | 3.0 |

School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q24 Teachers notice when | YES! | 26.0 | 22.1 |
| doing a good job and let me | yes | 43.2 | 45.0 |
| know | no | 19.9 | 21.4 |
|  | NO! | 11.0 | 11.5 |
| Q24 I feel safe at school | YES! | 24.1 | 27.1 |
|  | yes | 42.1 | 54.1 |
|  | no | 20.7 | 9.0 |
|  | NO! | 13.1 | 9.8 |
| Q24 School lets parents | YES! | 17.4 | 12.7 |
| know when I do well | yes | 25.7 | 29.9 |
|  | no | 33.3 | 34.3 |
|  | NO! | 23.6 | 23.1 |
| Q24 Teachers praise me | YES! | 14.6 | 13.5 |
| when I work hard in school | yes | 31.9 | 24.1 |
|  | no | 31.9 | 42.9 |
|  | NO! | 21.5 | 19.5 |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q28 What were your | Mostly A's | 43.8 | 40.7 |
| grades like last year? | Mostly B's | 36.8 | 38.5 |
|  | Mostly C's | 13.2 | 10.4 |
|  | Mostly D's | 4.9 | 5.9 |
|  | Mostly F's | 1.4 | 4.4 |
| Q24 My grades are better | YES! | 25.3 | 27.8 |
| than most students | yes | 39.7 | 48.1 |
|  | no | 24.7 | 18.8 |
|  | NO! | 10.3 | 5.3 |

Low Commitment to School School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q26 Days of school skipped during last month | None | 83.7 | 79.7 |
|  | 1 day | 8.8 | 11.3 |
|  | 2 days | 2.7 | 6.0 |
|  | 3 days | 1.4 | . 8 |
|  | 4-5 days | 2.7 | 1.5 |
|  | 6-10 days | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 11 or more days | . 0 | . 8 |
| Q27 How interesting are courses | Very interesting | 4.8 | 3.7 |
|  | Quite interesting | 21.9 | 20.9 |
|  | Fairly interesting | 19.2 | 32.1 |
|  | Slightly boring | 37.7 | 26.9 |
|  | Very boring | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| Q29 Feel schoolwork is meaningful | Almost always | 17.2 | 9.7 |
|  | Often | 28.3 | 28.4 |
|  | Sometimes | 33.1 | 38.1 |
|  | Seldom | 13.8 | 11.9 |
|  | Never | 7.6 | 11.9 |
| Q30 Importance of school to later life | Very important | 23.8 | 17.9 |
|  | Quite important | 27.9 | 20.9 |
|  | Fairly important | 17.0 | 30.6 |
|  | Slightly important | 23.1 | 23.1 |
|  | Not at all important | 8.2 | 7.5 |
| Q25 Enjoy being in school | Never | 9.7 | 13.4 |
|  | Seldom | 17.2 | 17.2 |
|  | Sometimes | 39.3 | 32.1 |
|  | Often | 20.0 | 26.1 |
|  | A lot | 13.8 | 11.2 |
| Q25 Hate being in school | Never | 6.9 | 2.3 |
|  | Seldom | 9.7 | 18.8 |
|  | Sometimes | 33.1 | 31.6 |
|  | Often | 26.9 | 23.3 |
|  | A lot | 23.4 | 24.1 |
| Q25 Try to do your best work in school | Never | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | Seldom | 6.2 | . 8 |
|  | Sometimes | 17.1 | 16.5 |

Low Commitment to School
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |
| Often | 24.7 | 34.6 |
| A lot | 50.7 | 46.6 |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q31 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 53.8 | 47.0 |
|  | yes | 37.1 | 41.8 |
|  | no | 6.3 | 9.7 |
|  | NO! | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Q31 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 61.6 | 54.5 |
|  | yes | 24.0 | 28.4 |
|  | no | 13.0 | 12.7 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 | 4.5 |
| Q31 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 69.2 | 63.4 |
|  | yes | 24.7 | 27.6 |
|  | no | 4.1 | 6.7 |
|  | NO! | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Q31 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 74.5 | 53.7 |
|  | yes | 17.2 | 26.1 |
|  | no | 6.2 | 14.9 |
|  | NO! | 2.1 | 5.2 |
| Q32 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 55.1 | 51.9 |
|  | yes | 34.1 | 32.1 |
|  | no | 9.4 | 9.9 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 | 6.1 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 54.6 | 37.6 |
|  | yes | 21.3 | 24.1 |
|  | no | 17.0 | 27.1 |
|  | NO! | 7.1 | 11.3 |
| Q32 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 76.6 | 70.7 |
|  | yes | 16.3 | 17.3 |

## Poor Family Management

School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  | Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
|  | no | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| Q32 Parents would catch | YES! | 70.0 | 66.9 |
| you if you skipped school | yes | 24.3 | 24.8 |
|  | no | 2.9 | 4.5 |
|  | NO! | 2.9 | 3.8 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q31 Family argues over | YES! | 20.8 | 26.1 |
| and over about same thing | yes | 34.0 | 29.1 |
|  | no | 28.5 | 27.6 |
|  | NO! | 16.7 | 17.2 |
| Q31 Family has serious | YES! | 21.4 | 21.8 |
| arguments | yes | 25.5 | 24.1 |
|  | no | 26.9 | 36.1 |
|  | NO! | 26.2 | 18.0 |
| Q31 Family members often | YES! | 20.1 | 22.6 |
| insult each other | yes | 25.7 | 22.6 |
|  | no | 24.3 | 31.6 |
|  | NO! | 29.9 | 23.3 |


| Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement |
| :---: |
| School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 |
| (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |


|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 7th | 8th |  |
| Q31 Parents ask me before | YES! | 24.5 | 17.9 |
| most family decisions made | yes | 37.1 | 41.0 |
|  | no | 21.7 | 23.9 |
|  | NO! | 16.8 | 17.2 |
| Q32 I can ask parents for | YES! | 38.0 | 34.6 |
| help if I have problem | yes | 28.9 | 36.8 |
|  | no | 21.1 | 16.5 |
|  | NO! | 12.0 | 12.0 |
|  | YES! | 38.6 | 36.1 |
| Q32 Parents give me |  |  |  |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 35.0 | 35.3 |
|  | no | 17.9 | 18.8 |
|  | NO! | 8.6 | 9.8 |

Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q32 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 55.3 | 56.4 |
| with mom | yes | 31.2 | 29.3 |
|  | no | 5.7 | 6.8 |
|  | NO! | 7.8 | 7.5 |
| Q32 I Enjoy spending time | YES! | 47.9 | 50.4 |
| with dad | yes | 29.3 | 24.4 |
|  | no | 10.0 | 13.0 |
|  | NO! | 12.9 | 12.2 |
| Q33 Parents notice when I | All the time | 38.3 | 35.3 |
| am doing a good job | Often | 30.5 | 37.6 |
|  | Sometimes | 19.1 | 15.0 |
| Q33 Parents tell me they | Never | 12.1 | 12.0 |
| are proud of me | All the time | 42.1 | 37.9 |
|  | Often | 20.7 | 28.0 |
|  | Sometimes | 27.1 | 18.9 |
|  | Never | 10.0 | 15.2 |

Interaction with Antisocial Peers
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Been suspended | None of my friends | 65.7 | 70.9 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 15.4 | 17.2 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 5.6 | 7.5 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 4.9 | 2.2 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 8.4 | 2.2 |
| Q35 Carried a gun | None of my friends | 93.7 | 98.5 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.9 | 1.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 7 | . 0 |
| Q35 Sold drugs | None of my friends | 90.2 | 83.6 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 3.5 | 11.2 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 4.2 | 2.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | . 7 | 2.2 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 1.4 | . 7 |
| Q35 Stolen a vehicle | None of my friends | 96.5 | 96.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.8 | 2.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 7 | . 8 |
| Q35 Been arrested | None of my friends | 93.0 | 90.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.2 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 7 | 3.8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | . 7 | . 0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Q35 Dropped out of school | None of my friends | 95.8 | 93.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 1.4 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | . 0 | . 8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 2.1 | 1.5 |
|  | 4 of my friends | . 7 | . 0 |

Interaction with Prosocial Peers
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7th | 8th |
| Q35 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 20.6 | 12.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 13.5 | 12.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 20.6 | 15.8 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 15.6 | 13.5 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 29.8 | 45.9 |
| Q35 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 27.1 | 29.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 8.6 | 9.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 12.1 | 6.9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 7.1 | 9.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 45.0 | 43.5 |
| Q35 Liked school | None of my friends | 33.6 | 34.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 10.9 | 15.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 16.1 | 18.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 18.2 | 13.6 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 21.2 | 17.4 |
| Q35 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 33.8 | 35.4 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 30.9 | 26.2 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 16.5 | 21.5 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 8.6 | 10.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 10.1 | 6.9 |
| Q35 Tried to do well in school | None of my friends | 8.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 7.8 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 11.3 | 11.2 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 14.9 | 12.7 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 57.4 | 65.7 |

## Community Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q36 If a kid drank alcohol in | YES! | 21.7 | 14.2 |
| your neighborhood, would | yes | 38.5 | 20.1 |
| he or she be caught by | no | 28.7 | 45.5 |
| police? | NO! | 11.2 | 20.1 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked | YES! | 28.7 | 16.4 |
| marijuana in your | yes | 35.7 | 26.1 |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 26.6 | 44.0 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 9.1 | 13.4 |
|  | YES! | 39.9 | 25.6 |
| Q36 If a kid carried a | yes | 15.4 | 30.1 |
| handgun in your | 7.7 | 10.5 |  |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 25.4 | 12.7 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 21.1 | 22.4 |
| Q36 If a kid smoked a | YES! | 38.7 | 43.3 |
| cigarette in your | yes | 14.8 | 21.6 |
| neighborhood, would he or | no | 77.5 | 57.9 |
| she be caught by police? | NO! | 16.2 | 28.6 |
| Q38 Adults in your | Very wrong | 5.6 | 11.3 |
| neighborhood think about | Wrong | 26.7 | 55.8 |
| youth marijuana use | A little bit wrong | 22.0 | 29.5 |
| Q38 Adults in your | Not at all wrong | .7 | 2.3 |
| Qeighborhood think about | Very wrong | 68.3 | 55.3 |
| youth alcohol use | Wrong | 20.4 | 29.5 |
| neighborhood think about | Wrong | 9.9 | 12.4 |
| youth cigarettes use | A little bit wrong | 10.6 | 12.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 | 2.3 |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

 School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 7 th | 8th |
| Q37 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 9.9 | 3.8 |
| job and let me know | yes | 15.6 | 9.1 |
|  | no | 27.0 | 31.8 |
|  | NO! | 47.5 | 55.3 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 14.9 | 9.1 |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 20.6 | 12.9 |
| proud of me | no | 24.1 | 27.3 |
|  | NO! | 40.4 | 50.8 |
| Q37 There are people in my | YES! | 17.1 | 13.1 |
| neighborhood who | yes | 21.4 | 19.2 |
| encourage me to do my | no | 24.3 | 21.5 |
| best | NO! | 37.1 | 46.2 |

# INDIANA YOUTH SURVEY <br> (Formerly known as Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use by Indiana Children and Adolescents) 

School City of Hobart<br>- 6th Grade Students -

Survey Conducted January through April 2015
Report Dated August 2015
Conducted by: Indiana Prevention Resource Center School of Public Health-Bloomington Indiana University
501 North Morton Street Suite 110
Bloomington, IN 47404
http://www.drugs.indiana.edu
INYS@indiana.edu
Toll free: 1-800-346-3077
Fax: 812-855-4940

Funded in part by a contract with the Indiana Family and Social Services Administration - Division of Mental Health and Addiction

## INDIANA YOUTH SURVEY

Thank you for participating in the 2015 Indiana Youth Survey! Your participation allows us to collect valid data about children and adolescents across the state of Indiana. We can then present this data to state officials so they can better understand the students in Indiana as well as identify and address any issues related to substance use and mental health.

We also hope that your INYS report results will be of value to your school/corporation. We believe these results can help you better understand your student body, inform school policies, select prevention programs or curricula, collaborate with community prevention efforts, and provide necessary data for grant and funding applications.

If you have any questions about your report, please contact the INYS Coordinators at 1-800-346-3077 or at INYS@indiana.edu.

Thank you!

Note: A single copy of this report was prepared for the designated local survey coordinator. The local data contained herein are the property of the local survey sponsor. They will not be released to anyone else by the Indiana Prevention Resource Center (IPRC). The local survey sponsor may determine whether or not to release these data to anyone else. If released, a reference to the source would be appreciated. Statewide data are the property of the IPRC and the Trustees of Indiana University, and they are copyrighted. Permission is granted to distribute the statewide results with the copyright notice.
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## Selection Criteria

The table below describes the number of surveys collected from participating students in your school/corporation. There is a protocol for checking errors to eliminate unreliable responses. A survey meeting any one of the following exclusion criteria was not included in the data analysis:

- Majority of the survey questions were left unanswered
- Student indicated they did not answer survey truthfully
- Student indicated use of fictitious drug
- No gender information provided
- No grade information provided
- Implausible combination of age and age of first time use of substances
- Inconsistent responses
- Pharmacologically implausible responses

Only valid surveys (Usable surveys) were included in the final analyses.

Number of Usable Questionnaires School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Count, Percentage)


## Demographic Information

The following table shows the demographic characteristics of the students participating in the survey from your school corporation. Please note that NO DATA will be presented in this report for any grade level with fewer than $\mathbf{3 0}$ usable surveys. This is to protect the confidentiality of your students.

Demographic Information
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Valid cases only: Count, Percentage)

|  |  | Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015 |  |
| Q1 Gender | Male | 135 | 47.4 |
|  | Female | 150 | 52.6 |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 206 | 74.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 71 | 25.6 |
| Q3 Race | White | 179 | 63.5 |
|  | Black/African American | 13 | 4.6 |
|  | Asian | 3 | 1.1 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 5 | 1.8 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 6 | 2.1 |
|  | Race not known or other | 23 | 8.2 |
|  | More than one race | 53 | 18.8 |
| Q4 Grade | 6th | 285 | 100.0 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 107 | 38.2 |
|  | 12 years | 158 | 56.4 |
|  | 13 years or older | 15 | 5.4 |

## Prevalence Rates for Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use

Monthly prevalence rate is defined as the percentage of students who reported using a particular substance at least once within the past 30 days. The following table shows the monthly prevalence rates among your school corporation's students who participated in the survey. Binge drinking is defined as 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past 2 weeks.

If your school corporation has participated in the survey more than once in the past ten years, trend data are provided so that you can monitor changes in prevalence rates between years. Please note that the cleaning protocol used by the IPRC to determine valid surveys was changed in 2015. These changes were made to better align the methodology with that used by national surveys. These changes may have a noticeable effect on the reported rates, especially for alcohol use, binge drinking, and marijuana use. Therefore, caution should be used when comparing the 2015 data with earlier years.

Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
School City of Hobart Students. 2015
(Percentages)

|  | 6th Grade |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Local |  |  |  | State |
|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2015 |
| Cigarettes | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Alcohol | 7.1 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| Marijuana | 2.9 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| Inhalants | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Prescription drugs | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Other illegal drugs | -- | -- | -- | 0.0 | 0.2 |

Notes: --Data not available.
Local data represent entire school corporation for this and previous years, when available.

State data from the Indiana Youth Survey, IPRC, 2015.

## Mean Age of First Time Use of Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs

Research has shown that the younger a person is when she or he begins using alcohol, the more likely the person is to experience alcohol dependence and abuse. ${ }^{1,2}$ Compared to persons who began drinking at age 21 or older, those who began drinking before age 14 were more likely to experience alcohol dependence later in life. ${ }^{3}$ The table below shows the average age of first use among students who reported using a particular substance at least once during their lifetime.

## Mean Age of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 <br> (Valid cases only: Mean age)

| At what age did you first | Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | 6th |  |
| Q9 Cigarettes | 11.00 |  |
| Q9 Alcohol | 10.50 |  |
| Q9 Marijuana | 12.00 |  |
| Q9 Inhalants |  |  |
| Q9 Prescription drugs | 10.71 |  |
| Q9 Other illegal drugs |  |  |

1 Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., \& Harford, T. C. (2001). Age at onset of alcohol use and DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: A 12-year followup. Journal of Substance Abuse, 13(4), 493-504.

2 Warner, L. A., \& White, H. R. (2003). Longitudinal effects of age at onset and first drinking situations on problem drinking. Substance Use \& Misuse, 38(14), 1983-2016.

3 Hingson, R. W., Heeren, T., \& Winter, M. R. (2006). Age at drinking onset and alcohol dependence: Age at onset, duration, and severity. Archives of Pediatrics \& Adolescent Medicine, 160(7), 739-746.

## Communities that Care (CTC) Risk and Protective Factors

Risk factors are conditions that increase the chances that children will become involved in problem behaviors in adolescence and young adulthood. ${ }^{1}$ Measures included in the Indiana Youth Survey from the Communities That Care (CTC) System can predict alcohol and other drugs use, as well as delinquency, dropping out of school, teen pregnancy and violence. Students with elevated risk factor scores have a higher likelihood of substance use and problem behaviors compared to those with low risk factor scores.

CTC is based on the Social Development Strategy which focuses primarily on the strengthening of protective factors. Protective factors are conditions that have a positive influence and "protect" or "buffer" against the negative influences associated with risk factors. Though closely related, protective factors are not the opposite of risk factors but rather reduce the effects of existing risk factors.

The Indiana Prevention Resource Center uses a cut-point method to identify elevated risk factors and depressed protective factors on the Indiana Youth Survey for students in grades 6, 8,10 and $12 .^{2}$ Survey respondents were divided into two categories - low risk and high risk for risk factors, and low protection and high protection for protective factors- based upon national data. Students from around the country were asked the same questions.

For example, youth were asked: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves if they try marijuana once or twice?"

All of the responses from the national survey were scored with a numerical value and put in order from lowest to highest, and the middlemost score was identified. This score is the median and it divided all responses into two halves ( $50 \%$ of responses are at/below the median and $50 \%$ are above the median). The median was used to determine the cut point for low and high groups. In this example, any youth that indicated a 2 or higher is considered to be at high risk.


In simple terms, if 50\% of the students in Indiana are at high risk and 50\% are at low risk on a particular risk factor, then the Indiana students are similar to the nationwide data. Problem areas are considered to be any risk factors with $50 \%$ or more of students showing high risk or any protective factors with $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ or more of students showing low protection. The following
table shows the percentage of students at low or high risk for six different risk factors, while the second table shows the percentage of students at low or high protection for six protective factors. Please take note of bold numbers over 50.0.

Percentage of students with CTC risk factor scores at/below (low risk) or above (high risk) the national standard
School City of Hobart Students, 2015

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | 6 th |  |
| Risk score - Poor family | Low risk | 74.5 |  |
| management | High risk | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ |  |
| Risk score - High family | Low risk | 56.3 |  |
| conflict | High risk | $\mathbf{4 3 . 7}$ |  |
| Risk score - Parental | Low risk | 86.2 |  |
| attitudes favor drug use | High risk | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ |  |
| Risk score - School | Low risk | 70.0 |  |
| academic failure | High risk | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Risk score - Peer- | Low risk | 40.2 |  |
| individual perceived risk | High risk | $\mathbf{5 9 . 8}$ |  |

Note: The national standards (cut-off points) are provided by the Social Development Research Group at the
University of Washington.


Identification of elevated risk and low protection is important in addressing several problem behaviors among youth. Implementation of evidence-based curricula and environmental strategies is the best way to address these issues. Visit www.findyouthinfo.gov for more information.

[^7]
## Mental Health

Prior research has demonstrated robust relationships between adolescent depression, suicidal behavior and substance abuse. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ As a result, the Sate is trying to better understand the prevalence of mental health concerns among Indiana's youth. Mental health was measured for the past year ( 12 months) with survey items that asked about "feeling so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row that you stopped doing some usual activities," "seriously consider attempting suicide," and "making a plan about how you would attempt suicide." Since the survey is anonymous, individual students and their mental health problems cannot be identified. Thus, it is advised that referral resources be a part of your school's student assistance policy.

Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| During the past 12 months, did you...? | 6th |  |  |
| Q21 Feel sad or | No | 75.7 |  |
| hopeless for 2+ weeks in | Yes | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ |  |
| a row |  | 90.7 |  |
| Q21 Seriously consider | No | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ |  |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 92.8 |  |
| Q21 Make a plan about | No | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ |  |

1 Esposito-Smythers, C., \& Spirito, A. (2004). Adolescent substance use and suicidal behavior: A review with implications for treatment research. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 28 (5), 77S-88S.

2 Hallfors, D. D, Waller, M.W., Ford, C.A., Halpern, C. T., Brodish, P. H., \& Iritani, B. (2004). Adolescent depression and suicide risk: Association with sex and drug behavior. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 27(3), 224-230.

3 Light, J.M., Grube, J.W., Madden, P.A., \& Gover, J. (2003). Adolescent alcohol use and suicidal ideation A nonrecursive model. Addictive Behaviors, 28, 705-724.

## Frequency Tables

The responses to all survey questions are presented in this section, in three segments. The tables are first presented by grade level, followed by tables including only male student responses, and lastly tables including only female student responses. The responses to the individual items that make up each of the CTC risk and protective factors are provided. The frequency tables are presented in the following order in each of the segments:

- Personal and Family Information
- Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
- Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
- Perceived Risks of Drug Use
- Perceived Peer Approval of Drug Use
- Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use
- Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior
- Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months
- Poor Family Management
- Family Conflict
- School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
- Academic Failure
- Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
- Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
- Interaction with Prosocial Peers

Personal and Family Information
School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q1 Gender | Male | 47.4 |
|  | Female | 52.6 |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 74.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 25.6 |
| Q3 Race | White | 63.5 |
|  | Black/African American | 4.6 |
|  | Asian | 1.1 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 1.8 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2.1 |
|  | Race not known or other | 8.2 |
|  | More than one race | 18.8 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 38.2 |
|  | 12 years | 56.4 |
|  | 13 years or older | 5.4 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war zone | No | 65.3 |
|  | Yes | 18.2 |
|  | Not sure | 16.5 |
| Q7 Parents served time in jail | No | 67.5 |
|  | Yes | 15.2 |
|  | Not sure | 17.3 |

Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you <br> used...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6 th |
| Q8 Cigarettes | Never | 98.9 |
|  | $1-5$ times | .7 |
| Q8 Alcohol | $40+$ times | .4 |
|  | Never | 98.2 |
|  | $1-5$ times | 1.4 |
| Q8 Marijuana | $6-19$ times | .4 |
|  | Never | 99.6 |
| Q8 Inhalants | $1-5$ times | .4 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs | Never | 100.0 |
|  | Never | 97.5 |
|  | $1-5$ times | 1.4 |
|  | $6-19$ times | .4 |
|  | $20-39$ times | .4 |
| Q8 Other illegal drugs | $40+$ times | .4 |
|  | Never | 100.0 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| At what age did you first use...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | Never used | 99.3 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 4 |
|  | 12 years | . 4 |
| Q9 Alcohol | Never used | 97.8 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.1 |
|  | 11 years | 1.1 |
| Q9 Marijuana | Never used | 99.6 |
|  | 12 years | . 4 |
| Q9 Inhalants | Never used | 100.0 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs | Never used | 97.5 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.1 |
|  | 11 years | 1.1 |
|  | 12 years | . 4 |
| Q9 Other illegal drugs | Never used | 100.0 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if they...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q10 Smoke 1+ pack cigarettes per day | No risk | 8.5 |
|  | Slight risk | 15.7 |
|  | Moderate risk | 34.9 |
|  | Great risk | 40.9 |
| Q10 Try marijuana once or twice | No risk | 10.7 |
|  | Slight risk | 30.7 |
|  | Moderate risk | 31.1 |
|  | Great risk | 27.5 |
| Q10 Smoke marijuana once or twice per week | No risk | 8.6 |
|  | Slight risk | 22.1 |
|  | Moderate risk | 33.2 |
|  | Great risk | 36.1 |
| Q10 Have 1-2 alcoholic drinks every day | No risk | 12.9 |
|  | Slight risk | 30.8 |
|  | Moderate risk | 34.1 |
|  | Great risk | 22.2 |
| Q10 Binge drink once or twice a week | No risk | 8.2 |
|  | Slight risk | 17.9 |
|  | Moderate risk | 37.5 |
|  | Great risk | 36.4 |
| Q10 Misuse prescription drugs | No risk | 7.1 |
|  | Slight risk | 5.4 |
|  | Moderate risk | 18.2 |
|  | Great risk | 69.3 |

## Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use <br> School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6 How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? |
| Q12 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 91.1 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 7.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .4 |
| Q12 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 87.6 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 8.2 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 2.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.4 |
| Q12 Smoke cigarettes | Very wrong | 93.0 |
|  | Wrong | 5.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 96.1 |
|  | Wrong | 2.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .4 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 94.0 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | 4.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.1 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |

Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6 th |
| Q12 Steal something worth | Very wrong | 80.2 |
| more than $\$ 5$ | Wrong | 17.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.8 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .4 |
| Q12 Draw graffiti | Very wrong | 83.0 |
|  | Wrong | 13.5 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.1 |
| Q12 Pick a fight with | Very wrong | 54.6 |
| someone | Wrong | 33.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 9.6 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.1 |

Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| During the past 12 months, did you...? | 6th |  |  |
| Q13 Feel sad or hopeless | No | 75.7 |  |
| for 2+ weeks | Yes | 24.3 |  |
| Q13 Consider attempting | No | 90.7 |  |
| suicide | Yes | 9.3 |  |
| Q13 Make a plan about | No | 92.8 |  |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 7.2 |  |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q14 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 57.7 |
|  | yes | 39.1 |
|  | no | 2.1 |
|  | NO! | 1.1 |
| Q14 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 69.0 |
|  | yes | 26.4 |
|  | no | 3.9 |
|  | NO! | . 7 |
| Q14 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 79.2 |
|  | yes | 15.5 |
|  | no | 3.5 |
|  | NO! | 1.8 |
| Q14 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 83.7 |
|  | yes | 9.5 |
|  | no | 4.2 |
|  | NO! | 2.5 |
| Q18 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 66.8 |
|  | yes | 27.4 |
|  | no | 4.7 |
|  | NO! | 1.1 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 75.8 |
|  | yes | 17.7 |
|  | no | 4.3 |
|  | NO! | 2.2 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 83.8 |
|  | yes | 12.3 |
|  | no | 1.4 |
|  | NO! | 2.5 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you skipped school | YES! | 78.4 |
|  | yes | 16.5 |
|  | no | 3.6 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q14 Family argues over | YES! | 15.6 |  |
| and over about same thing | yes | 21.6 |  |
|  | no | 44.0 |  |
| Q14 Family has serious | NO! | YES! |  |
| arguments | yes | 18.8 |  |
|  | no | 12.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 24.7 |  |
| Q14 Family menbers often | YES! | 32.9 |  |
| insult each other | yes | 30.4 |  |
|  | no | 13.9 |  |
|  | NO! | 18.1 |  |
|  | 31.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 36.7 |  |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 20.4 |
| chances to help decide | yes | 49.5 |
| activities and rules | no | 23.3 |
|  | NO! | 6.9 |
| Q16 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 11.6 |
| work on special class | yes | 39.4 |
| projects | no | 41.5 |
|  | NO! | 7.6 |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 65.5 |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 28.8 |
| activities outside of class | no | 4.3 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 48.9 |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 37.1 |
| one-on-one | no | 11.2 |
|  | NO! | 2.9 |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 43.4 |  |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 44.2 |  |
| class discussions or | no | 9.5 |  |
| activities | NO! | 2.9 |  |

School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Teachers notice when | YES! | 24.6 |  |
| doing a good job and let me | yes | 55.1 |  |
|  | no | 15.9 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.3 |  |
| Q16 I feel safe at school | YES! | 54.3 |  |
|  | yes | 37.8 |  |
|  | no | 5.8 |  |
|  | NO! | 2.2 |  |
| Q16 School lets parents | YES! | 23.4 |  |
| know when I do well | yes | 32.1 |  |
|  | no | 35.0 |  |
| Q16 Teachers praise me | YO! | 9.5 |  |
| when I work hard in school | yes | 16.3 |  |
|  | no | 45.3 |  |
|  | NO! | 27.5 |  |
|  | 10.9 |  |  |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q17 What were your | Mostly A's | 42.5 |  |
|  | Mostly B's | 42.5 |  |
|  | Mostly C's | 11.3 |  |
|  | Mostly D's | 2.2 |  |
|  | Mostly F's | 1.5 |  |
| Q16 My grades are better | YES! | 23.4 |  |
| than most students | yes | 50.7 |  |
|  | no | 21.2 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.7 |  |

Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q14 Parents ask me before | YES! | 31.3 |
| most family decisions made | yes | 42.1 |
|  | no | 19.4 |
|  | NO! | 7.2 |
| Q14 I can ask parents for | YES! | 62.8 |
| help if I have problem | yes | 27.3 |
|  | no | 7.1 |
|  | NO! | 2.8 |
| Q14 Parents give me | YES! | 52.5 |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 31.7 |
|  | no | 11.6 |
|  | NO! | 4.2 |


| Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grade |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time with mom | YES! | 72.6 |
|  | yes | 22.7 |
|  | no | 3.2 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time with dad | YES! | 68.3 |
|  | yes | 19.9 |
|  | no | 7.7 |
|  | NO! | 4.1 |
| Q15 Parents notice when I am doing a good job | All the time | 45.6 |
|  | Often | 37.9 |
|  | Sometimes | 13.3 |
|  | Never | 3.2 |
| Q15 Parents tell me they are proud of me | All the time | 43.9 |
|  | Often | 34.0 |
|  | Sometimes | 18.9 |
|  | Never | 3.2 |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

 School City of Hobart Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q18 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 10.5 |  |
| job and let me know | yes | 17.8 |  |
|  | no | 38.2 |  |
|  | NO! | 33.5 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 11.2 |  |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 26.0 |  |
| proud of me | no | 35.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 27.8 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 17.5 |  |
| neighborhood who | yes | 33.2 |  |
| encourage me to do my | no | 25.9 |  |
| best | NO! | 23.4 |  |

Interaction with Prosocial Peers
School City of Hobart Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q19 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 11.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 20.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 22.5 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 18.1 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 27.9 |
| Q19 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 7.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 4.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 4.3 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 10.1 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 74.4 |
| Q19 Liked school | None of my friends | 20.7 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 16.7 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 20.7 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 20.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 21.8 |
| Q19 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 23.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 21.6 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 30.5 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 11.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 12.3 |
| Q19 Tried to do well in school | None of my friends | 1.1 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.5 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 7.6 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 16.7 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 72.0 |

Personal and Family Information School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 79.1 |
|  | Hispanic | 20.9 |
|  | White | 60.9 |
|  | Black/African American | 6.0 |
|  | Asian | 2.3 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific | 3.0 |
|  | Islander |  |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan | 2.3 |
|  | Native | 7.5 |
|  | Race not known or other | 18.0 |
|  | More than one race | 35.3 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 57.1 |
|  | 12 years | 7.5 |
|  | 13 years or older | 59.3 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war | No | 25.9 |
| zone | Yes | 14.8 |
|  | Not sure | 62.4 |
| Q7 Parents served time in | No | 17.3 |
| jail | Yes | 20.3 |

## Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you used...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q8 Cigarettes | Never | 98.5 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 8 |
| Q8 Alcohol | Never | 96.9 |
|  | 1-5 times | 2.3 |
|  | 6-19 times | . 8 |
| Q8 Marijuana | Never | 99.3 |
|  | 1-5 times | . 7 |
| Q8 Inhalants | Never | 100.0 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs | Never | 95.5 |
|  | 1-5 times | 3.0 |
|  | 20-39 times | . 8 |
|  | 40+ times | . 8 |
| Q8 Other illegal drugs | Never | 100.0 |

Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug Use
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| At what age did you first use...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q9 Cigarettes | Never used | 99.2 |
|  | 10 years or younger | . 8 |
| Q9 Alcohol | Never used | 96.1 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 2.3 |
|  | 11 years | 1.6 |
| Q9 Marijuana | Never used | 99.2 |
|  | 12 years | . 8 |
| Q9 Inhalants | Never used | 100.0 |
| Q9 Prescription drugs | Never used | 95.5 |
|  | 10 years or younger | 1.5 |
|  | 11 years | 2.3 |
|  | 12 years | . 8 |
| Q9 Other illegal drugs | Never used | 100.0 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | No risk | 6 th |
| cigarettes per day | Slight risk | 12.0 |
|  | Moderate risk | 16.5 |
|  | Great risk | 29.3 |
| Q10 Try marijuana once or | No risk | 42.1 |
| twice | Slight risk | 13.0 |
|  | Moderate risk | 25.2 |
|  | Great risk | 28.2 |
| Q10 Smoke marijuana once | No risk | 33.6 |
| or twice per week | Slight risk | 10.6 |
|  | Moderate risk | 19.7 |
| Q10 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Great risk | 31.1 |
| drinks every day | No risk | 38.6 |
|  | Slight risk | 15.9 |
|  | Moderate risk | 37.1 |
| Q10 Binge drink once or | Great risk | 23.5 |
| Q10 risk | 23.5 |  |
| twice a week | Slight risk | 12.0 |
| drugs | Moderate risk | 22.6 |
|  | Great risk | 31.6 |
|  | No risk | 33.8 |
|  | Slight risk | 12.0 |
|  | Moderate risk | 5.3 |
|  | Great risk | 17.3 |
|  |  | 65.4 |

## Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q12 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 91.7 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 6.1 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .8 |
| Q12 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 88.0 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 9.0 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 1.5 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.5 |
|  | Very wrong | 94.1 |
| Q12 Smoke cigarettes | Wrong | 3.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.5 |
|  | Very wrong | 95.5 |
| Q12 Smoke marijuana | Wrong | 3.7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |
|  |  | 93.9 |
| Q12 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 3.8 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | .8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.5 |

Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6 6th |
| Q12 Steal something worth | Very wrong | 79.9 |
| more than $\$ 5$ | Wrong | 16.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 3.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Draw graffiti | Very wrong | 84.2 |
|  | Wrong | 11.3 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.3 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 2.3 |
|  | Very wrong | 52.7 |
| Q12 Pick a fight with | Wrong | 33.6 |
| someone | A little bit wrong | 9.9 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 3.8 |


| Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months |
| :--- |
| School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 |
| (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |


|  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | During the past 12 months, did you...? | 6th |
| Q13 Feel sad or hopeless | No | 78.6 |
| for 2+ weeks | Yes | 21.4 |
| Q13 Consider attempting | No | 91.6 |
| suicide | Yes | 8.4 |
| Q13 Make a plan about | No | 93.8 |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 6.3 |

Poor Family Management
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q14 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 57.3 |
|  | yes | 39.7 |
|  | no | 2.3 |
|  | NO! | . 8 |
| Q14 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 67.9 |
|  | yes | 24.6 |
|  | no | 6.0 |
|  | NO! | 1.5 |
| Q14 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 72.9 |
|  | yes | 19.5 |
|  | no | 4.5 |
|  | NO! | 3.0 |
| Q14 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 79.9 |
|  | yes | 10.4 |
|  | no | 6.7 |
|  | NO! | 3.0 |
| Q18 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 61.5 |
|  | yes | 33.8 |
|  | no | 3.1 |
|  | NO! | 1.5 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 71.8 |
|  | yes | 19.1 |
|  | no | 5.3 |
|  | NO! | 3.8 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 82.4 |
|  | yes | 9.9 |
|  | no | 3.1 |
|  | NO! | 4.6 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you skipped school | YES! | 78.6 |
|  | yes | 16.0 |
|  | no | 2.3 |
|  | NO! | 3.1 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q14 Family argues over | YES! | 15.0 |  |
| and over about same thing | yes | 23.3 |  |
|  | no | 36.8 |  |
|  | NO! | 24.8 |  |
| Q14 Family has serious | YES! | 13.5 |  |
| arguments | yes | 24.1 |  |
|  | no | 34.6 |  |
|  | NO! | 27.8 |  |
| Q14 Family menbers often | YES! | 17.6 |  |
| insult each other | yes | 14.5 |  |
|  | no | 34.4 |  |
|  | NO! | 33.6 |  |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

 School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 23.8 |  |
| chances to help decide | yes | 44.6 |  |
| activities and rules | no | 23.8 |  |
|  | NO! | 7.7 |  |
| Q16 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 13.7 |  |
| work on special class | yes | 36.6 |  |
| projects | no | 37.4 |  |
|  | NO! | 12.2 |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 55.0 |  |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 38.2 |  |
| activities outside of class | no | 4.6 |  |
|  | NO! | 2.3 |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 46.6 |  |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 34.4 |  |
| one-on-one | no | 14.5 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.6 |  |

## School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 42.0 |  |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 42.7 |  |
| class discussions or | no | 12.2 |  |
| activities | NO! | 3.1 |  |

School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Teachers notice when | YES! | 24.6 |  |
| doing a good job and let me | yes | 51.5 |  |
| know | no | 16.2 |  |
|  | NO! | 7.7 |  |
| Q16 I feel safe at school | YES! | 45.8 |  |
|  | yes | 45.0 |  |
|  | no | 4.6 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.6 |  |
| Q16 School lets parents | YES! | 22.5 |  |
| know when I do well | yes | 33.3 |  |
|  | no | 32.6 |  |
| Q16 Teachers praise me | YO! | 11.6 |  |
| when I work hard in school | yes | 15.4 |  |
|  | no | 45.4 |  |
|  | NO! | 23.1 |  |
|  | 16.2 |  |  |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q17 What were your | Mostly A's | 32.6 |  |
|  | Mostly B's | 48.1 |  |
|  | Mostly C's | 14.7 |  |
|  | Mostly D's | 2.3 |  |
|  | Mostly F's | 2.3 |  |
| Q16 My grades are better | YES! | 24.4 |  |
| than most students | yes | 46.6 |  |
|  | no | 23.7 |  |
|  | NO! | 5.3 |  |

Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q14 Parents ask me before | YES! | 26.0 |
| most family decisions made | yes | 46.6 |
|  | no | 19.8 |
|  | NO! | 7.6 |
| Q14 I can ask parents for | YES! | 62.4 |
| help if I have problem | yes | 27.1 |
|  | no | 6.0 |
|  | NO! | 4.5 |
| Q14 Parents give me | YES! | 54.5 |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 28.4 |
|  | no | 11.2 |
|  | NO! | 6.0 |

Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 71.5 |
|  | yes | 24.6 |
|  | no | 1.5 |
|  | NO! | 2.3 |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 70.9 |
| with dad | yes | 18.9 |
|  | no | 6.3 |
|  | NO! | 3.9 |
| Q15 Parents notice when I | All the time | 40.7 |
| am doing a good job | Often | 42.2 |
|  | Sometimes | 12.6 |
| Q15 Parents tell me they | Never | 4.4 |
| are proud of me the time | 42.2 |  |
|  | Often | 36.3 |
|  | Sometimes | 17.8 |
|  | Never | 3.7 |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q18 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 12.5 |  |
| job and let me know | yes | 21.1 |  |
|  | no | 31.3 |  |
|  | NO! | 35.2 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 13.1 |  |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 23.1 |  |
| proud of me | no | 34.6 |  |
|  | NO! | 29.2 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 19.7 |  |
| neighborhood who | yes | 31.5 |  |
| encourage me to do my | no | 21.3 |  |
| best | NO! | 27.6 |  |

Interaction with Prosocial Peers
School City of Hobart Male Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q19 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 13.7 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 16.8 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 23.7 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 18.3 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 27.5 |
| Q19 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 9.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 5.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 5.3 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 7.6 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 72.5 |
| Q19 Liked school | None of my friends | 23.8 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 16.9 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 20.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 16.2 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 23.1 |
| Q19 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 24.4 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 24.4 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 29.9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 11.8 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 9.4 |
| Q19 Tried to do well in school | None of my friends | 2.3 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.3 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 8.5 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 16.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 70.0 |

Personal and Family Information
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q2 Hispanic/Latino | Non-Hispanic | 70.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 29.7 |
| Q3 Race | White | 65.8 |
|  | Black/African American | 3.4 |
|  | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | . 7 |
|  | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2.0 |
|  | Race not known or other | 8.7 |
|  | More than one race | 19.5 |
| Q5 Age | 11 years | 40.8 |
|  | 12 years | 55.8 |
|  | 13 years or older | 3.4 |
| Q6 Parents served in a war zone | No | 70.7 |
|  | Yes | 11.3 |
|  | Not sure | 18.0 |
| Q7 Parents served time in jail | No | 72.0 |
|  | Yes | 13.3 |
|  | Not sure | 14.7 |

Past Month Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How many times in the last month (30 days) have you <br> used...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Never | 6th |
|  | $1-5$ times | 99.3 |
| Q8 Alcohol | Never | .7 |
|  | $1-5$ times | 99.3 |
| Q8 Marijuana | Never | .7 |
| Q8 Inhalants | Never | 100.0 |
| Q8 Prescription drugs | Never | 100.0 |
|  | $6-19$ times | 99.3 |
| Q8 Other illegal drugs | Never | .7 |
|  |  | 100.0 |

## Age Distribution of First Time Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other

 Drug UseSchool City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| At what age did you first use...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | 6th |  |
| Q9 Cigarettes Alcohol | Never used |  |
|  | 12 years |  |
| Q9 Marijuana | Never used |  |
| Q9 Inhalants | 11 years |  |
| Q9 Prescription drugs | Never used |  |
|  | Never used |  |
| Q9 Other illegal drugs | Never used |  |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6 th |
| Q10 Smoke 1+ pack | No risk | 5.4 |
| cigarettes per day | Slight risk | 14.9 |
|  | Moderate risk | 39.9 |
|  | Great risk | 39.9 |
| Q10 Try marijuana once or | No risk | 8.7 |
| twice | Slight risk | 35.6 |
|  | Moderate risk | 33.6 |
|  | Great risk | 22.1 |
| Q10 Smoke marijuana once | No risk | 6.8 |
| or twice per week | Slight risk | 24.3 |
|  | Moderate risk | 35.1 |
| Q10 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Great risk | 33.8 |
| drinks every day | No risk | 10.2 |
|  | Slight risk | 25.2 |
|  | Moderate risk | 43.5 |
| Q10 Binge drink once or | Great risk | 21.1 |
| twice a week | 4.8 |  |
|  | Slight risk | 13.6 |
|  | Moderate risk | 42.9 |
|  | Great risk | 38.8 |

Perceived Risks of Drug Use
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| How much do you think people risk harming themselves if <br> they...? | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | 2.7 |  |
|  |  | 19.4 |
|  |  | 72.8 |

Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? |
| Q12 Have 1-2 alcoholic | Very wrong | 90.7 |
| drinks every day | Wrong | 8.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Drink alcohol regularly | Very wrong | 87.2 |
| (at least once or twice a | Wrong | 7.4 |
| month) | A little bit wrong | 4.0 |
|  | Not at all wrong | 1.3 |
|  | Very wrong | 91.9 |
| Q12 Smoke cigarettes | Wrong | 7.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Smoke marijuana | Very wrong | 96.6 |
|  | Wrong | 2.0 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Use prescription drugs | Very wrong | 94.0 |
| not prescribed to you | Wrong | 4.7 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 1.3 |

## Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior

School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to...? |  |
|  | Very wrong | 80.5 |
| more than $\$ 5$ | Wrong | 18.8 |
|  | A little bit wrong | .7 |
| Q12 Draw graffiti | Very wrong | 81.9 |
|  | Wrong | 15.4 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2.7 |
| Q12 Pick a fight with | Very wrong | 56.4 |
| someone | Wrong | 33.6 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 9.4 |
|  | Not at all wrong | .7 |

Mental Health in the Past Twelve Months
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015
(Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| During the past 12 months, did you...? | 6th |  |
| Q13 Feel sad or hopeless | No | 73.2 |
| for 2+ weeks | Yes | 26.8 |
| Q13 Consider attempting | No | 89.9 |
| suicide | Yes | 10.1 |
| Q13 Make a plan about | No | 91.9 |
| attempting suicide | Yes | 8.1 |

Poor Family Management School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | $\frac{\text { Grade }}{6 \text { th }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Q14 Rules in my family are clear | YES! | 58.0 |
|  | yes | 38.7 |
|  | no | 2.0 |
|  | NO! | 1.3 |
| Q14 Parents ask about homework | YES! | 70.0 |
|  | yes | 28.0 |
|  | no | 2.0 |
| Q14 Parents know where I am and who I am with | YES! | 84.7 |
|  | yes | 12.0 |
|  | no | 2.7 |
|  | NO! | . 7 |
| Q14 Family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use | YES! | 87.2 |
|  | yes | 8.7 |
|  | no | 2.0 |
|  | NO! | 2.0 |
| Q18 Parents know if you come home late | YES! | 71.4 |
|  | yes | 21.8 |
|  | no | 6.1 |
|  | NO! | . 7 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you drinking | YES! | 79.5 |
|  | yes | 16.4 |
|  | no | 3.4 |
|  | NO! | . 7 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you carried a gun | YES! | 84.9 |
|  | yes | 14.4 |
|  | NO! | . 7 |
| Q18 Parents would catch you if you skipped school | YES! | 78.2 |
|  | yes | 17.0 |
|  | no | 4.8 |

Family Conflict
School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q14 Family argues over | YES! | 16.1 |  |
| and over about same thing | yes | 20.1 |  |
|  | no | 50.3 |  |
| Q14 Family has serious | NO! | YES! |  |
| arguments | yes | 13.4 |  |
|  | no | 10.7 |  |
|  | NO! | 25.3 |  |
| Q14 Family menbers often | YES! | 31.3 |  |
| insult each other | yes | 32.7 |  |
|  | no | 10.7 |  |
|  | NO! | 21.3 |  |
|  | 28.7 |  |  |
|  |  | 39.3 |  |

School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 17.2 |
| chances to help decide | yes | 53.8 |
| activities and rules | no | 22.8 |
|  | NO! | 6.2 |
| Q16 Teachers ask me to | YES! | 9.6 |
| work on special class | yes | 41.8 |
| projects | no | 45.2 |
|  | NO! | 3.4 |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 74.8 |
| chances to get involved in | yes | 20.4 |
| activities outside of class | no | 4.1 |
|  | NO! | .7 |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 51.0 |
| chances to talk with teacher | yes | 39.5 |
| one-on-one | no | 8.2 |
|  | NO! | 1.4 |


| School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement |
| :---: |
| School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 |
| (Values are percentages, valid cases only) |


|  |  | Grade |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | 6 th |  |
| Q16 Students have | YES! | 44.8 |
| chances to be a part of | yes | 45.5 |
| class discussions or | no | 7.0 |
| activities | NO! | 2.8 |

School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q16 Teachers notice when | YES! | 24.7 |  |
| doing a good job and let me | yes | 58.2 |  |
| know | no | 15.8 |  |
|  | NO! | 1.4 |  |
| Q16 I feel safe at school | YES! | 61.9 |  |
|  | yes | 31.3 |  |
|  | no | 6.8 |  |
| Q16 School lets parents | YES! | 24.1 |  |
| know when I do well | yes | 31.0 |  |
|  | no | 37.2 |  |
|  | NO! | 7.6 |  |
| Q16 Teachers praise me | YES! | 17.1 |  |
| when I work hard in school | yes | 45.2 |  |
|  | no | 31.5 |  |
|  | NO! | 6.2 |  |

## Academic Failure

School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q17 What were your | Mostly A's | 51.4 |  |
|  | Mostly B's | 37.7 |  |
|  | Mostly C's | 8.2 |  |
|  | Mostly D's | 2.1 |  |
|  | Mostly F's | .7 |  |
| Q16 My grades are better | YES! | 22.4 |  |
| than most students | yes | 54.4 |  |
|  | no | 19.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.1 |  |

Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q14 Parents ask me before | YES! | 36.1 |  |
| most family decisions made | yes | 38.1 |  |
|  | no | 19.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 6.8 |  |
| Q14 I can ask parents for | YES! | 63.1 |  |
| help if I have problem | yes | 27.5 |  |
|  | no | 8.1 |  |
|  | NO! | 1.3 |  |
| Q14 Parents give me | YES! | 50.7 |  |
| chances for fun with them | yes | 34.7 |  |
|  | no | 12.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 2.7 |  |

Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 73.5 |  |
|  | yes | 21.1 |  |
|  | no | 4.8 |  |
|  | NO! | .7 |  |
| Q18 I enjoy spending time | YES! | 66.0 |  |
| with dad | yes | 20.8 |  |
|  | no | 9.0 |  |
|  | NO! | 4.2 |  |
| Q15 Parents notice when I | All the time | 50.0 |  |
| am doing a good job | Often | 34.0 |  |
|  | Sometimes | 14.0 |  |
|  | Never | 2.0 |  |
| Q15 Parents tell me they | All the time | 45.3 |  |
| are proud of me | Often | 32.0 |  |
|  | Sometimes | 20.0 |  |
|  | Never | 2.7 |  |

## Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

 School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)|  |  | Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |  |
| Q18 Neighbors notice good | YES! | 8.8 |  |
| job and let me know | yes | 15.0 |  |
|  | no | 44.2 |  |
|  | NO! | 32.0 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 9.5 |  |
| neighborhood who are | yes | 28.6 |  |
| proud of me | no | 35.4 |  |
|  | NO! | 26.5 |  |
| Q18 There are people in my | YES! | 15.6 |  |
| neighborhood who | yes | 34.7 |  |
| encourage me to do my | no | 29.9 |  |
| best | NO! | 19.7 |  |

Interaction with Prosocial Peers School City of Hobart Female Students, 2015 (Values are percentages, valid cases only)

| In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have...? |  | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6th |
| Q19 Participated in school activities | None of my friends | 9.0 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 23.4 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 21.4 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 17.9 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 28.3 |
| Q19 Made a commitment to stay drug-free | None of my friends | 5.5 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 2.7 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 3.4 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 12.3 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 76.0 |
| Q19 Liked school | None of my friends | 17.9 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 16.6 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 21.4 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 23.4 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 20.7 |
| Q19 Regularly attended religious activities | None of my friends | 23.2 |
|  | 1 of my friends | 19.0 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 31.0 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 12.0 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 14.8 |
| Q19 Tried to do well in school | 1 of my friends | 2.8 |
|  | 2 of my friends | 6.9 |
|  | 3 of my friends | 16.6 |
|  | 4 of my friends | 73.8 |

## CITIZENSHIP

Trends

1. The total expulsion rate has risen and fallen over the interval with the most recent year showing a large increase of 50\%, but still 33\% less than 2011-12.
2. The total suspension rate has risen and fallen over the interval with the most recent year showing a 7\% drop.
3. Both secondary and elementary student $s$ are participating in service learning.
4. Attendance rate at each school is above $94 \%$. * Rates for previous years not provided.

## Strengths

1. District wide students are participating in a wide variety of service learning activities with the highest concentration at the high school.
2. The attendance rate is greater than $94 \%$ for the entire interval.
3. Suspensions declined in 2015-16 after increasing in 2014-15.
4. OCC Suspensions declined by over 1/3 in 2015-16.
5. 41\%of SCOH students are hopeful about their futures.
6. $67 \%$ of SCOH students missed little school for no good reason or when not sick.
7. Only $27 \%$ of SCOH students feel their grades are poor.
8. $46 \%$ of SCOH students feel their grades are good or excellent.
9. $70 \%$ of SCOH student plan on post high school education.

## Challenges

1. $20 \%$ of SCOH students are discouraged about their futures.
2. Expulsion increased $50 \%$ in 2015-16 over 14-15.
3. $29 \%$ of SCOH students are disengaged in school.
4. Only $46 \%$ of SCOH students feel their grades are good or excellent.
5. $27 \%$ of SCOH students feel their grades are poor.
6. $29 \%$ of SCOH students have missed some school for no good reason or when not sick.

## School City of Hobart

## School

## Effectiveness

2015-2016
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## School City of <br> Hobart's <br> District <br> Strategic Plan

## STANDARD 1

## Purpose and Direction Action Plan

## Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

## Improvement Goal:

The district has an established vision and mission for providing high expectations of learning for students.

## Objective(s) for Student Learning:

## Vision for Student Learning:

The School City of Hobart Community will foster intellectual curiosity, natural abilities, critical thinking, and literacy in students while developing respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

## Mission:

The primary mission of the School City of Hobart and the Board of School Trustees is to continually provide effective community schools.
A. Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood

Effective Community Schools adequately equip young people for adulthood in the 21 st century. Our students must be prepared both for employment and for day-to-day living in a complicated and rapidly changing world. This necessitates that our schools provide students with the intellectual tools necessary for life-long learning. Among these tools must be: skills to facilitate participation in a democracy, communication skills, critical thinking, and other flexible learning skills as well as the ability to work both individually and in a team situation. It is expected that these skills be developed through all curricular areas.
B. Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students

Effective Community Schools address the needs of individual students and focus on individual development. Students bring to the schools a great diversity of interest, aptitudes, motivations and learning styles. Our schools must be able to address this diversity by identifying individual needs, responding with appropriate teaching strategies, flexibly meeting these needs and recognizing each individual student's ability to contribute to the school community.
C. Our Schools Are Community Schools

Effective Community Schools are necessary to a healthy community. Our schools must always recognize their affirmative duty to the entire community, including families, government, business and industry, other institutions, civic groups and individual citizens. Our schools must draw from the strengths of this community to identify and accomplish their goals.
D. Our Schools Are Committed to Success

Effective Community Schools fully utilize the resources of the entire school community (administration, teachers, support personnel, families and students) in all aspects of school life. Our schools cannot succeed without the committed involvement of all.

## Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

## Target Participants

School City of Hobart Stakeholders:
Students
Parents/Guardians
Faculty
Staff
Community Members
Civic Organizations
Business Community

## Strategies:

The district and each school engage in continuous school improvement planning.
The district has an AdvancED Leadership Team that has representation from all schools
The district solicits feedback from stakeholders.
The district will communicate the vision and mission to stakeholders.
The district will identify goals in the Strategic Plan.
The district will meet bi-annually with schools and service departments to review implementation of the school improvement process.
The district communicates student performance and school effectiveness with stakeholders.
The district's plan and results are documented and reviewed by AdvancED for accreditation through an external review team.

## Evaluation:

AdvancED Stakeholder Opinion Surveys
AdvancED District Leadership Team Focus Groups
Eighth Grade Exit Surveys
High School Exit Surveys

## Timeframe for Implementation:

Fall 2012-Spring 2016

## Target Area of Improvement: - Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: AdvancED Accreditation <br> 1. The district and each school engage in continuous school improvement planning. <br> A. The district is accredited by AdvancED through meeting the requirements of Vision and Mission, Profile, Plan, and Results. <br> B. The district meets the state's legal standards for accreditation. <br> C. The district ensures that each school's plan aligns with the district. | $2012-2016$ <br> -AdvancED <br> External <br> Review Visit <br> 2012-2013 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -AdvancED Leadership Teams | -Annual <br> Review/Revision of: <br> AdvancED Profile, <br> AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans, <br> AdvancED District <br> Strategic Plan <br> -AdvancED Committee <br> Meetings <br> -State's Legal <br> Standards | -AdvancED Profiles <br> -AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans <br> -AdvancED District <br> Strategic <br> Plan <br> -State AdvancED Office <br> -AdvancED <br> -AdvancED Professional <br> Development <br> -Breakthrough by <br> NSSE <br> -State's Legal <br> Standards <br> - Checklists and <br> Reports for State <br> Accreditation |
| Intervention: AdvancED Leadership <br> 1. The district has an AdvancED Leadership Team that has representation from all schools. <br> A. Each school has representation of all stakeholder groups on their school AdvancED Leadership Team. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -AdvancED Leadership Teams | -Annual <br> Review/Revision of: <br> AdvancED Profile, <br> AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans, <br> AdvancED District <br> Strategic Plan <br> -AdvancED Committee <br> Meetings | -AdvancED Profiles <br> -AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans <br> -AdvancED District <br> Strategic <br> Plan <br> -State AdvancED Office <br> -AdvancED <br> -AdvancED Professional <br> Development <br> -Breakthrough by <br> NSSE |

Target Area of Improvement: - Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Stakeholder Feedback <br> 1. The district solicits feedback from stakeholders. <br> A. The district administers AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys. <br> - AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys will be administered to students, parents, teachers, and community members. <br> - Exit Surveys will be conducted at grades 8 and 12 . <br> B. The district hosts a Web site and social media sites that offer stakeholders a forum for feedback. <br> C. The district provides a forum for stakeholders to participate in school board meetings. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Technology Department <br> -Building Principals | - Exit Survey Results <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder Survey results <br> -Web site and social media site Feedback -School Board Meeting Agendas/Minutes | -NSSE Surveys <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Survey results <br> -District Web site and social media sites <br> -School Board <br> Meetings <br> -Summer Mailing for <br> Our Parents/Guardians |
| Intervention: Vision <br> 1. The district will communicate the vision and mission to stakeholders. <br> A. Newsletters will be published bi-annually and highlight vision and mission success stories. <br> B. The district Web site and social media sites updated daily, will reflect vision and mission. <br> C. The mission is communicated in Board Policy. <br> D. Public presentations will highlight success stories that exemplify work on the vision and mission. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Administrative Assistants <br> -Board of School Trustees <br> -ADVANCED District <br> Leadership Team | -Newsletters <br> -Web site <br> -Board Policy <br> -Public Presentations <br> -Social media sites | -Microsoft Publisher <br> -Adobe Photoshop <br> -Web Design <br> -School Wires <br> -Board Policy <br> -Microsoft PowerPoint <br> -PTO, Community <br> Groups for <br> Presentations <br> -Facebook |
| Intervention: Goals <br> 1. The district will identify goals in the Strategic Plan. <br> A. Goals will be based on data collected in the Profile. <br> B. The Profile will be a collection of data that includes input from all stakeholder groups. <br> C. Data analysis annually will yield changes that may be necessary in goal areas to achieve the vision. | Profile 2012 <br> (Updated <br> Annually <br> 20012-2016) | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Business Manager <br> -Support Service Department <br> Heads <br> -AdvancED District Leadership Team | -Profile of Data <br> Analysis <br> -Strategic Plan <br> Revision <br> -Regular <br> AdvancED <br> Meetings <br> -Bi-annual Reviews | -Profiles <br> -School Improvement <br> Plans <br> -District Strategic Plan <br> -Microsoft Excel <br> -AdvancED Surveys <br> -Data from <br> Assessments <br> -Census Data |

Target Area of Improvement: - Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Monitoring Implementation <br> 1. The district will meet bi-annually with schools and service departments to review implementation of the school improvement process. <br> A. Each school's AdvancED Leadership Team will meet regularly by goal areas to monitor implementation of their AdvancED Action Plan. <br> B. The district will meet with each school's AdvancED Leadership <br> Team to review the progress and assist in their annual revision process. <br> C. The district will meet with the district's service department heads to monitor progress on the AdvancED Strategic Plan. | Profile 2012 <br> (Updated <br> Annually <br> 2012-2016) | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Business Manager <br> -Support Service Department Heads <br> -AdvancED District Leadership Team | -Profile of Data <br> Analysis <br> -District Strategic Plan <br> Revision <br> -Regular <br> AdvancED <br> Meetings <br> -Bi-annual Reviews | -Profiles <br> -School Improvement <br> Plans <br> -District Strategic Plan <br> -Microsoft Excel <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -Data from <br> Assessments <br> -Census Data |
| Intervention: Communication <br> 1. The district communicates student performance and school effectiveness with stakeholders. <br> A. Parents/Guardians are given individual student performance reports on state and local assessments. <br> -Parent/Guardian/Teacher meetings are scheduled. <br> B. Parents/Guardians are able to access student progress through online access via Harmony and the district Web site. <br> C. The district publishes an annual performance report with the local media, and on the district Web site. <br> D. The district maintains a data Profile that analyzes student performance and school effectiveness that is hosted on the district Web site. <br> E. The district highlights student performance and school effectiveness in the district newsletter which is mailed bi-annually to every postal patron in Hobart. In addition, it is published on the district Web site. <br> F. The district highlights student performance and school effectiveness at school board meetings. <br> -The district will review the annual performance report to verify growth and student performance annually at school board meetings. G. School and classroom newsletters highlight student performance and school effectiveness. They are published on the Web site. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Teachers <br> -Technology Department <br> -Central Office Administrative <br> Assistant | -Test Reports Mailed <br> -Parent Teacher <br> Meetings <br> -Harmony (Parent <br> Portal) Traffic <br> -Web site Traffic <br> -State Annual <br> Performance Report | -State and Local Test Report Summaries <br> -AdvancED Profiles <br> -District Newsletter <br> -School Board <br> Meetings <br> -Harmony (Parent <br> Portal) <br> -District Web site <br> -IDOE Web site / State <br> Annual Performance <br> Report <br> -Local Media <br> -School and Classroom <br> Newsletters <br> -Messenger |

## Target Area of Improvement: - Standard 1: Purpose and Direction

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Documentation <br> 1. The district's plan and results are documented and reviewed by AdvancED for accreditation through an external review team. | -AdvancED <br> External <br> Review Visit- $2012$ | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -AdvancED Leadership Teams <br> -AdvancED External Review <br> Team (Assigned by State <br> AdvancED Office) | -AdvancED External Review Team visits | -AdvancED External Review Team |

## STANDARD 2

## Governance and Leadership Action Plan

## Governance and Leadership Action Plan \#2

## Improvement Goal:

The district has governance and leadership that promotes student performance and school effectiveness.

## Objective(s) for Student Learning:

## Vision for Student Learning

The School City of Hobart Community will foster intellectual curiosity, natural abilities, critical thinking, and literacy in students while developing respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

## Mission

The primary mission of the School City of Hobart and the Board of School Trustees is to continually provide effective community schools.
A. Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood
B. Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students
C. Our Schools Are Community Schools
D. Our Schools Are Committed to Success

## Teaching and Learning Goals

1. All students will increase academic achievement with a specific focus on language arts and mathematics across the curriculum.
2. All students will read and comprehend a variety of literary genres (types) and informational text.
3. All students will use mathematical skills to think critically and apply knowledge and reason to solve problems.
4. All students will demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary for managing the dual role of family member and wage earner by gaining employment in a high-skill career or by continuing education at the post-secondary level.
5. All students will develop an understanding and commitment to the ideals of human dignity, justice and equality by focusing on productive citizenship in a democratic society.

## Governance and Leadership Action Plan \#2

## Target Participants:

School City of Hobart Stakeholders:
Students
Parents/Guardians
Faculty
Staff
Community Members
Civic Organizations
Business Community

## Strategies:

The School City of Hobart's Board of Trustees establishes policies to ensure effective administration of the schools.
The School City of Hobart's Board of Trustees will actively govern and provide resources to support the efforts of the superintendent, district staff, and families to ensure continuous student achievement for all students.
The Board will build and maintain collaborative relationships between the Board and the superintendent, all employment groups, the AdvancED leadership team, as well as stakeholders in the community.
The Board's policy will be executed through Administrative Guidelines.
The district solicits feedback from stakeholders.
The district maintains an evaluation system for certified staff that provides opportunities for continuous professional development. The district, in accordance with Board Policy, evaluates every employee.
The district maintains an evaluation system for certified staff that provides opportunities for continuous professional development.

## Governance and Leadership Action Plan \#2

## Evaluation:

Board Policy
Administrative Guidelines
Board Self Assessment: Board Performance Level-Certification Awards Program (CAP)
AdvancED Profiles
AdvancED School Improvement Action Plans
AdvancED District Strategic Plan
AdvancED External Review
AdvancED Documentation of Results - Accreditation
State Annual Performance Report
State Audit Report
State Accreditation
Curriculum Guides
Certified Evaluations
Classified Evaluations
Professional Development Data

## Timeframe for Implementation:

Fall 2012 - Spring 2016

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Governance: Policy <br> 1. The School City of Hobart's Board of Trustees establishes policies to ensure effective administration of the schools. <br> A.The Board will maintain policy that is reviewed and up to date. <br> B. The Board's Policy will be executed through Administrative Guidelines. <br> C.The Board's Policy and the Administrative Guidelines will reflect local, state, and federal standards and regulations. <br> D. The Board maintains legal counsel. <br> -The Board's legal counsel attends all board meetings. <br> -The Board's legal counsel is accessible for advice at all times. | 2012-2016 | Lead: School Board <br> -Superintendent <br> -Central Office Administrators | -Indiana Statutes <br> -Indiana School Laws and Rules by Rund | -Board Meetings <br> -Board Work Sessions <br> -Board Policy <br> -Administrative Guidelines <br> -Legal Consultant <br> -School Board Attorney |

ACTIONS
Intervention: Governance: Operates Responsibly

1. The Board will build and maintain collaborative relationships
between the Board and the superintendent, all employment groups, the
AdvancED leadership team, as well as stakeholders in the community.
A. The Board will negotiate employment agreements utilizing interest-
based bargaining with the HTA.
B. The Board will negotiate employment agreements with Local 208 .
C. The Board will ensure a climate of open communications at board
meetings and throughout the district.
D. The Board will conduct public work sessions to increase its
understanding of the progress needed to move from the status quo to
the vision and mission for student achievement.
E. The Board will review monthly revenue and expenditures.
F. The Board will approve budget appropriations annually.
G. The Board will monitor cash flow.
2. The Board is committed to training so that all board members
have a better understanding of operations and areas critical to
improving student achievement.
3. The Board will maintain fiscal integrity of the district's budget.

- Monthly Review of Percent of Revenue Received and Percent of
Expenditures
-Comparative Analysis
-Approve Budget Appropriations Annually
- Cash Flow
-State Audit


## SCHEDULE RESPONSIBILITIES

2012-2016 -Lead: School Board
-Annually by -Legal Counsel
May $1^{\text {st }}$ the
Board accepts School
Improvement
Plans
(revisions)
-Board meetings are
held the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ Thursdays of every month

## MONITORING

-Board Self Assessment [Board Performance Level-Certification Awards Program (CAP)] -Employment Terms with Clerical and Bus Drivers
-Monthly Review of
Percent of Revenue
Received and Percent of
Expenditures
-Comparative Analysis
-Approve Budget
Appropriations Annually

- Cash Flow
-State Audit
--Contract Agreements
with HTA and Local 208


## RESOURCES

-Board Meetings
-Board Work Sessions
-Board Policy
-Interest-based
Bargaining
-Hobart Teachers
Association and Contract
-Clerical and Bus Driver Groups
-Indiana School Board Association (ISBA)
-National School Board
Association (NSBA)
-Budget
-Department of Local
Government and Finance (DLGF)
-State Funding Formula
-Indiana Department of Education (IDOE)
-LOW Financial System

- Internal Programming through Excel
-State Auditor

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Governance: Operations for Achievement and Instructions <br> 1. The School City of Hobart's Board of School Trustees will actively govern and provide resources to support the efforts of the superintendent, district staff, and families to ensure continuous student achievement for all students. <br> A. The Board approves the utilization of the AdvancED Commission on Accreditation and School Improvement strategic planning process to attain the vision and mission for the School City of Hobart. <br> B. The Board will adopt the district strategic plan, as well as each school's improvement plan. <br> C. The Board will communicate the strategic plan. <br> D. The Board will serve as an advocate for building community support for student achievement as a priority with a variety of groups, including public officials, parents, media, and business and community leaders. <br> E. The Board will have a high school student as a representative on the Board. <br> F. The Board will keep the vision and mission at the forefront of all decision making. <br> G. The Board is committed to training so that all board members have a better understanding of operations and areas critical to improving student achievement. <br> H. The Board will align and allocate funding for the resources needed to advance student achievement. <br> I. The Board will monitor progress toward the vision and mission. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: School Board <br> -Legal Counsel <br> - Superintendent <br> -Administrators <br> -Central Office Administrative <br> Assistant | -Board Meeting Agendas <br> -Board Meeting Minutes <br> -Review of State Annual Performance Report <br> -Review/Approval of AdvancED School Improvement Plans <br> -Review of Progress of AdvancED District Strategic Plan <br> -Student Board Member | -Board Meetings <br> -Board Work Sessions <br> -Board Policy <br> -AdvancED Profiles <br> -AdvancED School Improvement Plans <br> -AdvancED District Strategic Plan <br> -High School Student Board Representative |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Leadership <br> 1. The Board's Policy will be executed through Administrative Guidelines <br> 2. The Superintendent provides leadership for the AdvancED Commission on Accreditation and School Improvement strategic planning process to attain the vision and mission for the School City of Hobart. <br> A. The district ensures the integrity of the planning process. <br> B. The district ensures that the strategic planning process incorporates participation of a broad base of stakeholders. <br> -The district has an AdvancED Leadership Team that has representation from all schools. <br> -Each school has representation of all stakeholder groups on their school AdvancED Leadership Teams. <br> C. The district ensures that the recommendations of the strategic planning team (the AdvancED Leadership Team) are presented to the board for action. <br> D. The district ensures professional development to carry out the planning process. <br> E. The district coordinates periodic and annual review of the strategic plan. <br> F. The district participates in an external review conducted by AdvancED. <br> G. The district meets the state's legal standards for accreditation. | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2016 \\ & -2012 \\ & \text { AdvancED } \\ & \text { External } \\ & \text { Review Team } \\ & \text { Visit } \end{aligned}$ | -Superintendent <br> -Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Administrative Assistant <br> -AdvancED Leadership Team <br> -AdvancED State Office <br> -AdvancED Corporate Office | -Annual Review of <br> Administrative <br> Guidelines <br> - AdvancED Committee <br> Meetings <br> -Professional <br> Development <br> Enrollment <br> -Review of State <br> Annual Performance <br> Report <br> -Review/Approval of School Improvement <br> Plans <br> -Review of Progress on the District Strategic <br> Plan <br> - AdvancED <br> Documentation <br> Report <br> -State's Legal <br> Standards | -Board Policy <br> -Administrative <br> Guidelines <br> -Breakthrough by <br> NSSE <br> -State NCA office <br> -AdvancED <br> - AdvancED Professional <br> Development <br> - AdvancED External <br> Review Team <br> -State's Legal <br> Standards <br> -Checklists and Reports for State Accreditation (legal standards). |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITES | MONITORING |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intervention: Stakeholder Feedback <br> 1. The district solicits feedback from stakeholders. <br> A. The district administers AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys. | $2012-2016$ | -Lead: Central Office | - Exit Survey Results | - Exit Surveys |
| - AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys will be administered to |  |  |  |  |
| students, parents, teachers, and community members. |  | Administrators | -AdvancED Stakeholder | -AdvancED Stakeholder |
| - Survey results |  |  |  |  |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Evaluation and Professional Development <br> 1. The district maintains an evaluation system for certified staff that provides opportunities for continuous professional development. <br> A. The district has a rigorous evaluation system that includes: -An instructional model that is researched-based including: <br> a. Domain 1: Classroom strategies and Behaviors <br> b. Domain 2: Planning and Preparing <br> c. Domain 3: Reflecting on Teaching <br> d. Domain 4: Collegiality and Professionalism <br> -The evaluation process provides opportunities for the following: <br> a. Goal Setting- Professional Growth Plan <br> b. Self-assessment and reflection <br> c. Pre-observation Conferences <br> d. Formative Evaluation <br> e. Classroom Walkthroughs <br> f. Summative Evaluation <br> B. The School City of Hobart implements Professional Learning Communities. <br> -Professional Learning Communities develop a shared vision, mission and values. <br> -The engine of improvement, growth, and renewal in a Professional Learning Community is collective inquiry. <br> a. Reflection <br> b. Shared Meaning <br> c. Joint Planning <br> d. Coordinated Action <br> -The basic structure of the Professional Learning Community is a group of collaborative teams that share a common purpose. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are action oriented. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are engaged in continuous improvement. <br> -Professional Learning Communities focus on results. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators -Building Principals -K-12 Teachers -Staff | -Teacher Evaluation <br> -Professional <br> Development <br> Attendance | -Marzano's Teacher <br> Evaluation (The Art <br> and Science of <br> Teaching and <br> iObservation) <br> -School City of <br> Hobart's Professional <br> Development Catalog <br> -Professional Learning <br> Communities at Work, <br> DuFour and Eaker <br> -Time for <br> Collaboration <br> -Late Start Wednesdays for Professional Learning Communities <br> - Marzano's Becoming a Reflective Teacher |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Evaluation and Professional Development Continued... <br> C. The district provides professional development. <br> Program components include the following: <br> -Flexibility of Professional Development Opportunities <br> a. A Professional Development Catalog is published annually. <br> b. Peer Mentoring /Coaching Partners and Instructional <br> Rounds are available. <br> c. Job-embedded training is available. <br> d. The district is a sponsor of Professional Growth Points (PGPs) for license renewal. <br> e. The district schedules so teachers can engage in professional development. <br> f. Outside Professional Development, as Required, to Train Trainers for in-house training. <br> g. In-house Professional Development <br> -Provisional Support/Administrative Support Team <br> a. Aligns and organizes professional development. <br> b. Essential link for empowering teachers to learn and grow. <br> c. Sponsors Professional Growth Points (PGPs) for license renewal. <br> d. Establishes flexible schedules so teachers can practice what they have learned (or to continue their learning). <br> -Collaborative Development <br> a. Encourages and facilitates peer mentoring, Coaching Partners and Instructional Rounds. <br> b. Provides teachers time to visit each other's classrooms to observe. <br> c. Schedules meetings among teachers to plan and evaluate instruction in professional learning communities. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Staff | -Professional <br> Development <br> Attendance <br> -District Grade Level/ <br> Department Meetings <br> -Professional Learning <br> Community Meetings | -PGPs Sponsorship <br> -Professional Development Catalog <br> -Master Schedule <br> -Late In Wednesdays <br> -Common planning time for Professional Learning Communities. <br> -Teacher Resource Center on District Web site <br> -iObservation |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluation and Professional Development Continued... <br> -Teacher Recognition <br> a. Encourages teachers to share experiences by writing articles, sharing at conferences, leading workshops, etc. <br> b. Encourages and financially supports teachers to attend other means of professional development outside of the district. <br> -Sustain Professional Development and Professional Learning Communities <br> a. Developing Awareness <br> b. Building Knowledge <br> c. Translating Knowledge into Practice <br> d. Implementing New Approaches <br> e. Deepening Understanding <br> f. Reflecting on New Teaching Practice and Examining the Impact on Student Learning <br> g. Refining Practice and Sharing Expertise <br> h. Celebrate and recognize teacher effectiveness and student success. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office Administrators -Building Principals -K-12 Teachers -Staff | -Teacher Evaluation <br> -Professional <br> Development <br> Attendance | -District/school Web site and social media sites <br> -Hobartcommunity.com -District Newsletter -Chamber Teacher of the Year Award -Board Presentations to Celebrate Success |
| Intervention: Evaluation and Professional Development <br> Classified <br> 1. The district, in accordance with Board Policy, evaluates every employee. <br> A. The district maintains a continuous program of professional development for classified staff. <br> B. Supervisors recommend professional development for departments and employees. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals | -Professional Development Attendance -Classified Evaluations | -Classified <br> Evaluation <br> -Professional <br> Development Classes online or live <br> - Safe Schools Professional Development Library <br> -Secretary Resource Center on District Web site |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Evaluation and Professional Development <br> Administrators <br> 1. The Board evaluates the Superintendent annually. <br> 2. The district maintains an evaluation system for certified administrators annually that provide opportunities for continuous professional development. <br> A. The district has a rigorous evaluation system that includes: -Domain I: Data-Driven Focus on Student Achievement <br> -DomainII: Continuous Improvement of Instruction <br> -DomainIII: A Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum <br> -Domain IV: Communication, Cooperation, and Collaboration <br> -Domain V: School Climate <br> B. The School City of Hobart implements Professional Learning <br> Communities. <br> -Professional Learning Communities develop a shared vision, mission, and values. <br> -The engine of improvement, growth, and renewal in a Professional Learning Community is collective inquiry. <br> a. Reflection <br> b. Shared Meaning <br> c. Joint Planning <br> d. Coordinated Action <br> -The basic structure of the Professional Learning Community is a group of collaborative teams that share a common purpose. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are action oriented. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are engaged in continuous improvement. <br> -Professional Learning Communities focus on results. | 2012-2016 | -Administrators <br> -Principals | -Administrator Evaluation <br> -Professional Development Attendance | - Cabinet Meetings <br> -Administrative Retreat <br> -In cabinet <br> meetings <br> -Administrative retreat for focus of vision <br> -Indiana <br> Association of School Principals <br> -ASCD <br> -Legal Series <br> -Book Studies <br> -Learning <br> Connection <br> -Network for <br> Mentors <br> -Superintendent <br> Evaluation <br> -Marzano's School <br> Leadership <br> Evaluation Model <br> -iObservation |

## STANDARD 3

## Teaching and Assessing

# for Learning <br> Action Plan 

3a. Curriculum
3b. Language Arts
3c. Problem Solving
3d. Careers
3e. Citizenship

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3a: Curriculum

## Improvement Goal:

All students will increase academic achievement with a specific focus on language arts and problem solving across the curriculum.

## Objective(s) for Student Learning:

All students will receive a curriculum that is challenging and rigorous.

## Target Participants

All students in the School City of Hobart

## Interventions:

## Curriculum Instruction and Assessment:

The school-wide language of instruction is used regularly by faculty in their professional learning communities.
All students will receive a curriculum based on defined expectations for student learning from the Indiana Academic Standards (IAS).
Students participating in career academies will use the defined curriculum set forth by the industry and or institution that established them.
All students will increase skills identified in the Indiana Academic Standards as a result of regular data analysis to inform instruction and curricular needs as identified from professional learning communities.

## Student Support:

Students will participate in Response to Instruction (RTI) Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels.
Students will participate in enriched and high ability courses based on achievement levels.
Students who qualify for additional services will be provided extra instructional support.

## Staff:

All students will increase academic skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities.

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3a: Curriculum

## Evaluation:

Curriculum Calendars
Units of Study
School City of Hobart Balanced Assessment System Framework:
Student Self-Study-scales, effort, college and career readiness
Classroom Assessments- running records, rubrics, checklists, quizzes, unit tests, final exams
Common Formative Assessments (CFAs)- Department/Grade Level CFAs, Pivot, Rubrics, Checklists, Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI), Formal scales
Benchmark Assessments- Lexile (SRI), writing assessment, spelling inventory, Acuity, quarterly standards based assessments, SPI External Summative Assessments- DIAL, ISTEP+, IREAD3, ECA, PSAT, SAT, WorkKeys, AP Exams, ISTAR, WIDA, NWEA

Timeframe for Implementation:
2016-17

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Marzano's The Art and Science of Teaching Framework <br> 1. The school-wide language of instruction is used regularly by faculty in their professional learning and model communities. <br> A. The school-wide language of instruction is used regularly in faculty and department meetings and shared in Professional Learning Communities by chairs and coordinators. <br> B. Professional development opportunities are provided for all teachers regarding the school-wide model of instruction. <br> C. Domain 1 strategies are implemented from The Art and Science of Teaching Framework. <br> -Teachers will select elements to grow in addition to Marzano's Top 10. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2017 } \\ & \text {-2012 Pilot } \end{aligned}$ | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Central Office <br> Administrators <br> - Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Faculty and Department <br> Meetings <br> -iObservation <br> -Professional Learning <br> Communities | -The Art and Science of Teaching by Robert <br> Marzano <br> -iObservation <br> -Classroom Instruction <br> That Works by Marzano, <br> Pickering, Pollock <br> -Designing and Teaching Learning Goals and Objectives: Classroom Strategies that Work by Marzano <br> -Balanced Assessment by Burke <br> -Common Formative Assessments by Bailey and Jakicic <br> -Effective Supervision: <br> Supporting The Art and <br> Science of Teaching by <br> Marzano, Frontier, <br> Livingston <br> -Late Start Wednesdays <br> Professional Development <br> -Professional Development <br> Calendar |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Defined Curriculum - Indiana Academic Standards (IAS) <br> 1. All students will receive a curriculum based on defined expectations for student learning from the Indiana Academic Standards. <br> A. Critical Standards will be identified by staff, IDOE, and professional affiliates. <br> B. Curriculum mapping will be completed to define scope and sequence by staff and include the following: <br> -Literacy Shifts and Mathematical Practices are used. <br> -Indiana Academic Standards vocabulary identified. <br> -Units of Study are identified along with standards and related assessments. <br> -Curriculum Calendars are completed with Units of Study. <br> C. Articulation of the defined curriculum will be achieved between the grade levels and across buildings. <br> D. Curriculum will be published on the district Web site for the public. <br> E. The planned curriculum on the Teacher Resource Center (District Website) will include instructional content, assessments, materials, resources, and processes for how the school's curriculum and instructional strategies support the achievement of the Indiana Academic Standards. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Department Chairs <br> -Curriculum Coordinators <br> -Grade Level Coordinators <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -LRE Facilitators | -Formal Scales <br> -Lesson Plans <br> -Standards-based <br> Report Cards <br> (Elementary) <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Curriculum Guide on <br> District Web site <br> -Units of Study <br> -Syllabi <br> -Curriculum Calendars <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -Pivot <br> -Google Classroom | -IDOE Website <br> -IDOE Learning Connection <br> -IAS site, Appendices <br> -IAS sample assessment items -IDOE transition plans \& most critical standards <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -District Web site <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> -Curriculum Planning by <br> Grade/Department <br> -Units of Study <br> - Google Apps |
| Intervention: Defined Curriculum - National or Academy Curriculum <br> 1. Students will participate in project/problem based learning including STEM and STEAM. <br> A. IDOE STEM <br> B. Lego Robotics <br> C. Code <br> D. App Development <br> E. 3-D Modeling and Printing <br> 2. Students participating in career academies will use the defined curriculum set forth by the industry and/or institution that established them. <br> A. Project Lead The Way Pre-Engineering and Technology (PLTW) <br> B. PLTW Bio-Medical Sciences <br> C. Cisco Academy <br> D. Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Training Institute <br> E. Career Pathway Courses <br> F. AP and College Credit Classes (Concurrent Enrollment) | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -6-8 PLTW Teachers <br> -9-12 PLTW, Cisco, EMS <br> Teachers <br> -9-12 Teachers | -Formal Scales <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Final Exams <br> -Articulation with <br> Post-Secondary <br> Institutions | -IDOE STEM site <br> -District Website with STEM and STEAM <br> -Hour of Code site <br> -CAN <br> -ReadyNWI <br> -Project Lead The Way <br> Curriculum <br> -Purdue University <br> -Partnership Teams <br> -Cisco Curriculum <br> -St. Mary Medical Center <br> -IDOE Career Pathway Courses <br> -AP Curriculum and Professional Development <br> -College Curriculum and University <br> Partnership Professional <br> Development <br> -CertiPort Portal for certifications <br> -3D Printer <br> -App Development |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Defined Curriculum - Data Analysis to Inform Curricular and Instructional Needs <br> 1. All students will increase skills identified in the Indiana Academic Standards as a result of regular data analysis to inform instruction and curricular needs as identified from professional learning communities. <br> A. Students will participate in the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework to determine areas of strengths and challenges and to monitor growth of the individual student. <br> - Response to Instruction (RTI): Tiered Interventions will be recommended for students based on achievement levels. <br> -Enriched and high ability instruction will be recommended for students based on achievement level. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Northwest Indiana Special <br> Education Cooperative <br> (NWIESC) Director <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -LRE Facilitators | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Annual Data Analysis <br> -Trend Data Analysis <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Late Start Wednesdays for Professional Development | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> - Professional Development on Tools |
| Intervention: Response to Instruction (RTI) <br> 1. Students will participate in RTI Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels. <br> A. A district-wide RTI policy is implemented with guidelines. <br> B. Tier II will be implemented through the intervention of "Increased Academic Learning Time" within the classroom including the following: <br> -Achievement Groups <br> - Strategy Groups <br> -Seminar <br> -Freshman Academy <br> -Summer School <br> -Double Blocked Subjects <br> - Counseling <br> C. Tier II and Tier III will be implemented through intense intervention with additional support services. <br> -Intense Reading Intervention <br> -Guided Math Intervention <br> -Individual Instruction <br> -Small Group Instruction <br> Small Group Counseling | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Northwest Indiana Special <br> Education Cooperative <br> (NWIESC) Director <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -LRE Facilitators <br> -Interventionists <br> -RTI Teams | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -RTI Forms -RTI Meetings -RTI Contracts and Plans | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -RTI - forms, meetings, policy and guidelines, curriculum materials, \& TRC <br> -PLC <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Skyward <br> -Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) <br> -System 44 <br> -Read 180 <br> -Envisions <br> -Do The Math <br> -Scholastic Learning <br> -Professional <br> Development (RTI) <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Compass Odyssey <br> Learning <br> -Acuity Instructional <br> Materials <br> - Book: Behavior <br> Intervention Manual |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Enriched and High Ability <br> 1. Students will participate in Enriched and High Ability courses based on achievement levels. <br> A. Enriched Curriculum <br> -Small Group Instruction <br> -Enriched Courses <br> B. High Ability <br> -Magnet High Ability Grades 2-8 <br> -Advanced Placement (AP) Courses <br> -Gifted and Talented (GT) Classes (Leadership Classes at the High School) <br> C. Accelerated Courses <br> -College Credit Courses <br> -Career Pathway Electives | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Skyward <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -AP Curriculum and <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -College Curriculum and University Partnership <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -High Ability Policy and Guidelines |
| Intervention: Instruction Support Services <br> Students who qualify for additional services will be provided extra instructional support. <br> A. Special Education <br> B. English Learners (EL) <br> C. After School Programming <br> -Boost <br> -Tutoring <br> -ICU/CPR <br> D. Enrichment Clubs <br> -Coding <br> -Robotics | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -EL Coordinator <br> -Special Education Staff | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -Skyward | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -Professional Learning Communities -Common Planning Time -Skyward <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -IEP Advantage <br> -Case Conferences <br> -Programming After School |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Professional Learning Communities <br> 1. All students will increase academic skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities. <br> A. Curriculum Planning <br> - Grade Level/Curriculum/Department Meetings <br> -Identification of Critical Standards <br> -Units of Study/Curriculum Calendar/Curriculum Mapping <br> -Web Publishing with School Wires <br> -Career Academy Curriculum Training <br> B. Assessment <br> - Professional Learning Communities focus on results. <br> -Continuous data analysis will be implemented by using the <br> School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System <br> Framework. <br> - Teachers meet regularly in teams to review student learning by using evidence from the formative assessments in the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> C. The district provides professional development. <br> - Professional Development - In-House Professional Development <br> Catalog, Conferences, Contracted Services, and Book Studies -Flexibility of professional development opportunities <br> a. Late Start Wednesdays <br> b. Professional Development Catalog <br> c. Peer Mentoring/Coaching Partners/Instructional Rounds <br> d. Job-embedded Training <br> e. The district is a sponsor of Professional Growth Points (PGPs) for license renewal. <br> f. The district establishes flexible schedules so teachers can meet and practice what they have learned (or to continue their learning). <br> D. RTI Teams | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> $-\mathrm{K}-12$ teachers <br> -RTI Teams | -Teacher Professional Goals <br> -Curriculum Maps <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Enrollment in <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -School City of <br> Hobart's Balanced <br> Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -RTI Teams | -Professional <br> Development Catalog <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Professional Learning <br> Community Meetings <br> -RTI Training <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Career Academy <br> Training <br> -Interventionists <br> -Read 180 <br> -System 44 <br> -Envisions <br> -Do The Math <br> -Scholastic <br> -Contracted Services <br> -PGP forms <br> -Marzano's Becoming a Reflective Teacher |

Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3b: Language Arts

## Improvement Goal:

All students will read and comprehend a variety of genres (types) and informational text, as well as communicate ideas through writing.

Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

- All students will read with fluency.
- All students will comprehend written text.
- All students will communicate ideas through writing.
- All students will use technology research tools to locate, evaluate, and collect information in order to process data and report results.
- All students will use a variety of media and formats to collaborate, publish, and communicate information and ideas effectively to multiple audiences.


## Target Participants:

All students in the School City of Hobart
Subgroups:
Students who are achieving below proficiency level.
Students who are achieving above proficiency level.

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3b: Language Arts

## Interventions:

## Curriculum, Instructional, and Assessment:

All students will increase skills in reading and writing through monitoring progress on Indiana Academic
Standards.
All students will increase reading and writing skills as a result of participating in balanced literacy.
All students will participate in 90 minute Core Reading Program at the elementary level.
All students will increase fluency and comprehension by using reading skills/strategies with a variety of texts across the

## curriculum.

All students will increase communication skills by writing across the curriculum.
All students will increase reading and writing skills by using technology tools across the curriculum.

## Student Support:

Students will participate in Response to Instruction (RTI) Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels.
Students will participate in enriched and high ability courses based on achievement levels.
All students will increase reading and writing skills through opportunities for family/community participation.
Students who qualify for additional services will be provided extra instructional support
Students will participate in after-school clubs and extracurricular activities.

## Staff:

All students will increase reading and writing skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities.

## Evaluation:

Curriculum Calendars/Maps with Pacing Guides
Units of Study
School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework:
Classroom Assessments- running records, formal scales, rubrics, checklists, quizzes, unit tests, final exams
Common Formative Assessments (CFAS)- Department/Grade Level CFAs, quality core, rubrics, checklists, Leveled Literacy
Intervention (LLI), Pivot
Benchmark Assessments- Lexile (SRI), writing assessment, spelling inventory, Acuity, quarterly standards based assessments, SPI,
Pivot
External Summative Assessments- DIAL, ESGI, ISTEP+, IREAD3, PSAT, SAT, WorkKeys, AP Exams, ISTAR, WIDA, Portfolios

## Timeframe for Implementation:

2016-17

| Target Area of Improvement: Language Arts - Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3b: Language Arts - Fluency, Comprehension, Writing, Technology and Communication |
| :--- |
| ACTIONS |
| SCHEDULE |
| RESPONSIBILITIES |

## ACTIONS

Intervention: Balanced Literacy

1. All students will increase reading and writing skills as a result of participating in balanced literacy.
2. All students will participate in a 90 minute Core Reading

Program at the elementary level.
3. IDOE Required Skills and Scaffolding will be implemented.
A. Reading Components-Students will learn grade appropriate phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension.
B. Just Right Books - Students will read at independent reading levels.
C. Small Group Instruction - Students will receive small group instruction based on their instructional reading levels to aid in comprehension.
D. Independent Reading (Differentiation) - Students will read daily
to increase stamina ranging from 3 minutes in Kindergarten up to 30 minutes in the intermediate grades.
E. Read Alouds - Students will participate in read alouds daily.
F. Shared Reading - Students will participate in shared reading 2-3X weekly.
G. Interactive Reading - Students will actively participate in conversations about books being read aloud to them.
H. Interactive Writing - Students will participate in interactive writing activities in which the teacher and students write together. I. Shared Writing - Students will observe a teacher modeling writing, and will give verbal suggestions as the teacher writes.
J. Independent Writing (Differentiation) - Students will learn and improve independent writing skills and strategies by participating in instructional time focused on teaching children to apply writing skills and strategies, independently, in their own pieces.
K. Literature Circles - Students will participate in literature circlessmall, temporary groups, based upon book choice, which meet on a regular basis to discuss their reading through open and natural conversations about books.

## MONITORING

-School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -Professional Learning Communities
-Running Records
-Observations
-Anecdotal Notes
-Reading Logs
-Conference Notes
-Lesson Plans
-Turn and Talk
Discussion
-Classroom
Assessments
-CFAs
-Written Pieces of
Work
-Group Discussion
-Rubrics
-Formal Scales
-Portfolios

## RESOURCES

-School City of Hobart's
Balanced Assessment
System Framework
-Scholastic Coaching
-Leveled Reading
Books
-Benchmark Kits
-Teachers College
Units of Study
-Books for Read
Alouds
-Big Books
-Flip Charts
-District Web site
-Writer's Notebooks
-Writing Folders
-Chart Paper
-Falling in Love with
Close Reading: Lessons
for Analyzing Texts and
Life by Christopher
Lehman, Kate Roberts, and Donalyn Miller
-Classroom Instruction
that Works by Robert
Marzano

- Journeys by Houghton

Mifflin Harcourt
(Elementary)
-Expert 21 by Scholastic (Middle School)
-Word Matters by Fountas and Pinnell
-Heggerty Phonics
-Sitton Spelling
-SpringBoard

Intervention: Balanced Literacy (continued)
L. Curricular Calendars/Units of Study - Students will receive focused reading/writing instruction by participating in mini-lessons derived from specific Units of Study, mapped out per month in Reading/Writing Curricular Calendars.
M. Close Reading/ Annotation - Students will receive instruction and practice the skill of close reading, questioning and marking the text.
-Yohan's Close Reading and Graphic Organizers

- Yohan's Thinkmarks
- Yohan's Close Reading Marks for Breaking Down a Text
- SOAPSTone (Speaker, Occasion, Audience, Purpose, Subject, Tone)
- TOULIN Model (marks for breaking down a text)
- Yohan's Editing Marks (Revisions and Editing An Essay) N. Moving from Comprehension to Analysis - Students will receive instruction and practice the critical thinking skills required to move beyond recall of information in a text to application of that information to larger concepts.
O. Moving from Analysis to Synthesis - With teacher support, students will apply what they have learned from analyzing multiple texts to create a new product (e.g. paper, presentation, project) that demonstrates their knowledge.

2012-2017
-Lead: Administrators
-K-8 Teachers
$-9-12$ Teachers
-Common Core Reading and Writing Workshop Books K-6 by Lucy Calkins -Smeken's Workshops and Web site

- Daily Cafe
-Expert 21
-SpringBoard
-Falling in Love with
Close Reading: Lessons
for Analyzing Texts and
Life by Christopher
Lehman, Kate Roberts,
and Donalyn Miller
-Newsela
-Readworks
-College Board
-Khan Academy
-Pivot
-Yohan's Close
Reading and Graphic
Organizers
- Yohan's

Thinkmarks

- Yohan's

Close
Reading
Marks for
Breaking
Down a Text
-Google Apps
-Troove

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RESOURCES |  |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Writing Across the Curriculum <br> 1. All students will increase communication skills by writing across the curriculum. <br> A. Genre Writing- Students will write various genre essays with an emphasis on persuasive, opinion, and argumentative writing <br> B. Constructed Response- Students will read informational text by participating in regular reading responses in response to current events. <br> C. 6+1 Writing Trait Rubrics/Conference/Checklists- Students will become writers by receiving guidance from assessments that detail the levels of proficiency in writing. <br> D. Close Reading/Annotation - Students will receive instruction and practice the skill of close reading, questioning and marking the text. <br> -Yohan's Close Reading and Graphic Organizers <br> - Yohan's Thinkmarks <br> - Yohan's Close Reading Marks for Breaking Down a Text <br> - SOAPSTone (Speaker, Occasion, Audience, Purpose, Subject, Tone) <br> - TOULIN Model (marks for breaking down a text) <br> - Yohan's Editing Marks (Revisions and Editing An Essay) | 2012-2017 | -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> - K-12 Teachers | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> - Writing Curriculum Maps <br> -Constructed Response Rubrics <br> -Rubrics and 6+1 Writing Traits <br> - Rubrics/Conference <br> Check Lists | -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> - Writing Curriculum <br> Maps <br> - Professional <br> Development Catalog <br> - Google Docs <br> $-6+1$ Writing Traits <br> Materials <br> - Rubrics/Conference <br> Check Lists <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Current Event Articles <br> -Smeken's Workshop and Web site <br> - Expert 21 by Scholastic <br> -Scholastic Coaching <br> -Write Like This: Teaching <br> Real-World Writing <br> Through Modeling and <br> Mentor Texts by Kelly <br> Gallagher <br> -Teaching Argument <br> Writing: Supporting <br> Claims with Relevant <br> Evidence and Clear <br> Reasoning by George <br> Hillocks, Jr. <br> -Explorations in <br> Nonfiction Writing: Grade <br> $K-5$ by Tony Stead and <br> Linda Hoyt <br> -Being a Writer <br> -Smekens' workshop and Website <br> - Daily Cafe <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Write to Learn |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | -Newsela <br> -Readworks <br> -College Board <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Pivot <br> -The Revision Toobox, Second Edition: Teaching Techniques that Work by Georgia Heard <br> -Teaching Argument Writing, Grades 6-12 by George Hillocks Jr. <br> -Falling in Love with <br> Close Reading: Lessons for Analyzing Texts and Life by Christopher Lehman, Kate Roberts, and Donalyn Miller <br> -They Say / I Say: The Moves that Matter in Academic Writing by Gerald Graff <br> -Argue with Me: <br> Argument as a Path to Developing Students Thinking and Writing by Deanna Kuhn <br> -An Illustrated Book of Bad Arguments by Ali Almossawi |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Technology Tools <br> 1. All students will increase reading and writing skills by using technology tools across the curriculum. <br> A. Computer Assisted Instruction - Students will participate in computer assisted instruction with Expert 21, Read 180, System 44, Compass Odyssey Learning, Pivot, SpringBoard, and Khan Academy. <br> B. Research - Students will use technology research tools to locate, evaluate, and collect information in order to process data and report results. <br> C. Publish and Present - Students will use a variety of media and formats to collaborate, publish, and communicate information and ideas effectively to multiple audiences. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: K-12 teachers | -Google Docs <br> -Student Presentations <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Portfolios | -Professional Development Calendar -Internet -Google Apps <br> -Expert 21 by Scholastic <br> -Compass Odyssey <br> Learning <br> -System 44 <br> -Read 180 <br> -Reading A-Z <br> -RAZ Kids <br> -Chromebooks-Tablet <br> -Responders <br> -iPads <br> -Smart boards <br> -Blogs <br> -College Board <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Newsela <br> -Readworks <br> -Pivot <br> -Google Sites <br> -Troove-SpringBoar- <br> Blogs |

## ACTIONS

Intervention: Response to Instruction (RTI)

1. Students will participate in RTI Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels.
A. A district-wide RTI policy is implemented with guidelines.
B. Tier II will be implemented through the intervention of "Increased Academic Learning Time" within the classroom including the following:
-Achievement Groups - Strategy Groups
-Seminar-
-Summer School-Summer School
-Double Blocked Subjects
-English as a New Language
-Computerized Intervention Software
-Counseling
C. Tier II and Tier III will be implemented through intense intervention with additional support services.
-Computerized Intervention Software
-Intense Reading Intervention
-Individual Instruction
-Small Group Instruction
-Small Group Counseling
D. Alternative Learning
-Opportunity Center

- Out of School Suspension Program (OSSP)
- Aspire (Alternative School)
- Intensive Care Unit (ICU)
- Credit Recovery
-Academy of Success
-Extended Day
- Study Tables
- Tutoring
- CPR
- Credit Recovery
-Educere: Online Learning

| SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Northwest Indiana Special <br> Education Cooperative <br> (NWIESC) Director <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -LRE Facilitators <br> -Interventionists <br> -RTI Teams | Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -RTI Forms <br> -RTI Meetings <br> -RTI Plans <br> -Administrators <br> -Skyward | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning Time -RTI Policy and Guidelines <br> -RTI Forms <br> -RTI Meetings <br> -Skyward <br> -Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) <br> -System 44 <br> -Read 180 <br> -Professional <br> Development RTI <br> -Curriculum Materials <br> RTI <br> -TRC <br> -RAZ Kids <br> - Book: Behavior Intervention Manual <br> -Opportunity Center <br> - Out of School <br> Suspension <br> Program (OSSP) <br> - Aspire (Alternative School) <br> - Intensive Care Unit (ICU) <br> - Credit Recovery <br> -Academy of Success <br> -Extended Day <br> - Study Tables <br> - Tutoring <br> - CPR <br> - Credit Recovery -Educere: Online Learning |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Enriched and High Ability <br> 1. Students will participate in Enriched and High Ability courses based on achievement levels. <br> A. Enriched Curriculum <br> -Small Group Instruction <br> -Enriched Courses <br> B. High Ability <br> -Magnet High Ability Grades 2-8 <br> -Advanced Placement (AP) Courses <br> C. Accelerated Courses <br> -G.T./Honors <br> -College Credit Courses <br> -Career Pathway Electives | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Counselors | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Skyward <br> -TRC <br> -AP Curriculum and Professional Development <br> -College Curriculum and University Partnership Professional Development <br> -Accelerated Courses <br> -High Ability Policy and Guidelines |
| Intervention: Instruction Support Services <br> Students who qualify for additional services will be provided extra instructional support. <br> A. Special Education <br> B. English Learners (EL) <br> C. 504 <br> D. Y Learning Program | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -EL Coordinator <br> -Special Education Staff <br> -Nurses <br> 444 | -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework | -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning <br> Time <br> -Skyward <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -IEP <br> -504 <br> -Case Conferences <br> -Y Learning Program |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Clubs and Extra-Curricular <br> 1. Students will participate in clubs and extracurricular activities <br> A. Academic Support <br> B. Academic Enrichment <br> C. Athletics <br> D. Performing Arts <br> E. Maker Faire | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Club Participation -Extra-curricular participation | -Study Tables <br> -ISTEP/ECA Boost <br> -CPR <br> -Lego Robotics <br> -Academic Super Bowls <br> -Yearbook Publishing <br> -Broadcasting <br> -Athletics <br> -Performing Arts <br> -3-D Printing <br> -App Development <br> -Hour of Code website <br> -Skyward Portal |
| Intervention: Family/Community Involvement <br> 1. All students will increase reading and writing skills through opportunities for family/community participation. <br> A. Skyward - Assignments/Grades/Discipline/Attendance <br> B. Family Nights - Drama and Authors Chair, Maker Faire <br> C. District Web Site - Homework Help and Tips <br> D. Compass Odyssey Learning <br> E. Parent Teacher Meetings/Conferences <br> F. Parent Communication- District Focus Newsletters/Messenger/Phone Calls <br> G. Naviance - Monitoring College and Career Planning <br> H. Online Assistance: Khan Academy , Ask Rose, Envisions, SpringBoard, etc. <br> I. Google Apps | 2012-2017 | - K-12 Teachers <br> -Couselors <br> -Administrators <br> -Technology Department <br> -Central Office Administration | -Monitoring Skyward Usage <br> -Monitoring Web site Usage <br> -Parent Teacher <br> Meeting/Conference <br> Attendance <br> -Family Night <br> Attendance <br> -Portfolios | - Harmony Parent <br> Information Packet <br> - District Website <br> -Family Nights <br> Learning <br> -RAZ Kids <br> -Coffee Club for Parents <br> -Naviance <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Envision <br> -Think Center <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Other Online Resources <br> from Teachers <br> -Troove <br> -Google Apps |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Professional Learning Communities <br> 1. All students will increase academic skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities. <br> A. Curriculum Planning - Grade <br> Level/Curriculum/Department Meetings <br> -Identification of Critical Standards <br> -Units of Study/Curriculum Calendar/Curriculum Mapping <br> -Google Apps <br> -Web Publishing with School Wires <br> -Skyward <br> B. Assessment <br> -Continuous data analysis will be implemented by using the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> C. RTI Teams <br> D. Professional Development - In-House Professional Development Calendar, Conferences, \& Contracted Services | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> -K-12 teachers <br> -RTI Teams | -Teacher Professional Goals <br> -Curriculum Maps <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Enrollment in <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -School City of <br> Hobart's Balanced <br> Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -RTI Teams <br> -Pivot <br> -Portfolios | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional Development Calendar -Common Planning Time -Late Start Wednesdays -Professional Learning Community Meetings <br> -RTI Training <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Interventionists <br> -Read 180 <br> -System 44 <br> - LLI <br> -Contracted Services <br> -Journeys <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Expert 21 <br> -Google Apps <br> -Pivot <br> -Envision <br> -College Board <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Troove |

## Improvement Goal:

All students will use problem solving skills to think critically and apply knowledge and reason to solve problems.

## Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

- All students will think and reason effectively.
- All students will solve problems accurately and efficiently.
- All students will communicate clearly using mathematical language and representations by demonstrating skills and knowledge.
- All students will use technology research tools to locate, evaluate, and collect information in order to process data, report results, and make informed decisions for solving problems.


## Target Participants

All students in the School City of Hobart
Subgroups:
Students who are achieving below proficiency level
Students who are achieving above proficiency level

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3c: Problem Solving

## Interventions:

## Curriculum, Instructional and Assessment:

All students will increase skills in mathematics through monitoring progress on Indiana Academic Standards (IAS).
All students will increase problem solving skills through differentiated instruction across the mathematics curriculum that emphasizes conceptual understanding.
All students will use reasoning and critical thinking to solve problems through applied mathematics across the curriculum that provide relevant, concrete, and everyday problems.
Students participating in career academies will use the defined curriculum set forth by industry and/or institution that established them.
All students will increase problem solving skills by using technology tools across the curriculum.

## Student Support:

Students will participate in Response to Instruction (RTI) Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels.
Students will participate in enriched and high ability courses based on achievement levels.
All students will increase problem solving skills through opportunities for family/community participation.
Students who qualify for additional services will be provided extra instructional support.
Students will participate in afterschool clubs and extracurricular activities

## Staff:

All students will increase problem solving skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities.

## Evaluation:

Curriculum Calendar
Units of Study
School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework:
Classroom Assessments- running records, formal scales, rubrics, checklists, quizzes, unit tests, final exams
Common Formative Assessments (CFAs)- Department/Grade Level CFAs, Compass Learning Odyssey, Rubrics, Checklists, Formal scales, Pivot
Benchmark Assessments- quarterly standards based assessments,
External Summative Assessments- DIAL, ESGI, ISTEP+, IREAD3, PSAT, PSAT 8/9, PSAT NWSQT, SAT, WorkKeys, AP
Exams, ISTAR, WIDA, Portfolios, Certiport Certifications

## Timeframe for Implementation:

2016-17

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Indiana Academic Standards <br> 1. All students will increase Problem Solving through monitoring progress on Indiana Academic Standards and Mathematical Practices. <br> A. School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> B. Using Indiana Academic Standard Mathematical Practices. <br> 1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them. <br> 2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively. <br> 3. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others. <br> 4. Model with mathematics. <br> 5. Use appropriate tools strategically. <br> 6. Attend to precision. <br> 7. Look for and make use of structure. <br> 8. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning. <br> C. Using Indiana Academic State Standard's Vocabulary. | 2012-2017 | -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> - Classroom <br> Assessments (emphasis) <br> -Formal scales <br> -CFAs <br> - Conferring/Anecdotal <br> Records <br> - Checklists/Rubrics <br> - Quizzes <br> -Unit Tests <br> - Standards-based <br> Report Cards <br> -Pivot <br> -Google Apps/Classroom | - School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> - Classroom <br> Assessments (emphasis) <br> -CFAs <br> - Conferring/Anecdotal <br> Records <br> - Checklists/Rubrics <br> - Math <br> Journals/Notebooks <br> - Standards-based <br> Report Cards <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Google Apps <br> -Balanced Assessment by Burke <br> -Common Formative <br> Assessments by Bailey and Jakicic <br> -The Art of Science and <br> Teaching by Marzano <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> - Indiana Academic <br> Standards <br> -Mathematical Toolboxes <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Envision <br> -College Board <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Ask Rose <br> -Pivot <br> -Google Apps |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interventions: Assessment/Differentiated Instruction for Conceptual Understanding <br> 1. All students will increase problem solving skills through monitoring progress on Indiana Academic Standards to determine instructional needs. <br> A. School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> B. Classroom Assessments/Conferring/Checklists/Rubrics/Journals will be administered to determine instructional areas for students. <br> 2. All students will increase problem solving skills through differentiated instruction across the mathematics curriculum that emphasizes conceptual understanding. <br> A. Students will know basic math facts (These help in acquisition and speed of performing math). <br> B. Students will understand units of measurement and apply appropriate techniques and formulas. <br> C. Students will understand and solve algebraic equations and understand patterns and relationships between numbers. <br> D. Students will identify, describe and compare geometrical shapes. <br> E. Students will construct and interpret graphs throughout the curriculum as part of data analysis. <br> F. Students will demonstrate the ability to compare and contrast different values. <br> 3. All students have the opportunity to practice and demonstrate proficiency. <br> 4. Students will receive guided group instruction. <br> 5. Students will receive small group instruction for proficiency. <br> 6. Students have the opportunity to participate in peer tutoring, study tables, and ECA review sessions. | 2012-2017 | -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> - Teachers K-12 | - School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -Classroom <br> Assessments <br> -CFAs <br> -Formal scales <br> -Journals <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Conferring <br> -Item Analysis <br> -Pivot | - School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework -Classroom <br> Assessments <br> -CFAs <br> -Manipulatives <br> -Calculator <br> -Software <br> -Flash Cards <br> -Classroom Texts <br> -Time for Data <br> Analysis <br> -Professional Learning <br> Communities <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> -Curriculum Maps <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> - Peer tutors <br> - Study Tables <br> -FASTT Math <br> -Fraction Nation <br> -Khan Academy <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Envision <br> -Pivot |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Reasoning and Critical Thinking To Solve Problems <br> 1. All students will use reasoning and critical thinking to solve problems through applied mathematics across the curriculum that provide relevant, concrete, and everyday problems. <br> A. Students will build academic vocabulary and comprehension across the curriculum. <br> -Yohan's Close Reading and Graphic Organizers <br> - Yohan's Thinkmarks <br> - Yohan's Close Reading Marks for Breaking Down a Text <br> - SOAPSTone (Speaker, Occasion, Audience, Purpose, Subject, Tone) <br> - TOULIN Model (marks for breaking down a text) <br> - Yohan's Editing Marks (Revisions and Editing An Essay) <br> B. Students will understand and choose mathematical operations to solve problems across the curriculum. (Example: Similarities and Differences/Graphic Organizers - Marzano) <br> C. Students will use mental math/estimation to understand when an exact answer is needed or an estimate is sufficient. <br> D. Students will problem solve by using probability, data analysis, and statistics across the curriculum. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: 2-12 Math/Science (varies 10-12) | -Classroom <br> Assessments <br> -CFAs <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Journals <br> -Rubrics <br> -ISTEP <br> -Pivot <br> -Envision <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Portfolios | -Classroom Instruction That <br> Works by Robert Marzano <br> -Building Academic <br> Vocabulary by Robert <br> Marzano <br> -Manipulatives <br> -Textbooks <br> -Inquiry Materials for <br> Science <br> -Curriculum Maps <br> -Yohan's Close Reading <br> and Graphic Organizers <br> - Yohan's <br> Thinkmarks <br> - Yohan's Close Reading Marks for Breaking Down a Text <br> - SOAPSTone (Speaker, Occasion, <br> Audience, <br> Purpose, Subject, Tone) <br> - TOULIN Model (marks for breaking down a text) <br> - Yohan's Editing Marks <br> -Smekens <br> -Falling in Love with Close Reading: Lessons for Analyzing Texts and Life by Christopher Lehman, Kate Roberts, and Donalyn Miller -Pivot <br> -Envision <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Portfolios <br> -Google Apps <br> -Troove |


| Intervention: Defined Curriculum - National or Academy Curriculum | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office | -Formal Scales | -IDOE STEM site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students will participate in project/problem based learning including STEM and STEAM. |  | Administrators -Principals | -CFAs | -District Website with STEM and STEAM |
| A. IDOE STEM |  | -6-8 PLTW Teachers | -Final Exams | -Hour of Code site |
| B. Lego Robotics |  | -9-12 PLTW, Cisco, EMS | -Articulation with Post- | -ReadyNWI |
| C. Code |  | Teachers | Secondary Institutions | -Project Lead The Way |
| D. App Development |  | -9-12 Teachers | -Portfolios | Curriculum <br> -Purdue University |
| E. 3-D Modeling and Printing |  |  |  | -Purdue University <br> -Partnership Teams |
| 2. Students participating in career academies will use the defined curriculum set forth by the industry and/or institution that established them. |  |  |  | -Cisco Curriculum <br> -St. Mary Medical Center <br> -IDOE Career Pathway Courses |
| A. Project Lead The Way Pre-Engineering and Technology (PLTW) |  |  |  | -AP Curriculum and Professional Development |
| B. PLTW Bio-Medical Sciences <br> C. Cisco Academy |  |  |  | -College Curriculum and University Partnership Professional Development |
| D. Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Training Institute <br> E. Career Pathway Courses |  |  |  | -CertiPort Portal for certifications |
| F. AP and College Credit Classes (Concurrent Enrollment) |  |  |  | -3D Printer <br> -App Development -Google Apps |
|  |  |  |  | -Troove |


| Intervention: Technology Tools <br> 1. All students will increase problem solving skills by using technology tools across the curriculum. <br> A. Students will construct and interpret graphs using spreadsheets along with data analysis. <br> B. Students will use calculators/graphing calculators to calculate, analyze and interpret mathematical equations. <br> C. Students will use computer simulations to solve problems. <br> D. Students will use fluency software for facts. <br> E. Students will use programming software. <br> F. Students will use PLTW industry software. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: K-12 Cross-curricular | -Classroom <br> Assessments <br> -CFAs <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Teacher Observation <br> -Student Presentations <br> -Pivot <br> -Portfolios | -Chromebooks <br> -Responders <br> -iPads <br> -Google Apps <br> -Compass Learning <br> Odyssey <br> -Calculators <br> -Graphing Calculators <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br>  <br> Simulation Software <br> -Challenger Learning <br> Center (Space <br> Simulation) <br> -FASTT Math <br> -Fraction Nation <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Hour of Code <br> Resources <br> -PLTW Software <br> -Troove <br> -Pivot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Response to Instruction (RTI) <br> 1. Students will participate in RTI Tiers based on achievement and behavior levels. <br> A. A district-wide RTI policy is implemented with guidelines. <br> B. Tier II will be implemented through the intervention of <br> "Increased Academic Learning Time" within the classroom including the following: <br> -Achievement Groups - Strategy Groups <br> -Seminar <br> -Freshman Academy <br> -Summer School <br> -Double Blocked Subjects <br> -Counseling <br> C. Tier II and Tier III will be implemented through intense intervention with additional support services. <br> -Small Group Instruction <br> -Individual Instruction <br> -Small Group Counseling <br> D. Alternative Learning <br> -Opportunity Center <br> - Out of School Suspension Program (OSSP) <br> - Aspire (Alternative School) <br> - Intensive Care Unit (ICU) <br> - Credit Recovery <br> -Academy of Success <br> -Extended Day <br> - Study Tables <br> - Tutoring <br> - CPR <br> - Credit Recovery <br> -Educere: Online Learning <br> 2. Students have the opportunity to participate in peer tutoring, study tables, and ECA review sessions. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Northwest Indiana Special <br> Education Cooperative <br> (NWIESC) Director <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -LRE Facilitators <br> -Interventionists <br> -RTI Teams | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -RTI Forms <br> -RTI Meetings <br> -RTI Plans <br> -Administrators <br> - Skyward | -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -Professional Learning <br> Communities <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -RTI Forms <br> - RTI Meetings <br> -Skyward <br> -RTI Policy and <br> Guidelines <br> -Professional <br> Development RTI <br> -Curriculum Materials <br> -RTI <br> -TRC <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Ask Rose <br> - Peer Tutors <br> - Study Tables <br> -Do the Math <br> -Newsela <br> -Readworks <br> -College Board <br> -Pivot <br> -The Revision Toobox, Second <br> Edition: Teaching Techniques that Work by Georgia Heard <br> -Teaching Argument Writing, Grades $6-12$ by George Hillocks Jr. <br> -Falling in Love with Close <br> Reading: Lessons for <br> Analyzing Texts and Life by <br> Christopher Lehman, Kate <br> Roberts, and Donalyn Miller <br> -They Say / I Say: The Moves that Matter in Academic Writing by Gerald Graff <br> -Argue with Me: Argument as a Path to Developing Students Thinking and Writing by Deanna Kuhn <br> -An Illustrated Book of Bad <br> Arguments by Ali Almossawi |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | -Envision |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Intervention: Enriched and High Ability <br> 1. Students will participate in Enriched and High Ability courses based on achievement levels. <br> A. Enriched Curriculum <br> -Small Group Instruction <br> -Enriched Courses <br> B. High Ability <br> -Magnet High Ability Grades 2-8 <br> -Advanced Placement (AP) Courses <br> C. Accelerated Courses <br> -G.T./Honors <br> -College Credit Courses <br> -Career Pathway Electives | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Counselors | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional Learning Communities <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -Skyward <br> -TRC <br> -AP Curriculum and <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -College Curriculum and <br> University Partnership <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -High Ability Policy and Guidelines <br> -Accelerated Classes <br> (G.T./Honors) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Clubs and Extra-Curricular <br> 1. Students will participate in clubs and extracurricular activities <br> A. Academic Support <br> B. Academic Enrichment <br> C. Athletics <br> D. Performing Arts <br> E. Maker Faire | 2014-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Club Participation <br> -Extra-curricular participation | -Study Tables <br> -ISTEP/ECA Boost <br> -CPR <br> -Lego Robotics <br> -Maker Faire <br> -Academic Super Bowls <br> -Yearbook Publishing <br> -Broadcasting <br> -Athletics <br> -Performing Arts <br> -3-D Printing <br> -App Development <br> -Hour of Code website |
| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |


| Intervention: Family/Community Involvement <br> 1. All students will increase problem solving skills through opportunities for family/community participation. <br> A. Skyward - Assignments/Grades/Discipline/Attendance <br> B. Family Nights - Math Games, Maker Faire <br> C. Web site - Homework Help and Tips <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Ask Rose <br> D. Compass Odyssey Learning <br> E. Parent Teacher Meetings/Conferences <br> F. Naviance - Monitoring College and Career Planning <br> G. Online Assistance: <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Ask Rose <br> -FASTT Math <br> -Fraction Nation <br> -Envision <br> -SpringBoard | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administration <br> -Principals <br> -School Staff <br> -Technology Department | -Parent/Teacher <br> Conference Attendance <br> -Monitoring Skyward <br> Usage <br> -Monitoring Website <br> Usage <br> -Family Night <br> Attendance <br> -Portfolios | -Skyward Parent <br> Portal <br> -District Website <br> -Coffee Club for Parents <br> -Naviance <br> -Online Assistance: <br> - Khan Academy <br> - Ask Rose <br> - FASTT Math <br> - Fraction Nation <br> - Envision <br> - SpringBoard <br> -Other Online Resources from Teachers <br> -Google Apps <br> -Troove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Professional Learning Communities <br> 1. All students will increase problem solving skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities. A. Curriculum Planning - Grade <br> Level/Curriculum/Department Meetings <br> -Identification of Critical Standards <br> -Google Apps <br> -Units of Study/Curriculum Calendar/Curriculum Mapping <br> -Web Publishing with School Wires <br> -Career Academy Curriculum Training <br> -Skyward <br> B. Assessment <br> -Pivot <br> -Continuous data analysis will be implemented by using the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> C. RTI Teams <br> D. Professional Development - In-House Professional Development Calendar, Conferences, \& Contracted Services | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> -K-12 teachers <br> -RTI Teams | -Teacher Professional Goals <br> -Curriculum Maps <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Enrollment in <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -School City of <br> Hobart's Balanced <br> Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -RTI Teams <br> -Pivot <br> -Portfolios | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar -Common Planning Time <br> -Late Start Wednesdays <br> -Professional Learning <br> Community Meetings <br> -RTI Training <br> -TRC (District Web site) <br> -Interventionists- <br> Contracted Service-Do <br> The Math <br> -SpringBoard <br> -Google Apps <br> -Envision <br> -Pivot <br> -College Board <br> -Khan Academy <br> -Troove |

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3d: Career

## Improvement Goal:

All students will demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary for managing the dual role of productive and successful community member and wage earner by gaining employment in a career or by continuing education at the post-secondary level.

## Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

- All students will develop career awareness.
- All students will have a personal education plan for college and careers.
- All students will have the opportunity to complete requirements for Core 40 or Academic Honors Diploma.
- All students will identify post-graduate education/career opportunities.
- All students will have personal guidance to chart their future career path enabling them to reach their potential.


## Target Participants:

All students in the School City of Hobart
Target Groups:
Grades K-12 (career counseling, post-secondary education counseling, identifying student' strengths and weaknesses)

## Interventions: <br> \section*{Curriculum:}

All students will participate in career awareness.
All students will participate in career exploration.
Students will participate in small learning communities/career academies.

## Student Support:

All students will participate in comprehensive guidance and counseling.
Community/Parents/and guardians will develop career education knowledge.
All students will participate in school to career planning preparation.
Students will participate in after-school clubs and extra-curricular activities
The district encourages community groups to collaborate with schools to support student learning.

Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3d: Careers

## Evaluation:

Eighth Grade Exit Survey High
School Exit Survey
Graduation Rate
AP Enrollment
Concurrent Enrollment (College and High School Credit)
PSAT 8/9
PSAT 10/NSMQT
SAT
WorkKeys
ASVAB
Graduates Pursuing College
Vocational Enrollment
Clearinghouse Reports on College Attendance
Naviance Reports
Timeframe for Implementation:
2016-17

Target Area of Improvement: Careers - Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3d: Career Awareness, Education Plan, Career, and Guidance

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Career Awareness <br> 1. All students will participate in career awareness. <br> A. Students will participate in interest surveys to identify career interests. <br> B. Students will be provided with connected curriculum opportunities. <br> C. Students will have the opportunities to listen and learn from guest speakers. <br> D. Students will participate in a variety of study trips connected to the curriculum. <br> E. Students will participate in various economical, hands-on activities through Junior Achievement (i.e. All $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade students will participate in a "Reverse Job Shadow Day.") <br> F. Students will receive "Student Success Mini Magazines" from Learn More Resource Center. <br> G. Students will be given the opportunity to join after-school clubs involving career based activities, such as: photography, cooking, science, gardening, computer, etc. <br> H. All students will utilize Naviance's online portfolio and Google Sites for College and Career Planning. <br> I. All $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students will participate in Reality Store. <br> 2. All students will participate in College Go Activities. <br> 3. All students will have college and career expectations. <br> 4. All $4^{\text {th }}$ graders will tour the high school for career pathway and early college planning. <br> A. Daily college and career conversation. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Home School Coordinator <br> -Community Volunteers <br> -Club Sponsors <br> -Technology Department <br> -Guidance Counselors | -Lesson Plans <br> -Study Trip Forms <br> -Interests Surveys <br> -Eighth Grade <br> Exit Survey <br> -High School <br> Exit Survey <br> -Skyward <br> Monitoring <br> -Parent/Teacher <br> Meeting Attendance | -School Buses <br> -Speakers <br> -Extra-curricular Clubs <br> -Career Interest <br> Surveys <br> -Community <br> Members/Business <br> Community <br> -Learn More Web site <br> -Time for Planning, <br> Coordinating and <br> Scheduling <br> -Junior Achievement Inc. <br> -College Go Activities <br> - Naviance <br> - Success Period |

## ACTIONS

Intervention: Career Exploration

1. All students will participate in career exploration.
A. All students in Middle School will participate in:
-Self Awareness: Students assess personal skills, abilities and aptitudes, and personal strengths and weaknesses as they relate to career exploration.
-Career Research: Students use print, electronic, and live career resources to develop a foundation of personal career data.
-Career Strategy: Students apply knowledge gained from individual assessments to a comprehensive set of goals and an individual career plan.
-Workplace Expectations \& School to Career Transition: Students relate the importance of workplace expectations to career development.
-Lifelong Learning: Students relate the importance of lifelong learning to career success and recognize that each of the following proficiencies must be continually updated.
B. All $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students in Middle School will participate in PSAT Assessment.
-College and Career Readiness Benchmarks are studied.
-Student challenges are reviewed in item analysis.
-Students and parents participate in a workshop on PSAT Student Reports.
-Students will link College Board and Khan Academy accounts for an individualized plan to advance achievement.
C. Students will participate in exploratory, middle level, related arts classes.
D. All Middle School students will participate in local college campus visits.
-Each middle grade visits 1-2 local college campuses.
E. All $6^{\text {th }}$ graders create a graduation plan that follows them.
F. All $8^{\text {th }}$ graders participate in High School Transition Programs and Activities (see 3e Citizenship).
2. All students will utilize Naviance's online portfolio and Google Sites for College and Career Planning.
-All High School students participate in Success Period for one hour weekly.
-High School students have opportunities to attend college visits and college fairs.

## SCHEDULE

2012-2017
-Le School Couns -Middle School Principals -Middle School Teachers

## MONITORING

-Interests Survey -Oral Presentations -Job
Application/Resume -Career Portfolio

- Eighth Grade

Exit Survey
-PSAT/SAT Data
-Khan Academy

## RESOURCES

-Google Apps
-Internet Access
-Curriculum Guides
-College Board Website-
Messenger
-Parent Workshop
-Ready NWI (One
Region One Vision)
-College Acceleration
Network (CAN)
-Transportation
Department
-Local College
Campuses
-Graduation Plan
-High School Transition
Activities
-Naviance
-Success Period
-Employer Expectations
Poster
-Khan Academy

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: School to Career Planning/Preparation <br> 1. All students will participate in school to career planning preparation. <br> A. All students will attend a Preparing for College and Careers freshman transition course to pursue career exploration and to motivate students to take ownership of their futures. <br> -Hobart High School Career Pathway Guide <br> -Students will participate in I AM READY career opportunities through READY NWI and CAN. <br> -All students will engage in Work One Skills identified NWI Employers. <br> -All students will have an opportunity for a work ethic certificate. <br> -All students have college and career curriculum once weekly. <br> B. All students in High School will participate in College Board Assessments (PSAT/SAT). <br> -College and Career Readiness Benchmarks are studied. <br> -Student sill challenges are reviewed in item analysis. <br> -Students and parents participate in a workshop on PSAT/SAT Student Reports. <br> C. All students will participate in WorkKeys for career readiness (freshman baseline). <br> D. Work-based Learning (WBL) - Students will have an opportunity to gain a further understanding of their career choices and the daily activities related to those occupations while interacting with professionals. <br> E. Career and Technical Education - Students will have an opportunity to enroll in Career and Technical Education classes which will provide a deeper understanding of their career interest and possibly lead to certification in their desired field. <br> F. College Credit/Concurrent Enrollment - Students will enroll in high school classes which allow them to receive credit in post secondary programs which have an articulation/dual enrollment agreement. <br> G. Students will participate in college campus visits. <br> H. Students and parents are invited to participate in financial planning for college. <br> -Financial Aid Night <br> -FAFSA Completion Events <br> 2. All students will utilize Naviance's online portfolio and Google Sites for College and Career planning. <br> -Students will create a digital portfolio | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Counselors and <br> Principals <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -High School Teachers <br> -Career Liaison Coordinator <br> -Office of Student Placement <br> -College Information <br> Exploration Teacher | -WBL Data <br> -Career and Technical <br> Education Data <br> -Articulation/Dual <br> Credit Data <br> - High School <br> (Senior) Exit Survey <br> -PSAT/SAT <br> -WorkKeys Data <br> -College <br> Credit/Concurrent <br> Enrollment participation <br> -Work One Skill <br> Winners | -College and Careers <br> -Preparing for College <br> -Curriculum That Meets the <br> Standards With a <br> 10 -year Education and Career <br> Plan <br> -Professional <br> Development for Hobart <br> High School Teachers <br> -Career Pathway Guide <br> -Career and Technical <br> Education View Books <br> -Internships <br> -Post-secondary <br> Partnerships <br> -College Credit/Concurrent <br> Enrollment classes <br> -Dropout <br> Consequences <br> -DVD-Test Taking <br> Strategies for Students <br> -College Board Web Site <br> -District Web Site <br> -Messenger <br> -Parent Workshop <br> -READY NWI <br> - College Acceleration Network <br> (CAN) <br> -Transportation Department <br> -Local College Campuses <br> -ACT WorkKeys <br> -Work One Skill posters <br> - I AM READY Web Site <br> -Parent workshops <br> -Articulation agreements with colleges and universities <br> -Naviance <br> -Work Ethic Certificate <br> -Google Apps <br> -Digital Portfolio <br> -ISM College Planning |

## ACTIONS

Intervention: Comprehensive Guidance and Counseling

1. All students will participate in comprehensive guidance and counseling.
A. Students will be provided a Guidance Advocate who will do the following:
-Monitor academic progress
-Assist in the College and Career Readiness Planning.
B. Students will complete an education development plan which includes:
-College Board Student Reports
-Career interest inventory/Learning styles assessment
-Attend a career Reality Store
-Complete a 21 st Century Scholars application
-Visit learnmoreindiana.org to explore careers and college
-Complete the "Real World" activity in PREP Resource Guide
-Visited DriveOfYourLife.org to explore careers
-Be invited and attend transition and orientation programs.
-Sign up for Core 40 and Academic Honors courses
-Pass ISTEP+/ECA
-Have an opportunity to visit an area career center
-Take the PSAT/SAT and/or ASVAB
-Be invited to attend a college fair and visit a college
campus/training program
-Participate in job shadowing
-If eligible, apply for athletic eligibility to the NCAA Clearinghouse or NAIA
-Read Next Indiana: A Guide to Life after High School
-When applicable, submit college or training program applications -Be invited to attend the financial aid program
-Have an opportunity to submit a FAFSA application
-When applicable, submit applications for merit-based scholarships
-Develop a budget for postsecondary education
-Be invited to attend College Goal Sunday
-Discuss future plans with counselor/family using the Career
Pathway Guide
-"Reverse Job Shadow Day" for all $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade students
-Reality Store for all $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students

## SCHEDULE

2012-2017
RESPON
-Lead: Guidance Counselors -Office of Student Placement -Central Office Administrators
Principals
-Director of Porter County
Career and Technical Education
-Students
-Parents

| MONITORING |
| :--- |
| -(Senior) Exit Survey |
| -Education Development |
| Plan |
| -Graduation Rate |
| -PSAT/ SAT/ASVAB |
| Data |
| -Graduates Pursuing |
| College |
| -Vocational Enrollment |

RESOURCES
-AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys
-Career Pathway Guide
-Scholarship Night and Catalog
-Financial Aid Program
-College or Training
Program Applications
-Next Indiana: A Guide to
Life after High School
-College Campus or
Training Program
-Tours and College Fair
-PSAT/SAT, and/or
ASVAB Assessment
-Porter County Career and Technical Center
-Job Shadowing
Opportunities
-Master Schedule and
Scheduling
-High School
Orientation Program
-DriveOfYourLife.org to
Explore Careers
-"Real World" Activity in
PREP Guide
-Learn More Web Site

- learnmoreindiana.org to

Explore Careers/College
-21st Century Scholars
Application
-Reality Store
-WorkKeys
-Success Period
-CCR Class
-Office of Student
Placement

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Comprehensive Guidance and Counseling (continued) <br> C. Guidance Support Team will include: <br> -Academic Coach (Teacher), Parents/Guardians, Sponsor/Coach, Community Mentors (Elementary, Secondary, and Academic Mentors) <br> 2. All students will utilize Naviance's online portfolio and Google Sites for College and Career Planning. <br> -Students will create a digital portfolio. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Guidance Counselors <br> -Office of Student Placement <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> Principals <br> -Director of Porter County <br> Career and Technical Education <br> -Students <br> -Parents |  | -College Board Reports (PSAT/SAT) <br> -WorkKeys <br> -Success Period <br> -CCR Class <br> -Office of Student <br> Placement <br> -Google Apps <br> -Digital Portfolio <br> -Skyward <br> -READY NWI <br> -CAN <br> -Parent and Student <br> Workshops <br> -Messenger <br> -District Web Site <br> -Community Members <br> -Naviance |
| Intervention: Clubs and Extra-Curricular <br> 1. Students will participate in clubs and extracurricular activities <br> A. Academic Support <br> B. Academic Enrichment <br> C. Athletics <br> D. Performing Arts | 2014-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Club Participation -Extra-curricular participation | -Study Tables <br> -ISTEP Boost <br> -Lego Robotics <br> -Academic Super Bowls <br> -Yearbook Publishing <br> -Broadcasting <br> -Athletics <br> -Performing Arts <br> -3-D Printing <br> -App Development <br> -Hour of Code website <br> -Junior Achievement |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Small Learning Communities/Career Academies <br> 1. Students will participate in small learning communities/career academies through <br> A. Freshman Academy <br> B. PLTW Pre-Engineering and Technology <br> C. PLTW Biomedical Sciences <br> D. Cisco Academy <br> E. EMS Training Institute <br> F. Career and Technical Education <br> (Vocational) <br> G. Aspire School [HHS] <br> H. The Academy of Success [HMS] <br> I. High Ability Programs (HA) <br> J. Electives in the Career Pathway Guide <br> K. College Credit/Dual Credit <br> L. Mighty Acorns (Elementary) <br> M. Earth Force (MS) <br> N. Junior Achievement | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Guidance Counselors and Administration <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -PLTW Teachers <br> -Cisco Teachers <br> -Vocational Teachers <br> -Alternative School Teachers <br> -HA Coordinator/Teachers <br> -Freshman Academy Teachers <br> -EMS Teacher <br> -Middle School Teachers | -End of Course <br> Assessments <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -(Senior) Exit Survey <br> - Middle School <br> (Eighth) Exit Survey <br> -Graduates Pursuing <br> College <br> -Vocational Enrollment | -PLTW Curriculum and Training -PLTW Advisory Board -Porter County Career and Technical Education Course Offerings and Tours -Director of Aspire School (High School Alternative School) and Curriculum <br> -The Academy of Success (HMS) <br> -Master Schedule <br> -HA Curriculum <br> -Cisco Curriculum <br> -Career Pathway Guide Resources <br> -St. Mary Medical <br> -High Ability <br> Curriculum <br> -Concurrent (Dual <br> Credit) Colleges <br> -Skyward |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Community Education <br> 1. Community/Parents/and guardians will develop career education knowledge. <br> A. Learn More Web site -- Parent Checklists <br> B. District Website -- Student/Parent Learning Center and College and Careers <br> C. Financial Planning Workshops <br> -529 Plans started at elementary school <br> -FAFSA Events <br> -Financial Aid Night <br> -FAFSA Completion <br> -Early Financial Planning <br> D. Student/Parent Meetings -- Scheduling/Academic <br> Progress/Harmony <br> E. Community Partnerships -- Mentoring/Internships/Service <br> Opportunities <br> F. Building Brickies <br> G. Student/Parent College and Career Workshops (College Board and PSAT/SAT) and Concurrent/Dual/Early Credit) <br> H. Reality Store (MS) <br> I. Naviance - Monitoring College and Career Planning <br> J. Office of Student Placement | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators and Guidance Counselors -Office of Student Placement -College Information Exploration Teacher -Central Office Administrators <br> -Career Liaison Coordinator <br> -Technology Department <br> -Building Brickies Educator | -Web site <br> -Skyward <br> -Parent/Teacher <br> Conference Attendance <br> -Community <br> Partnerships Data <br> -Parent Workshop <br> Attendance | -Learn More Web site <br> -District Web site <br> -Parent <br> Workshops <br> -Department <br> -Business/Community <br> Partnerships <br> -Legacy Foundation <br> -Lake County Parent as <br> Teachers <br> -Community Members <br> -Naviance <br> -Skyward <br> -Office of Student <br> Placement <br> -ISM <br> -Promise Indiana <br> -Hobart Chamber |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Community Partnerships <br> 1. The district encourages community groups to collaborate with schools to support student learning. <br> A. The district and the City of Hobart work to support student learning. <br> -The district has a Criminal Justice partnership with Hobart Police Department. <br> -The district has an Emergency Response Service partnership with St. Mary Medical Center. <br> B. The district and business leaders support student learning. <br> -The middle school and business leaders sponsor a Reality Store. <br> -The district has a Career Liaison Coordinator who implements work study and Work-based Learning (WBL) academic internships with local businesses. <br> -The district has a Project Lead the Way (PLTW) Pre-engineering and technology advisory board made up of local engineers and the local universities to support the students in the program. <br> -The district has a PLTW/Bio Medical Science advisory board that includes St. Mary Medical Center to support the students in the program. <br> -The Community Foundation partners with the school to support student learning through grants and tutoring programs. <br> -The School City of Hobart Educational Foundation supports student learning through awarding grants for innovative projects and programs, and supports scholarships. <br> -The Hobart Chamber of Commerce supports scholarships. <br> C. The district participates with community-based organizations to support student learning. <br> -Kiwanis promotes B.U.G., $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade dictionaries, Builder's Club, Key Club, and supports scholarships <br> -Tri Kappa supports scholarships and supply funds for student assistance programs. <br> -Hobart Food Pantry provides service learning opportunities. <br> -Legacy provides grants through Maria Reiner for students in performing arts to provide special performances for senior citizens <br> -VFW provides patriotic essay writing contest (Patriot's Pen) | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Hobart Police Department H.P.D. <br> -School Resource Officer <br> -Mayor <br> -Police Chief <br> -Fire Chief <br> -Lead: Career Liaison <br> -PLTW Advisory Boards <br> -President, School City of <br> Hobart Educational Foundation <br> -VFW | -Annual Review of School Resource Officer and H.P.D. <br> -Discipline Data <br> -Work Study and <br> WBL Enrollment <br> -Service Learning <br> Records <br> -Grant Awards by the <br> Hobart Community <br> Foundation <br> -Scholarships by <br> Hobart Chamber of <br> Commerce <br> -Grant Awards by the <br> School City of Hobart <br> Educational Foundation <br> -B.U.G. participation <br> -READY NWI <br> attendance | -Job Description of the <br> School Resource <br> Officer <br> -Master Schedule <br> -City of Hobart <br> -Hobart Police <br> Department <br> -Hobart Fire Department <br> -Hobart Chamber of <br> Commerce <br> -Project Lead the Way <br> (PLTW) Advisory <br> Boards <br> -School City of Hobart <br> Educational Foundation <br> -Department of <br> Workforce <br> Development <br> -Business Community <br> -District Web site <br> -Legacy Foundation <br> -Tri Kappa <br> -St. Mary's Hospital <br> -Hobart Educational <br> Foundation <br> -The Community <br> Foundation <br> -READY NWI <br> -Kiwanis <br> -Community preschool and childcare providers -Hobart Food Pantry -CAN <br> -VFW |

Intervention: Community Partnerships, continued
D. Community daycare, childcare, preschools along with School City
of Hobart kindergarten teachers will unite for "Ready Set Go!" to
collaborate on school readiness.
E. The District participates in READY NWI to engage in college and career readiness.
F. Every Fifteen Minutes (HHS)

## Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3e: Citizenship

## Improvement Goal:

All students will develop an understanding and commitment to the democratic ideals of human dignity, justice and equality by focusing on productive citizenship in a democratic society.

## Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

- All students will demonstrate behavior expectations using $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills.
- All students will respect diversity while becoming effective and participating members of a democracy.
- All students will have an opportunity to participate in community service activities to experience personal, intellectual, and social growth.
- All students will practice digital citizenship through ethical and responsible use of technology systems, information, and software.


## Target Participants:

All students in the School City of Hobart
Students(grades 5-12 substance abuse; Too Good for Drugs and Violence Program)
Reproductive and Family Planning (grades 4-12)

## Interventions:

All students will develop positive personal and interpersonal skills.
All students will develop positive leadership skills, ethics, school connectedness, and accountability.
All students will develop digital citizenship and practice acceptable technology usage.
All students will learn necessary strategies to keep themselves safe and healthy.
The school community will collaborate to provide a safe and secure facility.

## Student Support:

All students will participate in RtI Tiers based on behavior.

## Evaluation:

| AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys | Drug and Alcohol Surveys |
| :--- | :--- |
| Discipline Data | Learn More Indiana Student Surveys |
| Leadership Survey | Pivot Early Warning System |
| Gallup Data |  |

## Timeframe for Implementation:

2016-17

## Target Area of Improvement: Citizenship - Teaching and Learning Action Plan \#3e: Citizenship: Lifeskills, Diversity, Community Service, and Ethics

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills <br> 1. All students will develop positive personal and interpersonal Skills. <br> A. Daily practice and usage of $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills (in classroom management and curriculum). <br> -Report card $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskill Rubrics. <br> B. Incorporate Lifeskills and Guiding Principles in student government, athletics, clubs, and other activities such as YMCA programs, Girl Scouts and Boy Scouts. <br> C. Positive Behavior Intervention and Support System (PBIS)Students will participate in PBIS to promote positive behavior at school where all participants are encouraged daily to make positive choices. <br> 1. Elementary students demonstrate behavior expectations by following the model of be respectful, be responsible, and be safe. <br> 2. Middle School students demonstrate behavior expectations by following the model of be respectful, be responsible, and be safe. <br> 3. High School students demonstrate behavior expectations by following the Work Ethic Certification. <br> D. Opportunities to practice Employer Expectations in Work One poster. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> -All Staff K-12 <br> -Scouts <br> -Youth Athletic Organizations <br> -Home School Coordinator <br> -Counselors <br> -Citizenship Goal Chairs <br> -YMCA | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | $-21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills <br> Rubrics from Report <br> Cards <br> -Work One Poster <br> -A.M. Announcements, PA, Displays <br> -Incentives <br> -Professional <br> Development for <br>  <br> Community <br> -Student Handbook <br> -Referral Forms <br> -PBIS Training <br> -District Web site <br> -Anti-bullying Web site <br> -Books <br> -Videos <br> -Citizenship Banners <br> -PBIS <br> -Lucky Lessons by <br> Nancy Starewicz <br> -JROTC <br> -Guiding Principles <br> -Brickies to Brag About <br> -Workforce Expectation <br> Workbook |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills Continued... <br> D. Incorporate21st Century Lifeskills in student discipline: <br> - MOP Grades for K-12 <br> We teach, model, and practice these questions so that students can "mop up" inappropriate behavior. <br> Me - Did or could this behavior hurt me or get me in trouble? <br> Others - Did or could this behavior hurt others or get others in trouble? Property - Did or could this hurt somebody's property? <br> - 4 A's for Grades K-5 <br> ADMIT - Write or tell me what you did wrong. APOLOGIZE - <br> Write or tell me how you are going to say that you are sorry. <br> ACCEPT - Tell how you will accept the consequences and take responsibility for your actions. AMENDS- Tell how you will fix the problem or behavior. <br> -Code of Conduct (student handbooks) K-12 | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> -All Staff K-12 <br> -Scouts <br> -Youth Athletic Organizations <br> -Home School Coordinator <br> -Counselors <br> -Citizenship Goal Chairs <br> -YMCA | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | $-21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills <br> Rubrics from Report <br> Cards <br> -Work One Poster <br> -A.M. Announcements, PA, Displays <br> -Incentives <br> -Professional <br> Development for <br>  <br> Community <br> -Student Handbook <br> -Referral Forms <br> -PBIS Training <br> -District Web site <br> -Anti-bullying Web site <br> -Books <br> -Videos <br> -Citizenship Banners <br> -Lucky Lessons by <br> Nancy Starewicz <br> -Brickies to Brag About <br> -Workforce Expectation Workbook |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills Continued <br> E. Leadership: Brickie Leaders <br> Leadership-students are challenged to LEAD by example and be a catalyst for change. <br> Empathy-students are asked to think beyond what is good for "me", but rather what is good for "our school". <br> Accountability-students are expected to be accountable for their actions. <br> Discussion-students are focused on breaking down barriers and bringing social issues out into the open. <br> F. Natural Helpers <br> G. Focus attention on $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills in newsletters. <br> H. Integrate $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills at home and in the community. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators <br> -All Staff K-12 <br> -YMCA <br> -Scouts <br> -Youth Athletic Organizations <br> -Home School Coordinator <br> -Counselors <br> -Citizenship Goal Chairs | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | -Professional <br> Development for <br>  <br> Community <br> Organizations <br> -MOP Forms <br> -District Newsletter <br> -The First Days of <br> School by Wong <br> -Books and Videos <br> Building Social Skills <br> (Available for <br> Checkout) <br> -Student Handbook <br> -Referral Forms <br> -PBIS Training <br> -District Web site <br> -Anti-bullying Web site <br> -Citizenship Banners <br> -Work One Poster <br> -Brickies to Brag About <br> -Workforce Expectation <br> Workbook |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills - Home School Coordinator Grade Level Programs <br> I. All students will develop positive personal and interpersonal skills through $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Lifeskills. <br> - Students will participate in classroom presentation/discussions: <br> K-5: $\quad$ Bullying Prevention <br> Kindergarten: <br> Try and Stick with It <br> No More Hitting for Little Hamster <br> Reach Out and Give <br> Accept and Value Each Person <br> Bullying Prevention Presentation <br> Be Polite and Kind <br> Cool Down and Work Through Anger <br> Ruby's Studio <br> 1st grade: Tattling Vs. Telling <br> Tippy Learns About Touch or Ruby's Studio <br> 7 Habits of Happy Kids- 7 weeks <br> 2nd grade: Free the Horses <br> 3rd grade: Chrissa Stands Strong- 2 lessons <br> Too Good for Violence by Mendez Foundation-7 weeks <br> 4th grade: Broken Toy <br> Too Good for Violence-6 weeks <br> Martin Luther King's Fighting Fair <br> Why Try-4 weeks <br> 5th grade: Gum in My Hair <br> Too Good for Violence- 6 weeks <br> Why Try- 5 weeks | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Home School <br> Coordinators/Counselors <br> -PE/Health Teachers <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -CSHAC Committee <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys | -Home School <br> Coordinator <br> /Counselors <br> -Parent <br> Communication <br> -Grade Level <br> Curriculum for <br> Programs with <br> Videos and <br> Books |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills - Home School Coordinator Grade Level Programs (continued) <br> $\bullet 5^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Grade: Healthy Choice Decision Making Curriculum ( SCOH website) <br> -Drug Education Program <br> -Bullying <br> -Internet Safety/Digital Citizenship/Digital Portfolios <br> -Sex Education/At-Risk Behavior <br> -Why Try <br> -At Risk Students (Using ACT Engage) <br> -Healthy Habits <br> -Gang Education <br> -CREW Time ( $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ Grade) | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Home School <br> Coordinators/Counselors <br> -PE/Health Teachers <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -CSHAC Committee <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Student Health Coordinator <br> -Director of Curriculum <br> -SROs <br> -Hobart Police Department <br> -Director of School Safety | -Leadership Surveys -Observable Student Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic <br> Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | -Healthy Choice <br> Decision Making Curriculum: <br> -Drug Education Program <br> -Bullying <br> -Internet Safety/Digital <br> Citizenship/Digital <br> Portfolios <br> -Sex Education/At-Risk Behavior <br> -Why Try <br> -At Risk Students <br> -Healthy Habits <br> -Lake County Substance <br> Abuse Council <br> -Too Good for Drugs and Violence <br> -US Attorney's Office |


| Intervention: Positive Personal and Interpersonal Skills - Project Wisdom <br> J. Positive Leadership Skills: All students will develop positive leadership skills, ethics, school connectedness, and accountability. <br> 1. Students will reflect upon the meaning of civic and personal values and the application of those values in their daily lives by listening to Project Wisdom messages which help them understand that any true success is rooted in respectful, responsible, and caring behaviors. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Principals | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys | -PA System <br> -Project Wisdom <br> Resource Manual <br> -Guest Readers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.Students will commit to kindness and compassion by pledging <br> Rachel's Challenge <br> -4th/5th grades Rachel's Story <br> -6th/9th grades Rachel's Challenge <br> -7 th $/ 10$ th grades Chain Reaction <br> -8th/11th grades Rachel's Legacy <br> -12th Service Project <br> -Imagine Project/Expressive Writing <br> -5 Minute Rachel's Challenge Daily Activities <br> -Someone You Should Know <br> -National Kindness Awards | 2013-2017 | - Lead: Counselors | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic <br> Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments -Pivot Early Warning System | -Rachel's Challenge Curriculum -5 Minute Daily Rachel's Challenge Activities <br> -SCOH Website <br> -Harmony <br> -Imagine <br> Project/Expressive <br> Writing <br> -Someone You Should <br> Know <br> -National Kindness <br> Awards |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: - Positive Leadership Skills - Leadership and Mentor Programs (continued) <br> 3. Parents As Teachers (PAT)/Building Brickies- Birth to Kindergarten Transition <br> A. Parents and children will participate in PAT/Building Brickies -Home visits with a parent educator <br> -Early childhood play groups <br> -Early childhood screenings <br> -Transition to Kindergarten Program <br> -Parent Network <br> -Ready Set Go (Partnership with Preschool) <br> 4. Kindergarten to $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade Transition <br> A. Kindergarteners will participate in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade transition activities. -Future Elementary School visits to $1^{\text {st }}$ grade. <br> -Ice cream socials at Future Elementary Schools. <br> -Summer Readiness Packets <br> 5. $8^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Transition <br> -Brickie Ambassadors (BA) - mentors | 2012-2017 | -PAT/ Building Brickies Coordinator <br> -PAT/ Building Brickies Educators <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Parent Educators <br> -Kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade Teachers | -PAT/ Building Brickies participation <br> -Attendance at transition events -BA | -PAT/Building Brickies (District Web Site) <br> -Kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grade teachers <br> -Ice cream socials <br> -Messenger <br> -District Web Site -BA |

## ACTIONS

Intervention: - Positive Leadership Skills $-8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Transition
6. All students will develop positive leadership skills, ethics, school connectedness, and accountability.
A. Students, as 8th graders, will participate in high school readiness activities including the following:
-Attend a Freshmen Orientation with parents at the high school that includes:
Meet the Counselors/Principals, Core 40 - Career Pathway
Presentation, Attendance and Schedule Presentation, Extracurricular and Co-Curricular Presentation
-Be assigned a Brickie Ambassador (upperclassman mentor)
-Attend a class schedule meeting with their parent and a high school counselor to begin their four year plan of study
B. Students as freshmen will participate in an interactive orientation day called Brickie Rush to welcome them to their new campus, introduce them to their Brickie Ambassadors to set the transition program in motion.
-Students will participate in presentations including:
Curriculum. How hard is it at the high school? What is a credit?
How much homework do they assign? What is college and career readiness?
Facilities. What do I do if I get lost? Will my locker be on one side of the school and my classes on the other side? Where are the restrooms?
Safety and Discipline. Is the high school safe? Is there really a drug problem at the high school? What happens if I'm late to class?
Teachers, Counselors, and Administrators. Who are the teachers?
Is Mr. or Mrs. X really mean? Will my counselor help me decide about electives?
General Transition Concerns. What's the food like? How much is lunch? How many times can I be absent each grading period? How is the high school like the middle school?
-A year with Brickie Ambassadors begins with an interactive freshman orientation at the start of the school year. An assembly takes students through a series of fun, whole group activities that will have participants laughing and simply enjoying their first minutes of high school.

2012-2017

## SCHEDULE RESPONSIBILITIES

-Central Office Administrators
-Principals
-Counselors

- Transportation Department
- Food Services
- Students
- Parents
- Brickie Ambassadors


## MONITORING

-Skyward Scheduling
-Naviance College and
Career Ready
Assessment
-PBR Data
-Work Ready Award
Data
-Stellar Staff Data
-Work Ethic Certification
-Drug and Alcohol
Surveys
-Gallup Data

- Learn More Indiana

Surveys
-Threat Assessments
-Pivot Early Warning
System

## RESOURCES

-Brickie Ambassadors Consultant and Training for Students, Teachers, and Parents -8th Grade Orientation -I AM READY Videos
-Skyward
-Naviance
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l}\hline \text { ACTIONS } & \text { SCHEDULE } & \text { RESPONSIBILITIES } & \text { MONITORING } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { High School Transition Continued... } \\ \text {-Brickie Ambassadors will lead their freshmen through a series of } \\ \text { fun, positive activities designed to help them all get to know each } \\ \text { other as well as learn important campus information. } \\ \text {-The Brickie Ambassadors orientation day concludes with a closing } \\ \text { assembly in which the entire freshman class and their BA end the } \\ \text { day hearing a powerful message that encourages them to think about } \\ \text { the choices they make during their years in high school. } \\ \text { C. Students will participate in activities beyond orientation for }\end{array} & & \text {-Central Office Administrators } & \text {-Leadership Surveys } & \text {-Freshman Curriculum } \\ \text { support throughout the year. } & & \text {-Observable Student } \\ \text { that Meets the } \\ \text {-Academic Follow Ups give BA the opportunity to visit freshmen } \\ \text { classes several times throughout the year. During these visits, BA } \\ \text { teach structured lessons on topics such as USING YOUR }\end{array}\right)$

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Digital Citizenship <br> 1. All students will develop digital citizenship and practice responsible technology usage. <br> A. Students will develop digital citizenship through ethical and responsible use of technology systems, information, and software. <br> -Etiquette- Students will follow the School City of Hobart's Student Technology Network and Responsible Use Policy (RUP) for appropriate technology use. <br> -Students will participate in a curriculum for internet safety. <br> -Students will create digital portfolios. <br> -Staff will model appropriate uses of technology in and out of classroom. <br> B. Students will access information, store, and share information in a responsible manner. <br> -Responsibility- Students will assume electronic responsibility for actions and deeds. <br> -Students will abide by the school's codes of conduct as they relate to plagiarism, fair use and copyright laws. <br> -Students will learn how to safe guard their electronic data. (i.e.; firewalls, off-site storage, electronic backups, virus protection). <br> C. Students will be given opportunities to communicate in different fashions (i.e.; web sites, blogs, threaded discussions, bulletin boards, and use course management systems like Google Classroom). <br> -Provide time for students to communicate using technology tools. <br> -Students will work together on technology projects. <br> -Students have one-to-one technology. <br> D. Students will identify the dangers of identity theft and how to protect themselves electronically. <br> -Security- Electronic precautions will be taken to guarantee safety. <br> -Parents and students will be given resources to learn the proper use of social networks and cyber bullying | 2012-2017 | -Central Office Administrators <br> - Director of Technology <br> -Director of Informational <br> Technology Services <br> -Technology Staff <br> -Administrators <br> -All staff K-12 <br> -Home School Coordinators <br> - SROs <br> -Media Teachers and Aides <br> -Director of School Safety | -Lesson Plans <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -RUP Forms <br> -Skyward <br> -Google Classroom <br> -Troove <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana <br> Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | -NETS-S 2, NETS-T <br> NETS-A <br> -Director of <br> Technology <br> -Director of <br> Information <br> Technology Services <br> -Student Handbook <br> -RUP <br> -RUP Forms <br> -Harmony <br> -Internet Access <br> -Filter/Firewall <br> -Professional <br> Development <br> -District Web Site <br> -SRO Officers <br> -iSafe Curriculum <br> -Learning.com curriculum <br> - Cyber bullying on <br> District Web Site <br> - NetzSmartz <br> -Google Apps <br> -Digital Portfolio <br> -Success Period <br> -Troove |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Strategies to be Safe and Healthy <br> 1. All students will learn necessary strategies to keep themselves safe. <br> A. Home School Coordinator Programs Grades K-5: <br> K-5: $\quad$ Bullying Prevention <br> Kindergarten: <br> Try and Stick with It <br> No More Hitting for Little Hamster <br> Reach Out and Give <br> Accept and Value Each Person <br> Bullying Prevention Presentation <br> Be Polite and Kind <br> Cool Down and Work Through Anger <br> Ruby's Studio <br> 1st grade: Tattling Vs. Telling <br> Tippy Learns About Touch or Ruby's Studio <br> 7 Habits of Happy Kids- 7 weeks <br> 2nd grade: Free the Horses <br> 3rd grade: Chrissa Stands Strong- 2 lessons <br> Too Good for Violence by Mendez Foundation-7 weeks <br> 4th grade: Broken Toy <br> Too Good for Violence-6 weeks <br> Martin Luther King's Fighting Fair <br> Why Try-4 weeks <br> 5th grade: Gum in My Hair <br> Too Good for Violence- 6 weeks <br> Why Try- 5 weeks | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators and Board of School Trustees -Home School Coordinators -Hobart Police Department Officer <br> -School Resource Officer -School Nurses <br> -PE/Health Department Chairs | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Drug Testing Data <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -Student of the Month <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -Skyward <br> -Google Classroom <br> -Troove <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | -Home School Coordinator Programs -Too Good for Drugs and Violence Curriculum -Student Drug Testing Program/Policy and Positive Life Program -Parent Communication -Health Curriculum -Wellness Policy -Dr. Mann Spitler -Board Policy -District Web Site -Healthy Choices Decision Making Curriculum <br> -Messenger <br> -School Safety Tip Line -Every 15 Minutes -PATH <br> -IRED Campaign -Hobart Fire Dept. Fire Safety Program -Grade Level Curriculum for Programs with Videos and Books |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Strategies to be Safe and Healthy (continued) -Kindergarten through 5th grade students will participate in classroom activities such as community circles to problem-solve and gain clarification and support related to their safety. <br> B. Students in grade 5 will participate in Too Good for Drugs and Violence <br> - Too Good for Drugs and Violence Graduation Keynote by Dr. Mann Spitler on Fighting the Addiction Beast. <br> C. A student drug testing program is used to create a safe, drug-free environment for students and to assist in getting help when needed, as well as being a program of deterrence. <br> D. All students will participate in communicable disease education that is appropriate for their grade level. <br> E. Students will participate in curriculum that promotes wellness. <br> F. Students will have an opportunity to participate in a Smoking and Tobacco Education Program. <br> G. Students will participate in the Healthy Choice Decision making Curriculum <br> -Drug Education Program <br> -Bullying <br> - Internet Safety/Digital Citizenship/Digital Portfolio <br> -Sex Education/At-Risk Behavior <br> -Why Try <br> -At Risk Students <br> -Healthy Habits <br> -Nutrition education within cafeteria <br> H .Students will participate in Internet safety curriculum <br> I.. School Safety Tip Line ( 24 hr . availability w/ anonymous reporting) <br> J. "Every 15 Minutes" program for prom <br> K. Bus safety kindergarten program | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Administrators and <br> Board of School Trustees <br> -Home School Coordinators <br> -Hobart Police Department Officer <br> -School Resource Officer <br> -School Nurses <br> -PE/Health Department Chairs <br> -CSHAC <br> -Director of School Safety <br> -Transportation Coordinator <br> -Director of Food Services <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Director of Curriculum <br> -SROs <br> -Hobart Police Department | -Leadership Surveys <br> -Observable Student <br> Behaviors <br> -Referral Form Data <br> -Discipline Data <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder <br> Surveys <br> -Skyward <br> -Google Classroom <br> -Troove <br> -PBR Data <br> -Work Ready Award <br> Data <br> -Stellar Staff Data <br> -Work Ethic Certification <br> -Drug and Alcohol <br> Surveys <br> -Gallup Data <br> - Learn More Indiana Surveys <br> -Threat Assessments <br> -Pivot Early Warning System | -Healthy Choice <br> Decision Making Curriculum: <br> -Drug Education Program <br> -Bullying <br> -Internet Safety/Digital <br> Citizenship/Digital <br> Portfolio <br> -Sex Education/At-Risk Behavior <br> -Why Try <br> -At Risk Students <br> -Healthy Habits <br> -Learning.com Curriculum <br> -Classroom visits by food service staff <br> - NetzSmartz <br> -SCOH District Website for Bullying Prevention <br> -Safe Schools and Poster <br> -Digital Portfolio <br> -Naviance <br> -Skyward <br> -Lake County Substance <br> Abuse Council |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Security <br> 1. The school community will collaborate to provide a safe and secure facility. <br> 2. The school community will partnership with local law enforcement in implementing proactive measures to maintain a safe school environment. <br> A. The Director of School Safety maintains a safe learning environment and works with Safe Schools Committees <br> B. An annual review of the emergency response plan will be performed and followed with state mandated drills: <br> -Storm Drills <br> -Fire Drills <br> -Lockdowns <br> C. Each school will comply with state mandated safety drills. <br> D. An annual review of the Crisis Plan will be performed and followed. <br> E. Table Top Exercises are conducted regularly with staff and crisis teams on a building and district level. <br> F. CPR/AED Training will be conducted annually. <br> G. Presentations on healthy choices (substance abuse, sex education, and internet safety) are done each school year. <br> H. Director of School Safety conducts regular threat assessment meetings with each school. <br> I. Communicate Safety Procedures to Parents via handbook, monthly newsletter, and Phone Tag system as needed. <br> J. All staff members will utilize school entry cards/I.D. badges. <br> K. All visitors will be issued a visitor's pass after the approval following the screening of their driver's license. <br> L. All substitute teachers will wear an ID badge when in the building or on premises. <br> M. A district representative will be trained participate in the Lake County Safe School Commission. <br> N. A district representative will be certified by the IDOE as the district's School Safety Specialist. <br> O. Those wishing to volunteer must pass a limited criminal history check. <br> P. All coaches and community coaches will be trained and will wear ID badge when in the building or on premises. <br> Q. School will use School Guard in conjunction with Hero 911. | 2012-2017 | -Lead: Director of School Safety, -Director of Human Resources and Compliance <br> -Safe Schools Committee <br> -Student Safety Supervisor <br> -School Resource Officers <br> -Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Head Nurse <br> -Primary and Secondary <br> Emergency Response Teachers <br> -Director of School Security <br> -Hobart Police Department | - Director of School Safety <br> -Director of Human <br> Resources and Compliance <br> -Student Safety Supervisor <br> -School Resource Officer -Storm Drill Report -Monthly Fire Drill Report <br> -Notification of Lockdown <br> -Annual Review of CPR/AED -Review of Handbooks and Parent Signature -Security Cameras <br> -Visitor Logs <br> -Annual School Safety Specialist Certification <br> -Table Top Exercises <br> -Threat Assessment Checklists <br> -Safe Schools <br> -Participation Annual Notices | -Emergency Response <br> Plan <br> -Crisis Plan <br> -CPR/AED Training <br> -Student Handbooks <br> -Newsletters <br> -Messenger <br> -Entry Cards/I.D. <br> Badges <br> -Visitor Badges <br> -Lake County Safe <br> School Commission <br> -Indiana Safe School <br> Academy <br> -Volunteer Software <br> -Table Top Exercises <br> -Threat Assessment <br> Checklists <br> - Rem4Ed Software <br> -Safe Schools <br> -Annual Notices <br> -Substitute Training <br> -Coach and Community <br> Coach Training <br> -School Guard/Hero 911 |



# STANDARD 4 

## Resources and

# Support Systems Action Plan 

## Standard 4: Resources and Support Systems

## Improvement Goal:

All students' achievement is ensured by providing resources and services necessary to support success.

## Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

## Vision for Student Learning

The School City of Hobart Community will foster intellectual curiosity, natural abilities, critical thinking, and literacy in students while developing respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

## Mission

The primary mission of the School City of Hobart and the Board of School Trustees is to continually provide effective community schools.
A. Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood
B. Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students
C. Our Schools Are Community Schools
D. Our Schools Are Committed to Success

## Teaching and Learning Goals

1. All students will increase academic achievement with a specific focus on language arts and mathematics across the curriculum.
2. All students will read and comprehend a variety of genres (types) and informational text, as well as communicate ideas through writing.
3. All students will use mathematical skills to think critically and apply knowledge and reason to solve problems.
4. All students will demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary for managing the dual role of family member and wage earner by gaining employment in a high-skill career or by continuing education at the post-secondary level.
5. All students will develop an understanding and commitment to the democratic ideals of human dignity, justice and equality by focusing on productive citizenship in a democratic society.

## Target Participants

School City of Hobart Stakeholders:
Students
Parents/Guardians
Faculty
Staff
Community Members
Civic Organizations
Business Community

## Strategies:

The district employs a Director of Human Resources and Compliance who helps coordinate the employment and training of employees.
The district recruits, employs, and mentors qualified professional staff.
Support staff, including bus drivers, custodians, and food service employees, are hired according to qualifications and training.
The district maintains an evaluation system for classified and certified staff that provides opportunities for continuous professional development.
The district employs a Business Manager who follows the state's funding formula and recommends appropriations that are representative of revenue received and plans a budget to achieve the implementation of the District Strategic Plan.
The district employs a Director of Support Services who leads site and facility maintenance in order to provide a safe, clean, and comfortable learning environment.
The district employs a Director of Food Services to provide a healthy menu of nutrition for students.
The district employs a Transportation Coordinator and Bus Mechanical staff to provide safe transport to and from school, as well as other school sponsored events.
The City of Hobart partners with the district to provide personnel for safety and learning opportunities.
The school community will collaborate to provide a safe and secure facility.
Central Office Administrators and the Director of Technology provide leadership and development for technology and media resources across the district.
The district employs a Director of Technology and Director of Information Technology Services who work with to provide leadership for technology.
The district employs a Coordinator of Student Health Services to assist the Central Office with ensuring proper health and safety measures, as well as partner with St. Mary's Medical Center for the Brickie Community Health Clinic.

Standard 4: Resources and Support Systems

## Evaluation:

Highly Qualified Teachers
Evaluations of all Faculty and Staff Members
Professional Development Enrollment
State Audit
Cash Flow
Safety Inspections
10 Year Capital Project Plan Review
Maintenance and Grounds Inspections
HVAC Maintenance
3 Year Technology Plan Review
Technology Work Order Summary
Technology Network Report Assessment
Utilization Reports
Student Media Fair Participation
Harmony Usage (Parent Portal)
Harmony
Bus Inspection Reports
CPR/AED Training Log
Sex Ed/HIV/Aids Curriculum
Wellness Policy Implementation Checklists
Timeframe for Implementation:
2012-2016

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Employment <br> 1. The district employs a Director of Human Resources and Compliance (HRC) who helps coordinate the employment and training of employees. <br> 2. The district recruits, employs, and mentors qualified professional staff. <br> A. Central Office Administrators and principals meet regularly to ensure appropriate staffing meets the needs of students and are projected in the budget. <br> -Demographic studies are conducted and matched with accurate Average Daily Membership (ADM) counts. <br> -Harmony Student Management System is used for projections and master scheduling. <br> -Spreadsheet enrollment analysis is regularly updated at the elementary levels for proper staffing. <br> -Curriculum and RTI changes are reviewed continuously. <br> -Projected staff needs, enrollment projections, and curriculum and RTI needs are matched to the budget appropriations. <br> B. Positions are posted on the Indiana Department of Education Web site, the School City of Hobart Web site, and colleges and universities throughout the state. <br> -All applicants apply online (Applitrack) with highly qualified credentials as a part of the application process. <br> C. Certified staff assignments are based on credentials and certification that meet state and federal laws and regulations. <br> D. All newly licensed teachers are assigned a mentor for a 1 year period. <br> E. All teachers are a part of professional learning communities. <br> F. All new employees are provided an induction program. <br> -District Philosophy <br> -Blood Borne Pathogens <br> -Hazardous Communication <br> -Forms, Procedures, School Safety <br> -Curriculum, Assessment, AdvancED/SIP <br> -Professional Development from AdvancED/SIP Plans | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Director of Human Resources and Compliance -Central Office Administration Administrators <br> -Business Manager <br> -Building Administrators <br> -Director of Support Services | -Superintendent <br> -Director of HRC <br> -Business Manager <br> -Director <br> Curriculum <br> -Administrators <br> -Applitrack <br> -McKibben <br> Demographic <br> Study <br> -ADM <br> -Spreadsheet <br> -RTI Data <br> -Budget <br> -Harmony <br> -90 Day Review of <br> Classified <br> Employees <br> -Gallup Interview <br> Questionnaire <br> -Job Description <br> -Evaluation <br> Instruments: <br> -The Art and Science of <br> Teaching by Marzano <br> -Pre-employment <br> Qualifications/Credential <br> s Checklist <br> -Monitoring in <br> Accordance with State <br> and Local Mentor <br> Guidelines for Certified <br> Staff | - Superintendent <br> -Director of HRC <br> -Business Manager <br> -Director of Curriculum <br> -Administrators <br> -DOE Web site <br> -IDOE Assignment <br> Code <br> -Indiana Mentor <br> Assessment Program <br> -District Web site <br> -Indiana College and <br> University and Placement <br> Centers <br> -Local Media <br> -Bargaining Agreement <br> Between the Board of <br> School Trustees and the <br> HTA <br> -Applitrack <br> -McKibben <br> Demographic Study <br> -ADM <br> -Spreadsheet <br> -RTI Data <br> -Budget <br> -Harmony <br> -SafeSchools <br> -Rem4Ed |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Employment (continued) <br> H. All classroom aides must meet the regulations of NCLB (2 years of college or pass the ParaPro exam: in order to be hired). <br> 3. Support staff, including bus drivers, custodians, and food service employees, are hired according to qualifications and training. <br> 4. The district maintains an evaluation system for classified and certified staff that provides opportunities for continuous professional development. <br> A. The district has a rigorous evaluation system that includes: -An instructional model that is researched-based including: <br> a. Domain 1: Classroom strategies and Behaviors <br> b. Domain 2: Planning and Preparing <br> c. Domain 3: Reflecting on Teaching <br> d. Domain 4: Collegiality and Professionalism <br> -The evaluation process provides opportunities for the following: <br> a. Goal Setting- Professional Growth Plan <br> b. Self-assessment and reflection <br> c. Pre-observation Conferences <br> d. Formative Evaluation <br> e. Classroom Walkthroughs <br> f. Summative Evaluation <br> B. The School City of Hobart will implement Professional <br> Learning Communities. <br> -Professional Learning Communities develop a shared vision, mission, and values. <br> -The engine of improvement, growth, and renewal in a Professional <br> Learning Community is collective inquiry. <br> a. Reflection <br> b. Shared Meaning <br> c. Joint Planning <br> d. Coordinated Action <br> -The basic structure of the Professional Learning Community is a group of collaborative teams that share a common purpose. -Professional Learning Communities are action oriented. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are engaged in continuous improvement. <br> -Professional Learning Communities focus on results. | 2012-2016 | Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Administrators <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Staff | -NCLB ACT <br> -Teacher Evaluation | -Applitrack <br> -School City of Hobart's Teacher Evaluation Program <br> -Bargaining Agreement Between the Board of Trustees and the HTA <br> -School City of Hobart's Professional Development Catalog <br> -Professional Learning Communities at Work, DuFour and Eaker <br> -iObservation <br> -Becoming A Reflective Teacher by Dr. Marzano |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Employment (Continued) <br> C. The district provides professional development. <br> Program components include the following: <br> -Flexibility of Professional Development Opportunities <br> a. A Professional Development Catalog is published annually. <br> b. Peer Mentoring/Coaching Partner/Instructional Rounds are available <br> c. Job-embedded training is available. <br> d. The district is a sponsor for Professional Growth Points (PGP) for license renewal. <br> e. The district establishes flexible schedules so teachers can practice what they have learned (or to continue their learning). <br> f. Outside Professional Development, as required, to train teachers for Inhouse Professional Development <br> -Provisional Support/ Administrative Support Team <br> a. Aligns and organizes staff development <br> b. Supports teachers both emotionally and technically <br> c. Essential link for empowering teachers to learn and grow <br> d. Establishing flexible schedules so teachers can practice what they have learned (or continue their learning) <br> -Collaborative Development <br> a. Encourages and facilitates team teaching and peer mentoring <br> b. Provides teachers time to visit each other's classrooms to observe <br> c. Schedules meetings among teachers to plain and evaluate instruction <br> -Teacher Recognition <br> a. Encouraging teachers to share experiences by writing articles, sharing at conferences, leading workshops, etc. <br> b. Encouraging and financially supporting teachers to attend other means of professional development outside of the district. <br> -Sustain Professional Development <br> a. Developing Awareness <br> b. Building Knowledge <br> c. Translating Knowledge into Practice <br> d. Implementing New Approaches <br> e. Deepening Understanding <br> f. Reflecting on New Teaching Practice and Examining the Impact on Student Learning. <br> g. Refining Practice and Sharing Expertise | 2012-2016 | Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Staff <br> -Technology Department <br> -MTGP Teachers | -Professional Development Attendance | -CRUs Sponsorship <br> -Master Schedule <br> -Time for Collaboration <br> -District/School Web Sites <br> -District Newsletter <br> -Professional Development Days -Chamber Teacher of the Year Award <br> -Board Presentations to Celebrate Success <br> -Bargaining Agreement Between the Board of School Trustees and the HTA <br> -iObservation <br> -Professional Growth Points (PGP) <br> -Late Start Wednesdays <br> -Becoming A Reflective Teacher by Dr. <br> Marzano |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Budget <br> 1. The district employs a Business Manager who follows the state's funding formula and recommends appropriations that are representative of revenue received and plans a budget to achieve the implementation of the District Strategic Plan. <br> A. The district works within the budget to achieve goals. <br> -The Board, Superintendent, and Business Manager work closely to achieve financial stability in terms of budget practices that affect hiring of personnel and benefit packages. <br> -Resources are budgeted yearly to maintain sufficient staffing to promote educational programs. <br> -Student safety, learning environment, maintaining equipment and introduction of new technologies into the classroom are budget priorities. <br> -Grants are used to jumpstart new educational programs or to make sure that existing educational programs and staff development continue uninterrupted in the event of revenue shortfalls. <br> -Regular dialogue with district leaders and principals on vision and future planning of budget considerations occur. <br> B. The Business Office maintains a level of checks and balances to achieve high financial accountability and integrity with a districtwide financial system. <br> -The Indiana State Board of Accounts and the State Department of Local Government Finance provide detail on State requirements for financial and operational reporting. <br> -The corporation uses the accounting guidelines prescribed by the State Board of Accounts to maintain compliance and accountability under state law. <br> -The corporation is audited every two years by the State Board of Accounts. <br> -All expenditures are presented to the school board on a monthly basis for review and approval. | 2012-2016 | -Business Manager <br> -Board of School Trustees <br> -Superintendent <br> -Administrators <br> -Business Office <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Directory of Informational <br> Technology <br> -Director of Support Services <br> -K-12 Teachers | -Business Manager <br> -Treasurer <br> -Board of School <br> Trustees <br> -Superintendent <br> -Administrators <br> -Business Office <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Directory of Informational <br> Technology <br> -Director of Support <br> Services <br> -K-12 Teachers <br> -Monthly Review of <br> Percent of Revenue <br> Received and Percent <br> of Expenditures <br> -Comparative Analysis <br> -Trends of <br> Expenditures for <br> Spending Patterns <br> -Cash Flow <br> -State Audit <br> -LOW Financial <br> Software <br> -10 year Capital Project <br> Plan | -Department of Local Government Finance (DLGF) <br> -State Funding Formula -Indiana Department of Education (IDOE) <br> -Low Financial System <br> -Internal Programming <br> Through Excel <br> -Hobart Educational Foundation (grants) <br> -LOW Financial <br> Software <br> -City Securities (financial planner) <br> -Outside Facilitators: <br> Interest-based <br> Bargaining <br> -State Auditor |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. The Business Office contracts a professional fixed assets appraisal every two years. <br> D. The schools have allocations for operating supplies and Capital Improvements. <br> E. The district engages in textbook/technology adoption annually according to the Indiana Department of Education (IDOE) schedule. <br> F. The Board responds appropriately to facility and technology needs with special bond issuances. | 2012-2016 | -Business Manager <br> -Board of School Trustees <br> -Superintendent <br> -Administrators <br> -Business Office <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Directory of Informational <br> Technology Services <br> -Director of Support Services <br> -K-12 Teachers | -American Appraisal: <br> Fixed Asset Appraisal <br> -School budgets <br> -Textbook/Technology <br> Adoption <br> -10 year Capital Projects Plan <br> -3 year Technology Plan | -Textbook/Technology <br> Adoption <br> -School budgets |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Safe Environment <br> 1. The district employs a Director of Support Services who leads site and facility maintenance in order to provide a safe, clean, and comfortable learning environment. <br> A. The Director of Support Services maintains a prioritized 10 year capital project plan with the administration and Board of School Trustees. <br> -Support services monitors the need for repairs and replacement of building materials such as roofs, doors, windows, flooring, sidewalks, pavement, etc. <br> B. The Director of Support Services maintains a scheduled maintenance calendar for life expectancy of equipment and safety. <br> -Monthly Safety Inspections <br> a. Emergency Lighting <br> b. Exit Lighting <br> c. Fire Extinguishers <br> d. Playground Inspections <br> -Annual Maintenance Inspections and Testing <br> a. Fire Sprinkler Systems <br> b. Fire Alarm Systems <br> c. Kitchen Fire Hood Systems <br> d. Fire Extinguishers <br> e. Pressurized Vessels <br> f. Asbestos <br> g. Elevators <br> h. Code Compliance <br> -Employee Training Checklists <br> a. Material Safety Training <br> b. Ladder Safety <br> c. Blood-borne Pathogen <br> d. Asbestos Awareness | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Director of Support Services <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Board of School Trustees <br> -Principals <br> -Maintenance Supervisor <br> -Maintenance Staff <br> -Building Custodial Engineers <br> -Custodial Staff | -10 Year Capital Project <br> Plan <br> -Monthly Safety <br> Inspections <br> -Annual Maintenance, <br> Inspections, and <br> Testing | -10 Year Capital Project <br> Plan <br> -Department of <br> Homeland Security: <br> State Fire Marshall <br> Boiler Inspector <br> Elevator Inspector <br> -Hobart Fire <br> Department <br> -Fox Valley (Kitchen <br> Hood Fire Suppression) <br> -McDaniels (Sprinkler <br> Systems) <br> -Communication <br> Company (Fire <br> Alarms) <br> -Rem4Ed |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Safe Environment Continued... <br> C. The Director of Support Services maintains a maintenance schedule for climate control and indoor air quality. <br> -Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning <br> a. Daily Monitoring of All HVAC Systems <br> -Making Immediate Repairs and Adjustments <br> -Make Comfort a Priority <br> -Maintain Classroom Temperature (70 to 75 Degrees) <br> b. Maintain a Periodic Maintenance Schedule of HVAC Systems <br> -Air Handling Equipment <br> -Central Boiler Systems <br> -Central Air Conditioning Systems <br> -Compressors and Other Systems <br> D. The Director of Support Services Maintains Facility Cleaning <br> Schedules. <br> -Daily and Periodic Cleaning Schedules <br> a. Schedule Daily and Periodic Cleaning <br> -Clean and Sanitize Restrooms Daily <br> -Maintain Weekly Cleaning Schedules <br> -Provide Detailed Cleaning Projects When School Is Not In Session <br> b. Utilizes appropriate chemicals deemed non-toxic and nonhazardous. <br> E. The Director of Support Services Maintains a Grounds' Schedule. <br> -Pesticide and chemicals follow policy and regulations. <br> -Landscaping <br> -Mowing <br> -Outdoor Sports <br> -Parking Lots <br> -Mulch for Playgrounds <br> F. The business office contracts a professional fixed assets appraisal every two years. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Director of Support Services <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Board of School Trustees <br> -Principals <br> -Maintenance Supervisor <br> -Maintenance Staff <br> -Building Custodial Engineers <br> -Custodial Staff | -Periodic Maintenance <br> Schedule of HVAC <br> Systems <br> -Prioritized List for <br> Replacement <br> -Cleaning Schedule <br> -Grounds Schedule <br> -Employee Training <br> Checklists <br> -Chemical Inventory <br> -MSDS Sheets <br> -Local and State <br> Inspections <br> -American Appraisal: <br> (fixed asset appraisal) | -Board Policy <br> -Support Staff <br> -Training Videos <br> -Contractors <br> -Outside Service <br> Providers: Trane, <br> Johnson Controls, <br> Americo <br> Engineering, Nutri <br> Lawn <br> -Chemical Inventory <br> -MSDS Sheets <br> -Rem4Ed |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Food Services <br> 2. The district employs a Director of Food Services to provide a healthy menu of nutrition for students. <br> A. The Director of Food Services provides professional development for all food service personnel. <br> -Customer Friendliness <br> -Safety <br> -Sanitation <br> B. The Director of Food Services publishes a breakfast and lunch menu. <br> C. The Director of Food Services will respond to student expectations and customer satisfaction. <br> -Survey students as a compass for improving customer satisfaction <br> -Meet with small groups of students to focus on the quality of food and student expectations. <br> -Develop workshops with staff to improve the quality of food and service student expectations. <br> D. The Director of Food Services will develop and maintain nutrition guidelines for all foods available on each school campus with the objectives of promoting student health and preventing childhood obesity. <br> -Wellness Policy Committee <br> a. Coordinated School Health Advisory Committee (CSHAC) <br> -Assist schools with Wellness Policy compliance: <br> Align food offerings by the Food and Nutrition Services Program, vending, and local school fund raising with the Wellness Policy, develop and provide a Wellness Policy Implementation checklist for the schools <br> E. The Director of Food Services will develop guidelines for food allergy management. <br> -Meet with building principal and nurse for proper identification of students with food allergies <br> -Prepare the kitchen and staff for the list of ingredients to be avoided | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Food Service Director <br> -Administrators <br> -Director of Support Services <br> -Food Service Staff <br> -Free/Reduced Membership <br> Lists | -Menu Items <br> -Serving Sizes <br> -Inventory <br> -Sanitation <br> Requirements <br> -Student Surveys <br> -Small Group <br> Interviews <br> -Wellness Policy <br> -Implementation <br> Checklists <br> -CSHAC | -State of Indiana Health <br> Department <br> -District Web site <br> -Student <br> Survey/Interviews <br> -Wellness Policy <br> -Professional <br> Development <br> -Cafe' System <br> -Harmony Student <br> Management <br> -CSHAC |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Food Services (continued) <br> F. The Director of Food Services maintains services for free and reduced lunch children. <br> -The Director of Food Services provides a summer food program to maintain nutrition for free and reduced lunch children. <br> G. The Food Service Department provides catering for special events to recognize student success. | 2012-2016 | Lead: Food Service Director <br> -Administrators <br> -Director of Support Services <br> -Food Service Staff <br> -Free/Reduced Membership <br> Lists | -Menu Items <br> -Serving Sizes <br> -Inventory <br> -Sanitation <br> Requirements <br> -Wellness Policy <br> -CSHAC | -Cafe' System -Harmony Student Management -Wellness Policy -CSHAC |
| Intervention: Transportation <br> 3. The district employs a Transportation Coordinator and Bus Mechanical staff to provide safe transport to and from school, as well as other school sponsored events. <br> A. The bus mechanical staff performs scheduled maintenance on all buses. <br> -The bus mechanical staff performs bus maintenance as needed. <br> B. All buses are inspected annually for safety by the State Police. <br> C. The Transportation Department recommends a bus replacement plan. <br> D. The Transportation Coordinator provides training for all bus drivers. <br> -Bus drivers attend regular safety meetings. <br> E. The Transportation Coordinator plans and schedules bus routes. <br> F. The Transportation Coordinator sponsors bus safety programs for the schools. <br> G. Bus drivers conduct bus evacuation drills. <br> H. All buses provide security cameras for monitoring safety. <br> -All buses have communication devices for safety. <br> -All buses have emergency cards of students on the route. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Transportation <br> Coordinator <br> -Bus Mechanics <br> -Bus Drivers <br> -Bus Aides <br> -Substitute Bus Drivers/Aides | -No Student Left <br> Behind Sign and <br> Buzzer <br> -Safety Meetings <br> -Pre-trip Report <br> -Evacuations <br> -Student Roster <br> -Driver Observation <br> -Scheduled <br> Maintenance of Buses | -Bus Replacement Plan -Bus Routes -Safety Program <br> -State Police Bus Inspection -Drug/Alcohol Testing -Bus Evacuation Drills -Driver Training Videos -Security Cameras |
| Intervention: City Partnership <br> 4. The City of Hobart partners with the district to provide personnel for safety and learning opportunities. <br> A. School Resource Officer <br> B. Too Good for Drugs and Violence <br> C. Law Enforcement Class Instructor <br> D. Crossing Guards in High Traffic Areas | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -School Resource Officer <br> -Too Good for Drugs and Violence Officer | -Annual Review of School Resource Officers and Too Good for Drugs and Violence Responsibilities -Discipline Data | -Job Description <br> -Master Schedule <br> -Hobart Police <br> Department <br> -Harmony |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Security <br> 5. The school community will collaborate to provide a safe and secure facility. <br> A. The Director of School Safety maintains a safe learning environment and works with Safe Schools Committees <br> B. An annual review of the emergency response plan will be performed and followed with state mandated drills: <br> -Storm Drills <br> -Fire Drills <br> -Lockdowns <br> C. Each school will comply with state mandated safety drills. <br> D. An annual review of the Crisis Plan will be performed and followed. <br> E. Table Top Exercises are conducted regularly with staff and crisis teams on a building and district level. <br> F. CPR/AED Training will be conducted annually. <br> G. Presentations on healthy choices (substance abuse, sex education, and internet safety) are done each school year. <br> H. Director of School Safety conducts regular threat assessment meetings with each school. <br> I. Communicate Safety Procedures to Parents via handbook, monthly newsletter, and Phone Tag system as needed. <br> J. All staff members will utilize school entry cards/I.D. badges. <br> K. All visitors will be issued a visitor's pass after the approval following the screening of their driver's license. <br> L. All substitute teachers will wear an ID badge when in the building or on premises. <br> M. A district representative will be trained participate in the Lake County Safe School Commission. <br> N. A district representative will be certified by the IDOE as the district's School Safety Specialist. <br> O. Those wishing to volunteer must pass a limited criminal history check. <br> P. All coaches and community coaches will be trained and will wear ID badge when in the building or on premises. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Director of School Safety <br> -Director of Human Resources and Compliance <br> -Student Safety Supervisor <br> -School Resource Officer <br> -Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Coordinator of Student Health Services <br> -Primary and Secondary <br> Emergency Response Teachers <br> -Director of School Security | - Director of School Safety <br> -Director of Human Resources and Compliance <br> -Student Safety Supervisor <br> -School Resource Officer <br> -Storm Drill Report <br> -Monthly Fire Drill <br> Report <br> -Notification of <br> Lockdown <br> -Annual Review of <br> CPR/AED <br> -Review of Handbooks and Parent Signature <br> -Security Cameras <br> -Visitor Logs <br> -Annual School Safety <br> Specialist Certification <br> -Table Top Exercises <br> -Threat Assessment Checklists | -Emergency Response <br> Plan <br> -Crisis Plan <br> -CPR/AED Training <br> -Student Handbooks <br> -Newsletters <br> -Messenger <br> -Entry Cards/I.D. <br> Badges <br> -Visitor Badges <br> -Lake County Safe <br> School Commission <br> -Indiana Safe School <br> Academy <br> -Raptor Software <br> -Table Top Exercises <br> -Threat Assessment Checklists <br> -Healthy Choices <br> Curriculum on the TRC <br> -Rem4Ed <br> -SafeSchools |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Technology and Media Resources <br> 1. Central Office Administrators and the Director of Technology provide leadership and development for technology and media resources across the district. <br> A. Vision and Goals <br> - Constant analysis of academic data and growth in students provide the best possible software for intervention and continuous learning including examples such as, System 44, Read 180 and Math 180. <br> -Evaluation of existing software to ensure ongoing growth of student learning across curriculum including online textbook components and interactive simulations. <br> -Analyze $21^{\text {st }}$ Century learning goals and tools for the curriculum, examples in career pathways. <br> B. Supporting Hardware and Devices <br> -Provide a One to One Technology User environment <br> - Use a wide variety of wireless communication devices including laptops, netbooks, tablets, and smart phones to provided anytime, anywhere access to Internet resources. <br> -Use a variety of peripheral devices to interact with and provide immediate feedback including tablets and responders. <br> C. Supporting Software <br> -Assessment and evaluation of software services to provide the best possible learning portals for education and professional development, including My Big Campus, Google Apps and other applications. <br> - Staff surveys on professional development needs and technology are provided in the professional development calendar and job-embedded coaching. <br> D. Supporting Host Services <br> -Implementation of district-wide Web site in order to communicate effectively between teachers and students in order to provide educator-evaluated Internet resource lists for learning. | 2012-2016 | Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Director of Information <br> Technology Services <br> -Technology Department | -AdvancED Profile and Action Plan <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -Staff Assessment <br> Surveys for Professional <br> Development <br> -Read 180 <br> -System 44 <br> -Expert 21 <br> -PLTW software <br> -Google Docs | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Read 180 <br> -System 44 <br> -Expert 21 <br> -Acuity <br> -ACT Quality Core <br> -PLTW software <br> -Google Apps <br> -Laptops <br> -Tablets <br> -Responders <br> -Projectors <br> -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> -District Web site <br> -TRC <br> - Math 180 <br> - Do The Math <br> - My Big Campus <br> -3 year Technology Plan |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Technology <br> 1. The district employs a Director of Technology and Director of Information Technology Services who work to provide leadership for technology. <br> A. Budget-Vision, Repair, Maintenance- 3 Year Technology Plan -Continual reflection of the past, present, and planning for the future will be undertaken by the Director of Technology, Director of Information Technology Services, and the Superintendent for the continual productivity of the technology department and equipment. -The analysis of the infrastructure, hardware, warranties, and repair will be a continual process. <br> -The district applies for Universal Service Fund (USF) or Erate to assist with budget. <br> -Capital Projects Fund (CPF) is annually allocated to technology. <br> -The Board approves special funding for large scale technology improvements. <br> -The Director of Technology and Director of Information Technology Services will purchase items for maintenance, replacement needs, and curriculum enhancement. <br> -The district keeps a fixed assets inventory of equipment and software for maintenance and for teacher usage. <br> B. One to One Technology and Digital Curriculum -The district will continue to work for a one to one technology user environment <br> -The district will implement digital curriculum <br> -The district will practice and teach digital citizenship <br> C. Network-infrastructure <br> -The technology staff is responsible for the wellness of the infrastructure and continual balance of the system loads. <br> D. Security-Firewall and Backup <br> -The technology staff is responsible for the preservation and safeguarding of the network, data storage, and backup of information for the district. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Director of Information <br> Technology Services <br> -Technology Department | -Yearly Budget Review -Quarterly \& End of Year Review of Work Orders. <br> -Cisco SmartReporter Monitoring of System Load. <br> -Usage Logs for Assessment Programs -USF/Erate Funding Opportunities -3 Year Technology Plan <br> -Equipment Inventory for Maintenance -Software Database -Network Monitoring Reports | - 3 Year Technology Plan <br> -AdTech USF/Erate <br> Funding <br> -Network/IT <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -HECC Membership <br> -ISTE Membership <br> -ICE Membership <br> -HELPDESK on <br> District Web site <br> -My Big Campus <br> -Google Apps <br> -Career Cruising <br> -Digital Portfolio |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Technology (continued) <br> E. Day-to-Day Oversight and Repairs <br> -The technology staff will be responsible for the daily oversight and standard repairs for the continual running of the district computer systems <br> F. Professional Development <br> -The School City of Hobart staff will participate in professional development opportunities. Their technology skills will be extended through: <br> a. Just-In-Time Training <br> b. Face To Face <br> c. Online Resources <br> d. Peer Mentoring <br> e. Train the Trainer <br> G. Media Services <br> -Media Centers will be a hub of knowledge for the staff and students. <br> -Online databases, peripheral equipment, and support will be given. <br> H. Student Media Fair Competition <br> -Teachers have the opportunity to enter their students' projects into state and international competitions to showcase the technological skills obtained at the School City of Hobart. <br> I. Harmony Management-Student Records <br> $-\mathrm{K}-12$ teachers will utilize the student management system to record and report classroom grades. <br> -Parents will have the ability to review their child's grades, discipline and attendance online using Harmony. <br> J. Assessment Implementation <br> -Teachers will receive assessment and integrity training on how to use the district assessment programs to administer standardized tests, print results and analyze data to improve their instruction and each student's educational experience. <br> -Teachers and parents receive Harmony training. | 2012-16 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Director of Technology <br> -Director of Information <br> Technology Services <br> -Technology Department <br> -Teachers <br> -Staff <br> -Parents | -Enrollment Totals for <br> Professional <br> Development <br> -Online Electronic <br> Database Usage <br> -Student Media Fair <br> Participation <br> -Posting Class Progress and Grades in Harmony <br> -Assessments <br> Administration and Reports | -Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> -Student Media Fairs <br> -Harmony (Grades) <br> -Harmony (Parent <br> Portal) <br> -Harmony Student <br> Management System <br> -Teacher and Parent <br> Harmony Training <br> -LearningConnection <br> -SCOH Balanced <br> Assessment System <br> Framework <br> -Integrity Training from Assessment Coordinator and IDOE |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Health <br> 1. The district employs a Coordinator of Student Health Services, Nurses, and Nursing Assistants to assist the Central Office with ensuring proper health and safety measures. <br> A. Immunizations <br> B. Sight and Hearing Screening <br> C. Health Records <br> D. Sex Education/ HIV/AIDS Liaison <br> E. Wellness Liaison <br> F. CPR/AED Trainer <br> G. Non-violent Crisis Intervention Trainer <br> H. Federal, State and Local School Health Mandates <br> 2. The district has a partnership with St. Mary's Medical Center to provide wellness with an onsite Brickie Community Health Clinic |  | -Lead: Coordinator of Student Health Services <br> -School Nurses <br> -Central Office Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Primary and Secondary <br> Emergency Response Teachers | -Annual Review of Current Health Records -Review of Wellness Policy <br> -HIV/AIDS Council Meetings -Annual Review of CPR/AED -CHIRP <br> -Harmony | -Harmony (health records) <br> -Sex Education/HIV/AIDS Policy <br> -Sex Education/HIV/AIDS Curriculum <br> -Sex Education/HIV/AIDS Council <br> -Wellness Policy (CSHAC) <br> -CPR/AED Annual <br> Training and Review <br> -CHIRP <br> -Non-violent Crisis Intervention Trainer <br> -St. Mary's Medical Center |
| Intervention: Effectiveness of Support Services <br> 1. The district implements measure for program effectiveness to meet the needs of students. <br> A. Comprehensive Guidance and Counseling Program <br> -Exit Surveys are implemented to determine effectiveness of Guidance Counseling. <br> B. Response to Intervention <br> -Student Proficiency levels are monitored continuously in RTI through measures in the School City of Hobart Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> C. Special Education Identification <br> -Referral and Evaluation records are maintained, as well as IEP conferences. <br> D. Wrap Around Services Identification <br> -Counseling notation and referrals are documented in student the management system for wrap-around services. | 2012-2016 | -Central Office Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Counselors <br> -Office of Student Placement <br> -College Information Exploration <br> Teacher (CIET) <br> -RTI Teams <br> -Special Education Teachers | - Harmony <br> -RTI Referrals <br> -Special Education Referrals <br> -Wrap-Around Referrals | -Harmony <br> -Counselors <br> -Office of Student Placement <br> -College Information Exploration Teacher (CIET) <br> -Home School <br> Coordinators <br> -Choices <br> -Regional Mental <br> Health <br> -NISEC |

## STANDARD 5

## Using Results for

Continuous Improvement Action Plan

## Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement.

## Improvement Goal:

Maintaining an assessment system to monitor and document results to improve student performance and school effectiveness

## Expectations(s) for Student Learning:

## Vision for Student Learning

The School City of Hobart Community will foster intellectual curiosity, natural abilities, critical thinking, and literacy in students while developing respectful and responsible citizens who are excited about the challenges of tomorrow, confident in their ability to chart the future, and dedicated to the pursuit of lifelong learning.

## Mission

The primary mission of the School City of Hobart and the Board of School Trustees is to continually provide effective community schools.
A. Our Schools Equip Children for Adulthood
B. Our Schools Address the Needs of Individual Students
C. Our Schools Are Community Schools
D. Our Schools Are Committed to Success

## Teaching and Assessing for Learning

1. All students will increase academic achievement with a specific focus on language arts and mathematics across the curriculum.
2. All students will read and comprehend a variety of genres (types) and informational text, as well as communicate ideas through writing.
3. All students will use mathematical skills to think critically and apply knowledge and reason to solve problems.
4. All students will demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary for managing the dual role of family member and wage earner by gaining employment in a high-skill career or by continuing education at the post-secondary level.
5. All students will develop an understanding and commitment to the democratic ideals of human dignity, justice and equality by focusing on productive citizenship in a democratic society.

## Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement.

## Target Participants:

School City of Hobart Stakeholders:
Students
Parents/Guardians
Faculty
Staff
Community Members
Civic Organizations
Business Community

## Interventions:

The district implements a comprehensive assessment system.
The district maintains a Profile that uses comparison and trend data of Student Characteristics, Student Performance, School Effectiveness, and School and Community Contexts, as well as data analysis of the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework.
Professional Learning Communities will participate in ongoing training of data tools and analysis.
The district and each school engage in continuous school improvement planning.
The district communicates student performance and school effectiveness with stakeholders.

## Evaluation:

School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework:
Classroom Assessments- running records, rubrics, checklists, quizzes, unit tests, final exams and formal scales
Common Formative Assessments (CFAs)- Department/Grade Level CFAs, Compass Learning Odyssey, Rubrics, Checklists, Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI), Formal scales
Benchmark Assessments- Lexile (SRI), Quantile (SMI), writing assessment, spelling inventory, Acuity, quarterly standards based assessments, SPI
External Summative Assessments- DIAL, ISTEP+, IREAD3, ECA, ReadiStep, PSAT, SAT, WorkKeys, AP Exams, ISTAR, WIDA, NWEANWEA

## Timeframe for Implementation:

2012-2016

| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Assessment <br> 1. The district implements a comprehensive assessment system: <br> School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> A. Classroom Assessments <br> -Running Records <br> -Formal Scales <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Quizzes <br> -Unit Tests <br> -Final Exams <br> B. Common Formative Assessments <br> -Odyssey Compass Learning <br> -Checklists/Rubrics <br> -Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) <br> C. Benchmark Assessments <br> -Lexile (SRI) <br> - Quantile (SMI) <br> -Writing Assessment <br> -Spelling Inventory <br> -Acuity <br> -Quarterly Standards Based Assessment <br> -SPI <br> D. External Summative Assessments <br> -DIAL <br> -ISTEP <br> -IREAD3 <br> -ECA <br> -College Board (ReadiStep, PSAT, SAT) <br> -AP Exams <br> -ISTAR <br> -Las Links <br> -NWEA <br> E. Standards-based report cards are given in grades K-5. <br> F. Exit surveys are administered to graduates of the various levels (8th and $12^{\text {th }}$ ) for school effectiveness. <br> G. Student Portfolios for College Career Readiness | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Teachers K-12 <br> -Staff <br> -Technology Department | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework <br> -Standards-based <br> Report Cards <br> -Exit Surveys for $8^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade | -Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Test <br> Coordinator <br> -Technology <br> Department <br> -Harmony Student <br> Management System <br> (Assessment, <br> Gradebook, Parent <br> Portal) <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -Standards-based <br> Report Cards <br> - Exit Surveys <br> -College Board <br> (ReadiStep, PSAT, SAT) <br> -Career Cruising <br> -Google Apps <br> -Digital Portfolio <br> -CCRT |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Assessment (Continued): Student Management System <br> 2. The district maintains a secure and accurate student management system that is in accordance with state and federal regulations. <br> A. Harmony is implemented district-wide. <br> B. Harmony includes the following: <br> - Student Records (Academic, Health, Discipline, Attendance, Guidance, RTI, EL, SPED) <br> - Harmony Classroom (Teacher Gradebook) <br> - Harmony Home (Parent Portal-Online access for parents to review Harmony records) <br> 2. The district maintains cumulative student records in a secure area of each building. <br> A. Records will be electronically archived. <br> 3. Messenger- (Outbound calling feature to announce events by phone) | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Principals <br> -Counselors <br> -Teachers K-12 <br> -Staff <br> -Director of Technology and Director of Information <br> Technology Services | -Harmony Reports <br> -Archived Records <br> -Messenger Reports | -Technology <br> Department <br> -Wide Area Network <br> -Internet Access <br> -Server <br> Technology/Backup <br> -End User Computer <br> Stations <br> -Harmony Professional <br> Development <br> -Document Imaging <br> Software |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: AdvancED Profile and School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework Data Analysis <br> 1. The district maintains an AdvancED Profile that uses comparison and trend data of Student Characteristics, Student Performance, School Effectiveness, and School and Community Contexts, as well as data analysis of the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> A. Data is continuously updated and analyzed for performance and growth. <br> -The Central Office warehouses data for AdvancED Profiles. <br> -The Business Manager has designed data templates in Excel for data input. <br> -Data templates are populated with current data by Director <br> Human Resources and Compliance and Superintendent <br> -Data analysis is performed by the Professional Learning <br> Communities. <br> -Targeted areas of performance are identified. <br> -Action plans are reviewed to include any areas that are targeted and not previously addressed. <br> B. The AdvancED Profile and action plans are published via the Web site. <br> C. As a result of data analysis, instructional decisions and programs are implemented. <br> -Instructional decisions address student's needs. <br> -Program implementation includes the following: <br> -Achievement Groups - Strategy Groups <br> -Home Room <br> -Freshman Academies <br> -Summer School <br> -Double Blocked Subjects <br> -English as a New Language <br> -Intense Reading Intervention <br> -Guided Math Intervention <br> -Individual Instruction | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> AdvancED Leadership Teams | -Profile is Updated Annually Student Characteristics and Special Programs <br> Grade Level Enrollment, Ethnicity, Gender, SES (free-reduced) Special Ed, EL <br> Student Performance, ECA, NWEA, ISTEP, Exam View, Core 40 IAHD Graduates, ReadiStep, PSAT, SAT, AP Exams, Graduation Attendance, Discipline School Effectiveness Exit Surveys <br> School and Community Contexts Community <br> Demographics, AdvancED Opinion Surveys (Students, Teachers, Parents, Support Staff, and Community) -Data Analysis is Performed Annually -Professional Learning Communities Utilize Data Results | -Breakthrough by NSSE <br> -Census Data <br> -AdvancED Survey Data <br> -Harmony <br> -Data Warehouse <br> -Excel Data Templates <br> -School Wires Web <br> Hosting Software <br> -Professional <br> Development of <br> Breakthrough, SIP <br> Tool, and Data <br> Interpretation <br> -RTI Forms <br> RTI Meetings <br> -Harmony <br> -Leveled Literacy <br> Intervention (LLI) <br> -System 44 <br> -Math 180 <br> -Do The Math <br> -Read 180 <br> -Scholastic Learning <br> -RTI Policy and <br> Guidelines <br> -Professional <br> Development RTI <br> -Curriculum Materials <br> RTI |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: AdvancED Profile (continued) <br> 2. The schools meet in Professional Learning Communities regularly to monitor formative assessments inside of the School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework to determine students' learning and needs. <br> A. Classroom assessments are used to determine immediate feedback. <br> B. Common Formative Assessments are used to determine learned material and how to respond when students need extra assistance. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -AdvancED Leadership Teams | -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework | - School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Professional Development <br> 1. Professional Learning Communities will participate in ongoing training of data tools and analysis. <br> A. The School City of Hobart will implement Professional Learning Communities. <br> -Professional Learning Communities develop a shared vision, mission and values. <br> -The engine of improvement, growth, and renewal in a Professional Learning Community is collective inquiry as defined by: Reflection, Shared Meaning, Joint Planning, Coordinated Action <br> -The basic structure of the Professional Learning Community is a group of collaborative teams that share a common purpose. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are action oriented. <br> -Professional Learning Communities are engaged in continuous improvement. <br> B. Professional Learning Communities focus on results. <br> - Teachers meet regularly in teams to review student learning by using evidence from the formative assessments in the School <br> City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> C. The district provides professional development. <br> -Flexibility of professional development opportunities <br> a. Late Start Wednesdays <br> b. Professional Development Calendar <br> c. Peer Mentoring /Co-teaching <br> d. Job-embedded Training <br> e. The district is a sponsor of Professional Growth Points (PGPs) for license renewal. <br> f. The district establishes flexible schedules so teachers can meet and practice what they have learned (or to continue their learning). <br> g. Outside Professional Development, as Required, to Train Trainers for In-house Professional Development | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Teachers <br> -Staff | -Teacher Evaluation <br> -Professional Learning Community Meetings <br> -Professional Development Attendance -School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework | -Administrators <br> -Lead Teachers <br> -Academic Coaches <br> -Common Planning Time <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Professional <br> Development Calendar <br> -Professional Learning <br> Communities at Work, <br> DuFour and Eaker <br> -PGPs Sponsorship <br> -iObservation |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Professional Development (continued) -Provisional Support/Administrative Support Team: <br> a. Takes on the responsibility for aligning and organizing staff development. <br> b. Supports teachers both emotionally and technically. <br> c. Provides essential link for empowering teachers to learn \& grow. <br> d. Establishes flexible schedules so teachers can practice what they have learned (or to continue their learning). <br> -Collaborative Development: <br> a. Encourages and facilitates team teaching and peer mentoring. <br> b. Provides teachers time to visit each other's classrooms to observe. <br> c. Schedules meetings among teachers to plan \& evaluate instruction. <br> -Teacher Recognition: <br> a. Encourages teachers to share experiences by leading data meetings, writing articles, sharing at conferences, leading workshops, etc. <br> b. Encourages and financially supports teachers to attend other means of professional development outside of the district. <br> -Sustained Professional Development: <br> a. Developing Awareness <br> b. Building Knowledge <br> c. Translating Knowledge into Practice <br> d. Implementing New Approaches <br> e. Deepening Understanding <br> f. Reflecting on New Teaching Practice and Examining the Impact on Student Learning, Refining Practice and Sharing Expertise | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Teachers <br> -Staff <br> -Literacy Coordinators | -Professional <br> Development <br> Attendance | -PGPs Sponsorship <br> -Data Warehouse <br> (Confluent) <br> -Literacy Coordinators <br> -Master Schedule <br> -Time for <br> Collaboration <br> -District/school Web site <br> -District Newsletter -Chamber Teacher of the Year Award <br> -Board Presentations to Celebrate Success <br> -Teacher of Excellence <br> - Becoming A Reflective <br> Teacher By Dr. Marzano |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Continuous Improvement <br> 1. The district and each school engage in continuous school improvement planning. <br> A. The district is accredited by AdvancED through meeting the requirements of Vision and Mission, Profile, Plan, and Results. <br> B. The district will identify goals in the Strategic Plan. <br> -Goals will be based on data collected in the Profile. <br> -The Profile will be a collection of data that includes input from all stakeholder groups. <br> -Data analysis annually will yield changes that may be necessary in goal areas to achieve the vision. <br> C. The district will meet bi-annually with schools and service departments to review implementation of the school improvement process. <br> -Each school's AdvancED Leadership Team will meet regularly by goal areas to monitor implementation of their AdvancED Action Plan. <br> -The district will meet with each school's AdvancED Leadership Team to review the progress and assist in their annual revision process. <br> -The district will meet with the district's service department heads to monitor progress on the AdvancED Strategic Plan. <br> D. All students will increase academic skills as a result of teacher participation in professional learning communities. <br> - Continuous data analysis will be implemented using School City of Hobart's Balanced Assessment System Framework. <br> -RTI Teams | 2012-2016 <br> -Profile <br> 2012 <br> (Updated <br> Annually <br> 2012-2016) | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -AdvancED Leadership Teams <br> -Business Manager <br> -Support Service Department Heads <br> -AdvancED District Leadership Team | Annual <br> Review/Revision of: <br> AdvancED Profile, <br> AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans, <br> AdvancED District <br> Strategic Plan <br> -AdvancED Committee <br> Meetings <br> -State's Legal <br> Standards <br> -Profile of Data <br> Analysis <br> -District Strategic Plan <br> Revision <br> -Regular <br> AdvancED <br> Meetings <br> - School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -RTI Teams | -AdvancED Profiles <br> -AdvancED School <br> Improvement Plans <br> -AdvancED District <br> Strategic <br> Plan <br> -State AdvancED Office <br> -AdvancED <br> -AdvancED Professional <br> Development <br> -Breakthrough by <br> NSSE <br> -State's Legal Standards <br> - Checklists and Reports for State Accreditation <br> -Microsoft Excel <br> -AdvancED Stakeholder Surveys <br> -Data from <br> Assessments <br> -Census Data <br> -School City of Hobart's <br> Balanced Assessment <br> System Framework <br> -RTI Teams |


| ACTIONS | SCHEDULE | RESPONSIBILITIES | MONITORING | RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervention: Communication <br> 1. The district communicates student performance and school effectiveness with stakeholders. <br> A. Parents/Guardians are given individual student performance reports on state and local assessments. <br> -Parent/Guardian/Teacher meetings are scheduled. <br> B. Parents/Guardians are able to access student progress through online access via Harmony and the district Web site. <br> C. The district publishes an annual performance report with the local media, and on the district Web site. <br> -The district will review the annual performance report to verify growth and student performance annually at school board meetings. <br> D. The district maintains a data Profile that analyzes student performance and school effectiveness that is hosted on the district Web site. <br> E. The district highlights student performance and school effectiveness in the district newsletter which is mailed bi-annually to every postal patron in Hobart. In addition, it is published on the district Web site. <br> F. The district highlights student performance and school effectiveness at school board meetings. <br> G. School and classroom newsletters highlight student performance and school effectiveness. They are published on the Web site. <br> H. The District and Schools highlight student performance on social media sites. | 2012-2016 | -Lead: Central Office <br> Administrators <br> -Building Principals <br> -Teachers <br> -Technology Department <br> -Central Office Administrative <br> Assistant | -Test Reports Mailed <br> -Parent Teacher <br> Meetings <br> -Harmony (Parent <br> Portal) Traffic <br> -Web site Traffic <br> -State Annual <br> Performance Report | -State and Local Test <br> Report Summaries <br> -AdvancED Profiles <br> -District Newsletter <br> -School Board <br> Meetings <br> -Harmony (Parent <br> Portal) <br> -District Web site <br> -IDOE Web site / State <br> Annual Performance <br> Report <br> -Local Media <br> -School and Classroom <br> Newsletters <br> -Messenger <br> -Facebook <br> -Hobartcommunity.com |


[^0]:    "Success" on an AP Exam is defined as an exam score of 3 or higher, which represents the score point that research finds predictive of college success and college graduation. These findings have held consistent across the decades. One example of such a study comes from the National Center for Educational Accountability, which found that an AP Exam score, and a score of 3 or higher in particular, is a strong predictor of a student's ability to persist in college and

[^1]:    (percent of test-takers)

[^2]:    Includes score senders who took the SAT and/or an SAT Subject Test.

[^3]:     each student is counted only once under their highest level of mathematics course taken.

[^4]:    * Of your students who designated that their SAT and/or SAT Subject Test score reports be sent to institutions, the 'Percent of Score Senders' indicates the percent of those students who had their scores sent to each institution listed.

[^5]:    *Minimum n size of 30 required to report results.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Esposito-Smythers, C., \& Spirito, A. (2004). Adolescent substance use and suicidal behavior: A review with implications for treatment research. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 28 (5), 77S-88S.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hallfors, D. D., Waller, M.W., Ford, C.A., Halpern, C. T., Brodish, P. H., \& Iritani, B. (2004). Adolescent depression and suicide risk: Association with sex and drug behavior. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 27(3), 224-230.
    ${ }^{3}$ Light, J.M., Grube, J.W., Madden, P.A., \& Gover, J. (2003). Adolescent alcohol use and suicidal ideation: A nonrecursive model. Addictive Behaviors, 28, 705-724.

[^7]:    1 Hawkins, J. D., \& Catalano, R. F. (2005). Investing in your community's youth: An introduction to the Communities that Care System. Retrieved July 1, 2010 from http://download.ncadi.samhsa.gov/Prevline/pdfs/ctc/Investing\%20in\%20Your\%20Community's\%20Youth.pdf.
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